Technology and Investment, 2025, 16(4), 173-183
https://www.scirp.org/journal/ti
ISSN Online: 2150-4067
ISSN Print: 2150-4059

An Examination of Electric Vehicles and Their Impact on Global Resource Depletion

Ayaan Akbar

North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University, Greensboro, NC, USA
Email: ayaanakbar3706@gmail.com

How to cite this paper: Akbar, A. (2025). An Examination of Electric Vehicles and Their Impact on Global Resource Depletion. *Technology and Investment, 16,* 173-183.
https://doi.org/10.4236/ti.2025.164010

Received: August 2, 2025
Accepted: September 16, 2025
Published: September 19, 2025

Abstract

This paper critically examines the intricate relationship between the production of electric vehicles (EVs) and global resource depletion, highlighting the economic, environmental, and supply chain implications of this rapidly evolving industry. Leveraging extensive research by Prof. Dr. Eckard Helmers and other scholars, this study explores the multifaceted processes involved in EV battery production, which requires significant quantities of essential materials such as lithium, cobalt, and nickel. As governments worldwide advocate for the adoption of EVs to reduce dependence on fossil fuels, this analysis underscores the challenges posed by resource scarcity and potential supply chain disruptions. Furthermore, this paper evaluates the economic viability of EVs, contrasting their long-term operational benefits with the initial resource-intensive manufacturing costs. Ultimately, these findings illuminate the dualistic nature of EVs as both a sustainable alternative to internal combustion engines and a catalyst for increased resource demand, prompting a reevaluation of their role in global economic frameworks and environmental strategies.

Keywords

Electric Vehicles (EVs), Resource Depletion, Supply Chain Management, Lithium Mining, Environmental Impact, Cost Efficiency, Battery Production, Economic Ramifications, Sustainable Energy, Internal Combustion Engines (ICE), Markey Dynamics, Circular Economy, Raw Material Extraction, Global Economy, Net-Zero Emissions

1. Introduction

The progressive advancements in the production of electric vehicles (EVs) are inextricably linked to the depletion of global natural resources, a connection that has been critically analyzed since 1998 by Prof. Dr. Eckard Helmers, a distinguished professor at Trier University in Germany. Prof. Dr. Helmers has extensively explored both the advantages and disadvantages associated with EVs, as well as the long-term implications of diminishing natural resources, providing a comprehensive framework for understanding the complexities surrounding this rapidly evolving industry (Helmers & Weiss, 2017). His work underscores the importance of examining not just the immediate benefits of electric vehicles—such as reduced greenhouse gas emissions and lower operating costs—but also the broader ecological and economic ramifications that arise from the resources required to manufacture them.

As the demand for electric vehicles continues to surge, driven by a global push towards sustainability and reduced reliance on fossil fuels, the automotive industry faces significant challenges regarding the sourcing and sustainability of essential materials like lithium, cobalt, and nickel. These materials are critical for the production of lithium-ion batteries, which power the majority of EVs on the market today. The extraction of these resources often involves environmentally damaging practices, leading to habitat destruction, water scarcity, and pollution, particularly in regions where mining operations are prevalent. This situation raises pressing ethical questions about what it means to pursue a greener future while simultaneously contributing to the degradation of the planet's natural resources.

Prof. Dr. Helmers emphasizes that this examination prompts a fundamental inquiry: to what extent have advancements in production methodologies impacted the EV automotive industry since its inception? The evolution of production techniques, including the implementation of more efficient manufacturing processes and the development of alternative battery technologies, has the potential to mitigate some of these environmental impacts. For instance, innovations such as solid-state batteries and recycling technologies could reduce the dependency on virgin materials and improve the overall sustainability of electric vehicles. However, the transition to these advanced methodologies requires significant investment and commitment from both manufacturers and policymakers.

Furthermore, the interplay between technological advancement and resource depletion necessitates a multidisciplinary approach, integrating perspectives from environmental science, economics, and social justice. Policymakers must grapple with the implications of resource scarcity on the future of electric vehicle production and consider strategies that promote circular economy principles, where materials are reused and recycled rather than discarded. This holistic view is crucial for creating a sustainable automotive future that does not compromise the health of our planet in the quest for cleaner transportation options.

The relationship between electric vehicle production and the depletion of natural resources is a complex and evolving issue that merits ongoing scrutiny. As the industry continues to innovate and adapt, it is essential to remain vigilant about the environmental consequences of these advancements. The insights provided by scholars like Prof. Dr. Eckard Helmers are invaluable in guiding both industry practices and public policy, ensuring that the transition to electric mobility is not only beneficial in the short term but also sustainable in the long run. The future of transportation hinges on our ability to balance technological progress with responsible resource management, a challenge that will define the next era of the automotive industry.

Moreover, the discourse surrounding EVs encompasses a myriad of considerations, including their economic ramifications, supply chain shortages, and the resource-intensive processes involved in lithium mining for expensive battery production. A comprehensive evaluation of the cost-effectiveness of EV production, alongside the extraction of essential natural resources, is imperative in assessing the sustainability of these measures. As mass production of EVs escalates and technological advancements proliferate, the economic landscape will inevitably be influenced by fluctuating crude oil demands, market volatility, and the resultant disruptions to the global economy (Carreon, 2023).

2. Battery Production Stages

The production of electric vehicle (EV) batteries is a complex and multifaceted process that can be broken down into four distinct stages: Upstream, Midstream, Downstream, and End of Life. Each stage plays a crucial role in ensuring the effective production and utilization of batteries that power electric vehicles.

2.1. The Upstream Stage

The Upstream stage is foundational to the entire battery production process, focusing on the extraction of essential raw materials. Key components for EV batteries include cobalt, nickel, graphite, and lithium, each sourced from various geographical locations around the world.

1) Raw Material Extraction: Cobalt is primarily mined in the Democratic Republic of Congo, where artisanal and industrial mining operations coexist. Nickel is sourced from countries like Indonesia and the Philippines, often requiring significant environmental considerations due to the impact of mining practices. Lithium, essential for battery efficiency and energy density, is extracted from lithium-rich brine pools in places such as Chile and Argentina, as well as hard rock mining in Australia.

2) Environmental and Ethical Concerns: The extraction of these materials raises several environmental and ethical issues, including habitat destruction, water usage, and labor conditions. Companies are increasingly pressured to adopt sustainable mining practices and ensure responsible sourcing to mitigate these concerns.

2.2. The Midstream Stage

The Midstream phase involves the processing and refinement of the extracted raw materials into usable components for battery production. This stage is critical for determining the performance and efficiency of the final battery product.

1) Material Processing: Once extracted, the raw materials undergo a series of chemical processes to purify and convert them into active components. For ex-

ample, lithium is converted into lithium carbonate or lithium hydroxide, which are essential for the production of lithium-ion batteries. Cobalt and nickel are often blended to create cathode materials like lithium nickel cobalt oxide (NMC), which enhance energy density and longevity.

2) Manufacturing Active Components: The processed materials are then transformed into anode and cathode active components, which are crucial for energy storage. Graphite is typically used for the anode, while the cathode can be composed of various lithium metal oxides, depending on the desired battery chemistry.

3) Supply Chain Dynamics: These refined materials are supplied to battery cell manufacturers who specialize in producing battery cells using the processed materials. The efficiency of this supply chain significantly impacts the overall cost and scalability of EV battery production.

2.3. The Downstream Phase

The Downstream phase is where the processed materials are assembled into battery cells, which are then integrated into electric vehicles.

1) Battery Cell Assembly: Battery manufacturers utilize advanced technologies and precision engineering in assembling cells. This involves layering the anode and cathode materials with a separator and electrolyte to create a functional battery cell.

2) Quality Control and Testing: Rigorous testing and quality control measures are crucial during this stage to ensure the safety, performance, and reliability of the batteries. This includes evaluating energy density, charge cycles, and thermal stability.

3) Distribution to Automakers: Once assembled, the battery cells are sold to automakers, who incorporate them into their electric vehicles. This phase is critical as the performance of the battery directly influences the vehicle's range, acceleration, and overall user experience.

2.4. The End of Life Phase

The *End of Life* phase addresses the future of batteries once they are no longer viable for vehicle use.

1) Assessment of Battery Health: Determining the health of a battery includes assessing its capacity, cycle life, and overall performance. Some batteries may still retain sufficient charge capacity for secondary uses, such as energy storage solutions in homes or commercial applications.

2) Recycling and Reuse: Efforts are underway to develop efficient recycling processes that recover valuable materials from spent batteries. This includes hydrometallurgical and pyrometallurgical processes that can extract lithium, cobalt, and nickel for reuse in new batteries, thus contributing to a circular economy and reducing the demand for virgin raw materials.

3) Environmental Impact: The focus on battery end-of-life management is in-

creasingly crucial as the number of EVs on the road grows. Proper recycling and disposal are vital to minimize environmental harm and ensure that the resources used in battery production are not wasted.

This intricate production sequence underscores the substantial resource requirements and complexity involved in the manufacturing of EV batteries. As the demand for electric vehicles continues to rise, innovations in each stage of this process will be essential to enhance sustainability, efficiency, and ethical practices in the EV battery supply chain. The transition towards cleaner transportation hinges not only on technological advancements but also on responsible management of resources throughout the battery lifecycle.

3. The Dualistic Nature of Electric Vehicles

Electric vehicles present a dualistic nature that reflects both their clear benefits and significant drawbacks. On one hand, they offer substantial advantages such as reduced operational emissions, contributing to improved air quality and lower greenhouse gas emissions, as well as decreased dependency on oil, which can enhance energy security for nations. The transition to EVs represents a critical step in the global effort to combat climate change, as they can operate much more cleanly than traditional internal combustion engines (ICEs). On the other hand, the manufacturing process of EVs is resource-intensive, often requiring the extraction of materials that can lead to significant environmental degradation. The mining of lithium, cobalt, and nickel can result in habitat destruction, water pollution, and adverse social impacts, particularly in regions where labor standards are lax. This contrast highlights the need for a balanced approach to EV adoption, one that recognizes the environmental benefits while addressing the ecological and ethical issues associated with resource extraction.

4. Cost Efficiency of Electric Vehicles

Given the proliferation of new vehicles entering the global market daily, the cost efficiency of electric vehicles (EVs) warrants critical assessment. This analysis must extend beyond mere production costs to encompass long-term expenses related to maintenance, battery replacement, and charging infrastructure. For instance, while the initial purchase price of EVs may be higher than that of traditional internal combustion engine vehicles, the total cost of ownership often reveals significant savings over time, particularly due to lower fuel costs and reduced maintenance needs. Consequently, supply chain management regarding vehicle materials has emerged as a pivotal factor in evaluating the efficacy of EV production. This is especially important in light of the increasing scrutiny around resource utilization on a global scale, where the environmental impact of sourcing materials such as lithium, cobalt, and nickel—essential for battery production—is being closely examined.

Numerous governments, including those of Canada, Germany, China, India, Japan, the United Kingdom, and the United States, are advocating for the widespread adoption of EVs as a strategic move to reduce dependency on crude oil from the Middle East. These nations are initiating large-scale investments in EV infrastructure, such as charging stations and battery recycling facilities, to meet the anticipated demand for EVs. Moreover, they are implementing incentives for consumers and manufacturers alike, aiming to accelerate the transition to cleaner transportation. However, concerns persist regarding potential bottlenecks in the supply of essential materials required for manufacturing EVs. As global markets transition toward lower carbon emissions, the competition for these critical resources has intensified, raising questions about sustainability and ethical sourcing practices. This creates a complex landscape where balancing environmental goals with economic realities becomes increasingly crucial for policymakers, manufacturers, and consumers in the journey toward a more sustainable automotive future.

The challenges associated with EV production raise pertinent questions regarding the vulnerability of supply chains to unforeseen disruptions, such as natural disasters and pandemics. Ben Jones, an Associate Professor at the University of Nottingham, posits that the manufacturing capabilities of certain non-Asian countries producing lithium batteries are at risk of market failure. He contends that, in an effort to meet high demand, countries such as China, Japan, and South Korea may establish monopolistic control over EV battery component manufacturing in the future (Jones et al., 2020). Conversely, it is essential to acknowledge factors that may positively influence the integration of EVs into the market and their broader economic implications. While internal combustion engine (ICE) vehicles contribute to climate change throughout their operational lifespan, EVs primarily exert climate impacts during the production phase (Helmers & Weiss, 2017). These considerations are integral to evaluating the market integration of EVs and their consequent effects on the global economy.

A comparative analysis of EVs and internal combustion engines is crucial for assessing the economic and environmental benefits that EVs may provide in the future. Nikolas Hill, Technical Director and Head of Vehicle Technologies and Fuels at Ricardo PLC, has explored various approaches aimed at maximizing the benefits of EVs within a circular economy. His findings indicate that incentives for electric vehicles help stabilize market positions, potentially increasing their value in certain markets due to their reduced greenhouse gas emissions. Hill's research suggests that by 2030, the environmental impacts of EVs could be as much as 78% lower than those of traditional gasoline-powered vehicles (Hill, 2023).

5. Resource Extraction and Supply Chain Dynamics

The extraction of resources essential for EV production is intricately tied to a comprehensive supply chain. As extraction methodologies evolve and become more efficient, alternative metrics for assessing material efficiency and their effects on supply chains must be developed. The resource intensity associated with EV production is remarkably high, necessitating significant quantities of cobalt, lithium, nickel, manganese, and graphite. Notably, an electric vehicle utilizes three to four times more copper than its internal combustion engine counterpart. Countries are actively seeking to secure access to the raw materials required to support advanced manufacturing. However, lithium scarcity poses a considerable challenge that may impede the market entry of electric vehicles. Other critical materials, such as vanadium and cobalt, may struggle to meet the surging global demand.

Martin V. Melosi, a historian and professor at the University of Houston, conducted a thorough analysis of American automotive history, examining how the internal combustion vehicle became predominant on U.S. roads. His work highlights that while early automobiles created a substantial demand for petroleum products, their integration also sparked rising criticism regarding long-term economic, environmental, and infrastructural liabilities (Melosi, 2004). Melosi's research underscores the complexities of integrating automobiles into U.S. industry and the resultant shifts in demand and market dynamics, particularly with regard to crude oil dependency on the Middle East. The rapid installation of gas stations across the U.S. in response to the burgeoning consumption rates of ICE vehicles serves as a testament to the systemic changes prompted by this trend. While ICE vehicles have exacerbated environmental challenges due to their carbon emissions, the current global push for sustainability underscores the necessity for countries to adapt and secure sufficient resources to facilitate the transition to electric vehicles.

To achieve net-zero emissions, the number of electric vehicles on U.S. roads must reach approximately 46.5 million by 2030 (Carreon, 2023). This anticipated growth necessitates a rapid increase in supply chain production capabilities. Substantial investments are already underway to meet current demands, which not only stimulate economic growth but also create a contagion effect that strengthens supply chains across international borders while addressing the environmental repercussions of this supply chain. Consequently, the EV battery supply chain and the production processes associated with electric vehicles have become vital components in understanding their economic impact.

In the long term, the positive ramifications of adopting electric passenger vehicles in developing countries could be significant. Although the initial costs of EVs may be higher than those of ICE vehicles, their lower operational and maintenance costs over time present a compelling case for their adoption. Furthermore, transitioning to fully electric vehicles is not only economically advantageous but also mitigates the impact of rising gasoline prices resulting from excessive import taxes on fuel (World Bank Group, 2022). Future initiatives proposed by the World Bank aim to enhance infrastructure resilience against natural disasters while facilitating a transition from fossil fuels to electric power.

While the proliferation of EVs has bolstered the U.S. economy and insulated it from recessions driven by fluctuations in oil prices, it has also influenced fuel dependence on foreign nations. It has been suggested that if the U.S. were to halve its reliance on petroleum products, it could achieve oil production levels commensurate with its consumption (Buckberg, 2023). Nevertheless, the economic landscape significantly influences the integration of EVs, yielding positive ripple effects across various industries. The studies presented herein illuminate both the advantages and disadvantages associated with EV production and usage, as well as their broader implications for the global economy. However, one fundamental truth persists: as demand for EVs escalates, the demand for the resources necessary for their production will undergo significant transformation.

Finally, the production of electric vehicles has demonstrated a profound impact on global natural resources. The ongoing discourse regarding the benefits and drawbacks of EVs, coupled with their influence on the global economy—ranging from supply chain challenges to the extraction of lithium for expensive batteries—remains a critical area of inquiry. Two primary considerations emerge as essential for identifying viable solutions for the future: first, the economy will invariably be influenced by fluctuations in the materials required for EV production, and second, these fluctuations may lead to market disruptions.

6. Theoretical Model of EV Production and Resource Depletion

To understand the intricate relationship between electric vehicle production and global resource depletion, a theoretical model can be developed that incorporates several key variables: production volume, resource extraction rates, technological advancements, and environmental impact assessments. At its core, this model posits that increases in EV production necessitate proportional increases in the extraction of raw materials, which in turn accelerates resource depletion. However, the introduction of innovative battery technologies can disrupt this linear relationship by improving material efficiency and reducing the quantity of raw materials required per vehicle produced. For instance, as battery recycling technologies advance, the demand for new raw materials could stabilize or even decline, mitigating some of the resource depletion concerns. Furthermore, integrating circular economy principles into this model—whereby materials are continuously recycled within the production cycle—could significantly alter the trajectory of resource demand and environmental impact, suggesting that strategic policy and industry commitment are paramount for achieving sustainability in the EV sector.

7. Technological Shifts and Resource Demand

The electric vehicle (EV) industry is witnessing significant technological shifts, particularly in battery chemistries, that are reshaping resource demand. Traditional lithium-ion batteries, primarily composed of lithium, cobalt, and nickel, are gradually being supplemented or replaced by newer chemistries such as lithium iron phosphate (LFP) and solid-state batteries. LFP batteries, for instance, utilize iron and phosphate rather than cobalt and nickel, which not only reduces reliance on scarce resources but also minimizes the environmental impact associated with cobalt mining, often linked to unethical labor practices. This transition not only alters the demand dynamics for certain materials but also raises questions regarding the infrastructure needed to support these new technologies. As manufacturers pivot towards these alternative chemistries, they must navigate the economic implications of resource sourcing, including potential volatility in supply chains and long-term sustainability considerations.

8. Potential Solutions and Mitigation Strategies

To address the challenges posed by resource-intensive manufacturing and promote sustainability, several potential solutions and mitigation strategies extend beyond the concept of a circular economy. First, policymakers can implement incentives for recycling, encouraging manufacturers and consumers to return used batteries for processing. This can significantly reduce the reliance on virgin materials and promote the recovery of valuable resources. Additionally, research into alternative materials that can substitute for cobalt and nickel is critical; for example, the development of sodium-ion batteries or other innovative chemistries could alleviate some of the resource pressure. Sustainable mining practices also play a vital role in this equation, whereby companies can adopt environmentally responsible methods that minimize ecological footprints and promote local community benefits. By fostering collaboration between industry stakeholders, governments, and research institutions, a comprehensive framework can be developed to ensure that the transition to electric vehicles aligns with global sustainability goals and resource management practices.

9. Conclusion

The evolution of electric vehicles (EVs) represents a transformative shift in the transportation sector, driven by the urgent need to address climate change and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. This transition, however, is not without its challenges, particularly regarding the extraction and sustainability of critical materials used in EV batteries.

Production and Resource Depletion: The production of EVs necessitates significant quantities of natural resources, such as lithium, cobalt, and nickel, which are crucial for the manufacturing of high-performance batteries. The extraction of these materials often leads to environmental degradation, including habitat destruction and water pollution. For instance, lithium mining can lead to water scarcity in arid regions, affecting local communities and ecosystems. As the demand for EVs surges, the pressure on these resources intensifies, raising concerns about their long-term availability and the ethical implications of their extraction, especially in regions where labor practices may be exploitative.

Supply Chain Evaluation: A comprehensive evaluation of the EV supply chain is essential for assessing its sustainability. This involves not only the extraction of raw materials but also processing, transportation, and the end-of-life management of batteries. Innovations in battery recycling and alternative materials are crucial in mitigating the environmental impact of EVs. For example, advancements in recycling technologies could recover valuable materials from used batteries, reducing the need for new extraction and minimizing waste. Additionally, research into solid-state batteries or other technologies may lessen reliance on scarce materials, providing a more sustainable pathway forward.

Economic Implications: The economic landscape surrounding EVs is complex. Initial investment in EV technology may be offset by long-term savings in fuel and maintenance costs. However, the broader market dynamics are influenced by resource availability, which can be subject to geopolitical tensions. Countries rich in these critical materials may leverage their resources for economic or political gain, leading to potential supply chain disruptions. Strategic investments in domestic mining and processing capabilities, as well as international partnerships, are essential for stabilizing the supply chain and ensuring a reliable flow of materials.

Future Prospects and Policy Implications: As nations globally aim for net-zero emissions targets, the role of EVs in achieving these goals becomes increasingly significant. However, the path forward requires a concerted effort from policymakers, industry stakeholders, and communities. Regulatory frameworks that promote sustainable resource extraction, incentivize recycling, and support research into alternative materials are crucial. Policymakers must also address the social implications of resource extraction, ensuring that local communities benefit from the transition to EVs rather than suffer from its consequences.

In summary, while the transition to electric vehicles is a vital step toward reducing dependency on fossil fuels, it necessitates a holistic approach to resource management. The interplay between technological advancements, economic factors, and environmental sustainability will shape the future of EVs. By fostering innovation, promoting responsible resource extraction, and facilitating international collaboration, stakeholders can navigate the complexities of this landscape and contribute to a sustainable, equitable low-carbon future.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

Buckberg, E. (2023). *The EV Transition Makes the U.S. Economy More Resilient*. Frank Hawkins Kenan Institute of Private Enterprise. https://kenaninstitute.unc.edu/commentary/the-ev-transition-makes-the-u-s-economy-more-resilient/

Carreon, A. R. (2023). *The EV Battery Supply Chain Explained*. RMI. https://rmi.org/the-ev-battery-supply-chain-explained/productionofevonresourcedepletion9

Helmers, E., & Weiss, M. (2017). Advances and Critical Aspects in the Life-Cycle Assessment of Battery: EECT. *Energy and Emission Control Technologies, 5,* 1-18. https://www.dovepress.com/advances-and-critical-aspects-in-the-life-cycle-assessment-of-battery--peer-reviewed-fulltext-article-EECT https://doi.org/10.2147/EECT.S60408

Hill, N. et al. (2023). *Research for TRAN Committee: Environmental Challenges through the Life Cycle of Battery Electric Vehicles*. European Parliament. https://www.europarl.europa.eu/regdata/etudes/stud/2023/733112/ipol_stu(2023)733112_en.pdf

Jones, B., Elliott, R. J. R., & Nguyen-Tien, V. (2020). The EV Revolution: The Road Ahead for Critical Raw Materials Demand. *Applied Energy, 280,* Article 115072. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7545311/ https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.115072

Melosi, M. V. (2004). The Automobile and the Environment in American History. In *Automobile in American Life and Society*, University of Michigan Press.

World Bank Group (2022). *Electric Vehicles: An Economic and Environmental Win for Developing Countries*. World Bank. https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2022/11/17/electric-vehicles-an-economic-and-environmental-win-for-developing-countries