

# *Azolla pinnata* as a Sustainable Protein Source: Impact on Reproductive and Biochemical Status of Female *Clarias gariepinus* Broodstock

Victor Herman Ngoumtsop<sup>1\*</sup>, Nienga Sorelle Deutcheu<sup>2</sup>, Tamdem Ghislaine Nguemmogne<sup>3</sup>, Herve Tchoffo<sup>4</sup>, Azafack Dorice Kana<sup>2</sup>, Ferdinand Ngoula<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Higher School of Agronomy, Halieutic and Veterinary Medicine, University of Douala, Douala, Cameroon

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, University of Buea, Buea, Cameroon

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Agricultural Research for Development (IRAD), Yaounde, Cameroon

<sup>4</sup>Faculty of Agronomy and Agricultural Sciences, University of Dschang, Dschang, Cameroon

Email: \*ngoumtsopherman.jetlee@yahoo.fr

**How to cite this paper:** Ngoumtsop, V.H., Deutcheu, N.S., Nguemmogne, T.G., Tchoffo, H., Kana, A.D. and Ngoula, F. (2026) *Azolla pinnata* as a Sustainable Protein Source: Impact on Reproductive and Biochemical Status of Female *Clarias gariepinus* Broodstock. *Open Journal of Animal Sciences*, 16, 60-75.  
<https://doi.org/10.4236/ojas.2026.161005>

**Received:** November 4, 2025

**Accepted:** December 20, 2025

**Published:** December 23, 2025

Copyright © 2026 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

## Abstract

The search for novel, plant-based feed ingredients as alternative protein sources to soybean meal is a priority in the aquafeed industry. This study, therefore, investigated the effects of substituting soybean meal with *Azolla pinnata* powder on the growth and reproductive performance of farmed female African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) broodstock. We formulated pelleted diets by replacing soybean meal with *A. pinnata* at levels of 0 (control), 15%, 30%, and 60%. Fish were fed these diets twice daily (8:00 AM and 6:00 PM) at a rate of 5% of their body weight for 90 days. After the feeding trial, we assessed parameters, including growth performance, reproductive traits, and biochemical status of the female *C. gariepinus* broodstock. Initial analysis of growth characteristics revealed no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) across all dietary treatments. However, significant differences were observed in other parameters: Reproductive Performance: The highest reproductive indices for *C. gariepinus* were recorded in the group fed the 30% *A. pinnata* diet ( $p < 0.05$ ). Conversely, the reproductive hormone levels significantly decreased ( $p < 0.05$ ) in fish receiving the 60% *A. pinnata* diet compared to the control. Biochemical Status: Broodstock fed the 60% *A. pinnata* diet exhibited the highest and most significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) serum concentrations of ALP, ALT, Cholesterol, Urea, and Creatinine. No significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) was found in AST or TP levels among the groups. These findings collectively suggest that 30% inclusion of *A. pinnata* powder is an adequate and optimal supplement for the fish feed, promoting better growth, reproductive performance, and overall broodstock development in *C. gariepinus*.

---

## Keywords

*Azolla pinnata* Powder, Soybean Meal, Female Catfish, Reproductive Performance, Biochemical Parameters, Substitution

---

## 1. Introduction

Livestock farming is a major global concern regarding climate change, contributing significantly to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions [1]-[3]. It accounts for 14.5% of all anthropogenic GHG emissions worldwide [4]. Meanwhile, fish remains a vital food source, supplying 22% - 50% of the animal protein in the diets of populations across sub-Saharan Africa [5] [6]. Within aquaculture, feed supply is a critical component influencing the Carbon Footprint (CF) of fish production, as the amount of feed used per kilogram of live weight gain directly affects the CF [1] [7] [8]. Looking ahead, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) estimates that the consumption of animal products will continue its upward trend. This increase will necessitate greater production of protein-based ingredients, thereby exacerbating climate change [9] [10]. The incorporation of imported proteins in animal feed, mainly soybean meal [11] on the one hand, and deforestation for its cultivation and transport on the other [12] [13], contribute significantly to GHG emissions [12]. Finding low-climate-impact feed ingredients is a crucial mitigation strategy for the livestock sector. However, this transition must be coupled with maintaining high feed conversion efficiency (FCE). Without high FCE, increased feed use could negate the environmental benefits gained by switching to a low-impact diet [14]. Therefore, producing protein ingredients locally to reduce environmental impact compared to imported feeds is urgent.

Aquatic forage, traditionally considered waste, can be used as a feed ingredient for aquaculture [15]. *Azolla* is a floating aquatic plant Mishra *et al.* [16] [17], Ray *et al.* [15] [18]. Its bromatological composition includes: 25% - 35% crude protein [19] [20], 2.45% crude lipids; 25.50% crude ash; 11.19% crude fiber [21]; and higher quantity and quality of amino acids (lysine, methionine, cystine, threonine, tryptophan, arginine, isoleucine, leucine, phenylalanine, tyrosine, glycine, serine, and valine) [22] [23] than in soy [24]. In addition to its nutritional value, *Azolla pinnata* contains numerous phytochemical compounds, including flavonoids [25], tannins, phenols [26], alkaloids, and saponins [27] [28]. This rich composition, combined with its high protein content and rapid growth rate, has made *Azolla* the subject of extensive research in aquaculture [29] [30]. [31] reported that *Azolla* powder incorporated at 50% did not negatively affect growth parameters in tilapia, and [32]-[34] reported the same in cyprinids. However, [35] [36] reported that the incorporation rate without an adverse effect on growth parameters in tilapia and cyprinids is 25% - 30%. While numerous studies have reported positive effects of *Azolla* sp. on the growth performance of certain herbivorous fish species, very

few studies have examined its effects on the reproductive performance of omnivorous species. Hence, the general objective of this study is to contribute to research on non-conventional, locally available, inexpensive, and less polluting protein sources to improve the productivity of omnivorous species. More specifically, the aim was to evaluate the effects of substituting soybean meal with *Azolla pinnata* powder on female catfish *Clarias gariepinus*.

- Growth parameters;
- Organ weight;
- and reproductive parameters.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Study Area

The study was carried out at the ANTONIA Belibi Farm in Obala (LN 04° 10'00", LE 11° 32'00"). Obala is located about 1420 meters above sea level. The climate is Guinean temperate due to its altitude, with approximately 2157 mm of rainfall distributed across two seasons: mid-March to mid-June and mid-August to mid-October. The temperature ranges from 20°C - 32°C with an average of 26°C, and the relative humidity generally exceeds 55%.

### 2.2. Plant Material and *Azolla pinnata* Powder

The plant material, *Azolla pinnata* (**Figure 1**), was purchased from an eFarm enterprise in the Bonaberie-Littoral Region, Cameroon. The *Azolla pinnata* was sun-dried and ground in an electric grinder. It was then passed through a 0.5 mm mesh size sieve to prepare the *Azolla* meal. Dried *Azolla* plant samples, along with the other feed ingredients, were analysed for their chemical composition using the methods described in [37]. The formulated diets and the calculated chemical analysis of these tested diets are presented in **Table 1**.

**Table 1.** Chemical composition of Dried *Azolla pinnata*.

Chemical composition of dried <i>Azolla pinnata</i> (%)				
CP	CF	EE	Ash	Digestible energy (Kcal/kg)
28.5	17.25	2.1	23.2	2410



**Figure 1.** *Azolla pinnata*.

### 2.3. Animal Material

Forty-eight (48) catfish broodstock, specifically *Clarias gariepinus* (CG) (32 females and 16 males), were purchased from reputable breeder farms in Nkoabang, Yaounde, Central Region of Cameroon. These males were used only for the actual fertility test. The fish, which had an average initial weight of  $153.35 \pm 6.5$  g, were then stocked into concrete tanks at a density of four fish per tank, with one replicate per treatment. The broodstock were selected based on the readiness of their genitals: females were considered gravid if they displayed a swollen, reddish genital opening, while males were selected based on reddish and pointed genital papilla. The broodstocks were conditioned for two weeks in concrete tanks (100 m<sup>2</sup>). Before the experiment commenced, the broodfish were acclimatized and maintained on a commercial diet containing 40% crude protein, which was fed twice daily.

### 2.4. Experimental Diet

Feed ingredients, including Fish meal, Soybeans, Yellow maize, a Vitamin mix, and a binder, were sourced from the Obala Road Fish Market. A 40% crude protein diet was formulated using Pearson's square method (Table 2).

The preparation process involved several steps:

- Processing: The soybeans were first toasted locally using a frying pan. Fish meal, cassava flour, and maize were subsequently ground into a fine powder using a grinding machine,
- Mixing and Pelleting: The powdered feedstuffs were thoroughly mixed by hand before hot water and the binder were added to form dough. This dough was then pelleted using a hand pelletizer,
- Drying and Storage: Finally, the experimental diets were sun-dried and packaged for use.

**Table 2.** Ingredient composition (100 Kg) and proximate composition (%DM) of basal diet.

Ingredients	Treatments			
	T1 = 0%	T2 = 15%	T3 = 30%	T4 = 60%
Fish meal	25	25	25	25
<b>Soyabean meal</b>	25	21.25	17.5	15
<b><i>Azolla pinnata</i> powder</b>	0	3.75	7.5	10
Granut meal	18	18	18	18
Corn starch	5	5	5	5
Cassava flour	2	2	2	2
Brewery	9	9	9	9
Wheat bran	8	8	8	8
Crude palm oil	1	1	1	1
CMAV	5	5	5	5

**Continued**

Shell meal	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Vitamin Mix	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
Salt	1	1	1	1
Total	100	100	100	100
<b>Proximate composition</b>				
Dry matter (%MS)	88.99	89.12	89.5	90.44
Crude protein (%MS)	40.15	40.50	41.01	41.57
Crude lipids (%MS)	17.50	18.25	18.40	18.50
Ash (%MS)	30.3	31.5	32.01	32.7
Fiber (%MS)	22.1	23.14	24.45	25.57
Crude Energie (kcal/100 g)	220	219.5	219	218

Vitamin-premix-A pfizer livestock product containing the following per kg of feed: A = 4500 I.U, D = 11252 I.U, E = 71 I.U, K3 = 2m, B12 = 0.015 mg, Panthothenic acid = 5 mg, nicotinic acid = 14 mg, Folic acid = 0.4 mg, Biotin = 0.04 mg, choline = 150 mg, colbalt = 0.2 mg, copper = 4.5 mg, Iron = 21 mg, manganese = 20 mg, iodine = 0.6 mg, selenium = 2.2 mg, zinc = 20 mg, antioxidant = 2 mg.

## 2.5. Experimental Design

The study employed a Completely Randomized Design, where the broodfish were randomly assigned to four dietary treatments, each quadruplicated. Fish were stocked in experimental concrete tanks (1 m × 1 m × 1.25 m depth) at a sex ratio of 1 male to 2 females (1:2). The four dietary treatments were formulated as follows:

Treatment	<i>Azolla pinnata</i> inclusion Level	Diet Fed
T1	0% gr/kg	Control (without <i>A. pinnata</i> powder)
T2	15% gr/kg	Experimental Diet 1
T3	30% gr/kg	Experimental Diet 2
T4	60% gr/kg	Experimental Diet 3

For a period of 90 days, the fish received a daily ration equivalent to 5% of their body weight, administered in two feedings (08:00 and 18:00). Following this feeding trial, they were collected and artificially spawned to assess their reproductive performance.

### - Milt Extraction

Milt was extracted from 16 sacrificed males without hormonal inducement, at rate of 4 per treatment. The milt was pooled and divided into four portions. Each portion was diluted with 2 mL of 9 gr normal saline and stored at a temperature below 7°C.

### - Hormonal inducement

Female broodstock, separated by age group, were moved to the hatchery. They were induced with a single injection of Ovaprim (0.5 mL/kg body weight). Following a 12-hours latency period, they were used for the evaluation of biochemical parameters.

### 3. Data Collection

#### 3.1. Blood Sampling Preparation

Three non-heparinized blood samples were collected from the caudal vein at the study's end. After centrifugation (3000 rpm for 15min), the serum was stored in 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  for subsequent biochemical analysis.

#### 3.2. Biochemical Analysis

Follicle Stimulating Hormone (FSH) and Luteinizing Hormone (LH) and estradiol ( $\text{E}_2$ ) were determined using a commercial ELISA kit (Diagnosis Automation, Inc., Calabasas, USA). Total protein (Tp); Alkaline phosphatase (ALP); Aspartate transaminase (AST); Alanine transaminase (ALT); Cholesterol (Chol); Urea (Urea) and Creatinine (Crea) were determined using commercial kits (Diamond Diagnostics, Halliston, MA, USA) and its designated protocol.

#### 3.3. Reproductive Parameters

##### 3.3.1. Gonadosomatic Index and Fecundity Calculation

Each female broodstock were weighed using an electronic scale (SF-400, China) to the nearest 0.1 g and, the abdomen was cut with a pair of scissors and the ovaries were extracted. The ovaries were washed in normal saline solution to remove blood and ovarian fluid. Thereafter, the ovaries were weighed using an electronic scale (METLAR 5000D) to the nearest 0.1 g. Gonadosomatic index (GSI) was calculated according to [38] as follows:

$$\text{Gonadosomatic index (GSI)} = \frac{\text{Gonad weight}}{\text{Total weight of fish}} \times 100$$

Sub-samples of the ovaries weighing 1 g were collected from each ovary from the anterior, posterior and mid part of the ovary. The sub-samples of the ovaries were fixed in Gibson fluid for 48 hours before counting the eggs. Fecundity was determined according to [38] as follows:

$$\text{Fecundity} = \text{Total number of eggs in 1 g of the ovary} \times \text{Ovary weight (g)}.$$

##### 3.3.2. Eggs Characteristics

100 eggs were randomly selected weighed, and preserved in 10% formalin for further egg biometric measurements. The diameter of ripped eggs was determined by using a simple ruler calibrated in millimeters scales.

##### 3.3.3. Fertilization

2 g of eggs from each sample, containing approximately 2000 oocytes were measured into 12 separate containers. Eggs in each container were fertilized by mixing

them with diluted milt and subsequently activated with 100 mL of 0.9% saline solution. The saline was decanted after 5 minutes. Fertilized eggs were distributed for incubation across four breeding tanks (three tanks per treatment). Eggs were placed on a kakaban (shredded nylon sacks) to ensure a uniform monolayer spread and incubated in aerated indoor concrete tanks with the water level set at 30 cm<sup>3</sup> depth. Six hours after incubation, the color variations between the eggs were observed. Clear and transparent eggs were considered fertilized while dead/white and opaque ones were regarded as unfertilized (Dead eggs) and were siphoned out of the spawning tanks after 35 hours [39] [40].

The percentage fertilization was calculated by the average of five determinations of the fertilized eggs per 200 eggs siphoned based on color. Five different locations of the breeding tanks were marked and 200 eggs siphoned from each location and the white eggs recorded [39] [40]. The total larvae survival was determined at 10 days post-hatching. Percentage egg fertilization, hatchability and survival were determined as follows:

$$\% \text{ Fertilization of eggs} = \frac{\text{No. of eggs fertilized}}{\text{total number of eggs}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Hatchability} = \frac{\text{number of hatchlings}}{\text{total number of fertilized eggs}} \times 100$$

% Hatchability was obtained by direct counting of unhatched eggs as well as the number of eggs hatched in each incubating tank,

% Survival was calculated during initial feeding according to [40] as the follows:

$$\% \text{ Survival} = \frac{\text{Number of live larvae}}{\text{total number of larvae hatched}} \times 100$$

### 3.4 Statistical Analysis

Data collected were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to test for significant difference with the aid of predictive analytical software version 21.0. The probability level of ( $p < 0.05$ ) was considered significant.

## 4. Results

### 4.1. Effects of *Azolla pinnata* Powder on Growth Performances in Female *C. gariepinus*

**Table 3** shows the growth performance parameters of the experimental groups (T1-T4) subjected to different levels of *Azolla pinnata* powder substitution. Initial and final weights showed no significant differences among treatments ( $p > 0.05$ ). Average gain weight, feed consumption, feed conversion and gonad weight rate increased with higher *Azolla pinnata* powder inclusion, peaking in T3 (30%). Food consumption varied across treatments, with the lowest intake recorded in T4. Feed conversion was high across all groups, with a slight decrease observed in T4 ( $0.90 \pm 0.02$ ). **Table 3** shows that no significant difference was observed ( $p > 0.05$ ) among the different growth characteristics evaluated.

**Table 3.** Effects of *Azolla pinnata* powder on Growth performances in Female *C. gariepinus*.

Parameters	<i>Azolla pinnata</i> powder (%)				P
	T1 = 0	T2 = 15	T3 = 30	T4 = 60	
Initial weight (g)	156.77 ± 3.74 <sup>a</sup>	158.43 ± 1.25 <sup>a</sup>	157.09 ± 1.80 <sup>a</sup>	157.38 ± 2.63 <sup>a</sup>	0.86
Final weight (g)	638.66 ± 3.21 <sup>a</sup>	639.66 ± 1.52 <sup>a</sup>	638.63 ± 1.70 <sup>a</sup>	636.66 ± 3.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.54
Gain weight (g)	481.89 ± 0.77 <sup>a</sup>	481.23 ± 1.15 <sup>a</sup>	481.54 ± 3.40 <sup>a</sup>	479.28 ± 4.88 <sup>a</sup>	0.73
Feed consumption	440.93 ± 6.65 <sup>a</sup>	447.54 ± 1.52 <sup>a</sup>	443.01 ± 4.72 <sup>a</sup>	435.83 ± 5.56 <sup>a</sup>	0.39
Feed conversion	0.91 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.93 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.92 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.90 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.43
Gonad weight (g)	33.00 ± 1.00 <sup>a</sup>	33.03 ± 1.70 <sup>a</sup>	33.66 ± 3.88 <sup>a</sup>	29.43 ± 0.60 <sup>a</sup>	0.15

The a, b and c: The means of each row marked with different letters are significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ): T1 (0% *Azolla pinnata* powder), T2 (15% (*Azolla pinnata* powder)), T3 (30% *Azolla pinnata* powder), T4 (60% *Azolla pinnata* powder).

#### 4.2. Effects of *Azolla pinnata* Powder on Reproductive Performance in Female *C. gariepinus*

The effects of *Azolla pinnata* powder on Reproductive performances in Female *C. gariepinus* are shown in **Table 4**.

It can be deduced from this table that the fertilization and hatchability rates were significantly influenced ( $p < 0.05$ ) by *Azolla pinnata* powder at doses of 60%. No significant difference was observed for gonadosomatic indexes, fecundity, diameters of oocytes and Survival larva rates. However, the group fed 60% *A. pinnata*, compared to other treatments, showed the lowest value for these parameters.

**Table 4.** Effects of *Azolla pinnata* powder on Reproductive performances in Female *C. gariepinus*.

Reproductive parameters	<i>Azolla pinnata</i> powder (%)				P
	T1 = 0	T2 = 15	T3 = 30	T4 = 60	
GSI	5.16 ± 0.13 <sup>a</sup>	5.16 ± 0.26 <sup>a</sup>	5.27 ± 0.60 <sup>a</sup>	4.62 ± 0.11 <sup>a</sup>	0.16
Fecundity (No of eggs)	7169.33 ± 391.15 <sup>a</sup>	6919.33 ± 432.89 <sup>a</sup>	7137.33 ± 483.86 <sup>a</sup>	6261.67 ± 859.85 <sup>a</sup>	0.04
Diameters Oocyte (mm)	2.06 ± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	2.02 ± 0.10 <sup>a</sup>	2.03 ± 0.09 <sup>a</sup>	1.90 ± 0.20 <sup>a</sup>	0.03
Fertilization (%)	62.13 ± 7.23 <sup>a</sup>	60.21 ± 1.83 <sup>ab</sup>	61.00 ± 3.00 <sup>ab</sup>	59.82 ± 0.72 <sup>b</sup>	0.04
Hatchability (%)	81.61 ± 3.19 <sup>a</sup>	79.25 ± 2.40 <sup>ab</sup>	80.27 ± 2.98 <sup>ab</sup>	75.19 ± 3.26 <sup>b</sup>	0.01
Survival (14 days)	82.42 ± 2.86 <sup>a</sup>	82.42 ± 1.05 <sup>a</sup>	82.55 ± 2.89 <sup>a</sup>	80.10 ± 0.37 <sup>a</sup>	0.01

a, b and c: means of each row marked with different letters are significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ): T1 (0% *Azolla pinnata* powder), T2 (15% (*Azolla pinnata* powder)), T3 (30% *Azolla pinnata* powder), T4 (60% *Azolla pinnata* powder).

#### 4.3. Effects of *Azolla pinnata* Powder on Reproductive Hormones in Female *C. gariepinus*

The effects of *Azolla pinnata* powder on reproductive hormones as shown in **Table 5** reveal that, the serum levels of FSH and Estradiol decreased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) in fish treated with 60% compared to the control group. The serum level of LH decreased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) in fish treated with 60% compared to the control and T2 - T3 groups.

**Table 5.** Effects of *Azolla pinnata* powder on Reproductive hormones in Female *C. gariepinus*.

Reproductive Hormones	<i>Azolla pinnata</i> powder (%)				P
	T1 = (0)	T2 = 15	T3 = 30	T4 = 60	
FSH (mUI/ml)	1.74 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	1.60 ± 0.15 <sup>ab</sup>	1.47 ± 0.1 <sup>ab</sup>	1.43 ± 0.21 <sup>b</sup>	0.05
LH (mUI/ml)	1.14 ± 0.01 <sup>a</sup>	1.14 ± 0.08 <sup>a</sup>	1.13 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	1.03 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.03
Estradiol (pg/ml)	151.81 ± 1.82 <sup>a</sup>	150.62 ± 1.32 <sup>ab</sup>	149.46 ± 0.55 <sup>ab</sup>	148.58 ± 1.11 <sup>b</sup>	0.05

a, b and c: means of each row marked with different letters are significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ): T1 (0% *Azolla pinnata* powder), T2 (15% (*Azolla pinnata* powder)), T3 (30% *Azolla pinnata* powder), T4 (60% *Azolla pinnata* powder).

#### 4.4. Effects of *Azolla pinnata* Powder on Biochemical Parameters in Female fish *C. gariepinus*

Serum biochemical parameters of female fish *C. gariepinus* fed diets with different levels of *Azolla pinnata* powder are shown in **Table 6**. The mean ALP, AST, ALT, Chol, Urea and Crea significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) increased with *A. pinnata* powder levels in diets. Moreover, the significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) and highest serum ALP, ALT, Chol, Urea and Crea were observed in broodstock fed with a 60% *A. pinnata* powder diet. No significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) was observed in the AST and TP of female *C. gariepinus* fed different levels of *Azolla pinnata* powder.

**Table 6.** Effects of *Azolla pinnata* powder on Biochemicals parameters in Female *C. gariepinus*.

Biochemical parameters	<i>Azolla pinnata</i> powder (%)				P
	T1 = (0)	T2 = 15	T3 = 30	T4 = 60	
TP (g/l)	33.97 ± 0.76 <sup>a</sup>	33.82 ± 0.90 <sup>a</sup>	33.85 ± 0.62 <sup>a</sup>	33.20 ± 0.55 <sup>a</sup>	0.58
Chol (mg/dl)	153.33 ± 0.21 <sup>a</sup>	152.80 ± 1.29 <sup>ab</sup>	151.89 ± 0.35 <sup>ab</sup>	151.21 ± 0.59 <sup>b</sup>	0.01
ALP (μ/l)	13.98 ± 0.13 <sup>b</sup>	14.26 ± 0.05 <sup>ab</sup>	14.26 ± 0.46 <sup>ab</sup>	14.42 ± 0.54 <sup>a</sup>	0.05
AST(μ/l)	59.91 ± 0.72 <sup>a</sup>	61.32 ± 0.17 <sup>a</sup>	61.79 ± 0.02 <sup>a</sup>	62.28 ± 0.19 <sup>a</sup>	0.35
ALT(μ/l)	22.28 ± 0.59 <sup>b</sup>	22.86 ± 1.47 <sup>ab</sup>	23.01 ± 1.64 <sup>ab</sup>	24.76 ± 1.66 <sup>a</sup>	0.05
Urea (mg/dl)	16.63 ± 0.59 <sup>b</sup>	17.14 ± 1.06 <sup>ab</sup>	17.54 ± 1.64 <sup>ab</sup>	18.37 ± 2.16 <sup>a</sup>	0.04
Crea (mg/dl)	0.23 ± 0.59 <sup>b</sup>	0.25 ± 1.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.30 ± 1.64 <sup>ab</sup>	0.33 ± 2.16 <sup>a</sup>	0.05

a, b and c: means of each row marked with different letters are significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ): T1 (0% *Azolla pinnata* powder), T2 (15% (*Azolla pinnata* powder), T3 (30% *Azolla pinnata* powder), T4 (60% *Azolla pinnata* powder).

## 5. Discussion

Due to the nutritional significance of plant meals and the unsustainable production of Soybean meal, more research has been conducted to evaluate the utility of plant meals as Soybean meal replacers in fish diets [35] [36]. Among these plant meals, only limited research has been conducted to evaluate the effects of the replacement of soybean meal with *Azolla pinnata* powder on the growth and reproductive parameters of *C. gariepinus*. The results of the present study showed that 30% of *A. pinnata* can replace soybean meal in diets of *C. gariepinus* without any negative impacts on growth performance, which might be due to the presence of

high crude protein content and adequate levels of essential amino acids in *A. pinnata* powder, that meets the requirements of *C. gariepinus*. Similar to the present study, [41] also reported that 50% *Azolla microphylla* can effectively substitute soybean meal in the conventional diet of *C. gariepinus*, without any adverse effects on their growth rate. These results also support the previous findings that up to 40% level of *Azolla* inclusion significantly increased the growth of carps [42] [43]. Similar to this result, a high growth performance and high survival rate in *Labeo fimbriatus*, *Labeo rohita*, and *Cirrhinus mrigala* were reported by [33] [40], Gangadhar *et al.* [44] and [32] at 40% *Azolla* meal diets. However, the variation in inclusion rates of *Azolla* powder may be due to fish species, *Azolla* quality, fibre content, and anti-nutritional factors. Analysis of *A. pinnata* established that it has trypsin inhibitors, which are well-known anti-nutritional factors [45]. In aquaculture, fish feeds are among the important factors affecting feed conversion rate, whereas others are diet composition, culture practices, fish health, genetics and feeding environment [46]. Fibre, which comprises the polymeric cellulose, forms complex inhibitors which not only affect palatability but also hinder enzymatic digestion, absorption and assimilation of nutrients into the fish's metabolism Opiyo *et al.* [47] [48]. The growth performance of a fish is controlled by its metabolism. Therefore, factors which adversely affect metabolism slow down the growth rate of fish. Fish are monogastric with simple stomachs and have reduced capacity to digest the cellulose present in the *A. pinnata* biomass [49] [50]. Opiyo *et al.* [47] indicated that lower feed intake, reduced digestibility and nutrient utilization are associated with increased dietary duckweed in *O. niloticus* fed on macrophytes-formulated diets.

Reproductive performance parameters, namely fecundity, egg diameters, fertilization and hatchability, declined with an increase in *A. pinnata* feed inclusion level. Similar to this result, a high reproductive performance in *O. niloticus* was reported by [51] at 20% duckweed meal diets. This is attributed to an increase the content of anti-nutritional factors as the % *A. pinnata* feed inclusion level increases. This anti-nutritional factor may have interfered with the fish's nutrition hence retarding reproductive performance. According to [52], anti-nutritional factors are natural plant compounds that can harm the health and productivity of livestock by either blocking nutrient absorption or causing toxicity. The present study showed a significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) decrease in the serum levels of FSH, LH and Estradiol in catfish treated with 60% *A. pinnata* compared to other groups. Rinchard *et al.* [53] observed the same result in tilapia fed more than 50% of soybean meal. Decrease the serum level of FSH, LH and Estradiol in the female Cath-fish fed with more than 50% *A. pinnata* diet in this study could be attributed to anti-nutritional factors like tannins, flavonoids and alkaloids contained in this powder. Herbal extracts and plant secondary metabolites are known to alter fish fertility through changes in endocrine regulation, either by physiologically upgrading or pathologically suppressing reproductive status [45] [54]. Specifically, some phytochemicals can enhance reproductive output by down-regulating estradiol concen-

tration via the inhibition of aromatase (cyp19) or by reducing the bioconversion of testosterone to estradiol [55]. Conversely, other phytochemicals may inhibit vitellogenesis by binding to the estrogen receptor, effectively blocking the action of estradiol [56].

Serum biochemical parameters are recognized as valuable tools for monitoring the metabolic and physiological status of fish [57]. Accordingly, this study explored several key biochemical parameters to infer the health conditions of the experimental fish fed *A. pinnata* powder. In fish, proteins are major energy sources critical for maintaining blood glucose levels [58]. The observed lower total serum protein content in fish receiving the 60% *A. pinnata* diet may be attributed to the anti-nutrient load in these high-inclusion diets. This finding is consistent with reports of decreased serum total protein in *Channa punctatus* induced with stem-bark extract [59]. Furthermore, the slight elevation in serum AST in the 60% *A. pinnata* group could be a result of protein degradation and subsequent utilization for metabolic purposes. Ultimately, the higher values of ALP, ALT, Chol, Urea, and Creatinine Crea observed at the 60% *A. pinnata* inclusion level likely indicate a significant metabolic burden associated with the increased levels of anti-nutrients at this higher inclusion rate. The observation agreed with that of [60], who reported elevated ALT, AST and ALP Activities in fish fed a 30% *M. oleifera* leaf meal diet. Transaminases are important enzymes for monitoring the health status of fish [61] and leak out into the bloodstream from dying or damaged liver cells. Elevated levels of transaminases in the blood serum of fish are generally linked to damaged or dying liver cells, while a decrease may suggest enzyme leakage into the serum [62] [63]. The latter effect (leakage/decrease) was observed in our study, indicating potential physiological changes at certain inclusion levels.

## 6. Conclusion

Based on the results obtained, *Azolla pinnata* powder can safely and economically replace 30% of the soybean meal in the diet of female African Catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) broodstock without any negative effects on their health status or the development of their offspring. We therefore recommend replacing 30% of the soybean meal with dried *Azolla pinnata* powder in female *C. gariepinus* broodstock diets. This substitution offers a viable strategy to reduce feed production costs and increase overall profitability. Due to the anti-nutritional factors limiting *Azolla's* use, our future studies will investigate the effect of treated *Azolla pinnata* powder on female African catfish broodstock.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## References

- [1] Mogensen, L., Kristensen, T., Nguyen, T.L.T., Knudsen, M.T. and Hermansen, J.E. (2014) Method for Calculating Carbon Footprint of Cattle Feeds—Including Contri-

- bution from Soil Carbon Changes and Use of Cattle Manure. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, **73**, 40-51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.02.023>
- [2] Xu, X., Sharma, P., Shu, S., Lin, T., Ciais, P., Tubiello, F.N., *et al.* (2021) Global Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Animal-Based Foods Are Twice Those of Plant-Based Foods. *Nature Food*, **2**, 724-732. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-021-00358-x>
- [3] FAO (2021) Perspectives agricoles de l'OCDE et de la FAO. Statistiques Agricoles de l'OCDE (Base de Données).
- [4] Gerber, P.J., Steinfeld, H., Henderson, B., Mottet, A., Opio, C., Dijkman, J., Falcucci, A. and Tempio, G. (2013) Tackling Climate Change through Livestock: A Global Assessment of Emissions and Mitigation Opportunities. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).
- [5] FAO (2024) Résumé de La Situation mondiale des pêches et de l'aquaculture 2024. La Transformation Bleue en Action.
- [6] Etienne, V.H. and Vanderieenen, F. (2023) Poisson et nutrition en Afrique. *Revue belge de Géographie*. <https://doi.org/10.4000/belgeo.60869>
- [7] Reckmann, K., Blank, R., Traulsen, I. and Krieter, J. (2016) Comparative Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) of Pork Using Different Protein Sources in Pig Feed. *Archives Animal Breeding*, **59**, 27-36. <https://doi.org/10.5194/aab-59-27-2016>
- [8] Strid Eriksson, I., Elmquist, H., Stern, S. and Nybrant, T. (2005) Environmental Systems Analysis of Pig Production—The Impact of Feed Choice (12 p). *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, **10**, 143-154. <https://doi.org/10.1065/lca2004.06.160>
- [9] FAO (2011) World Livestock 2011. Livestock in Food Security. Cambridge University Press, 117.
- [10] Boland, M.J., Rae, A.N., Vereijken, J.M., Meuwissen, M.P.M., Fischer, A.R.H., van Boekel, M.A.J.S., *et al.* (2013) The Future Supply of Animal-Derived Protein for Human Consumption. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, **29**, 62-73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2012.07.002>
- [11] Chiozza, M.V., Burachik, M. and Miranda, P.V. (2020) Compositional Analysis of Soybean Event Ind-ØØ41Ø-5. *GM Crops & Food*, **11**, 154-163. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21645698.2020.1742040>
- [12] Prudêncio da Silva, V., van der Werf, H.M.G., Spies, A. and Soares, S.R. (2010) Variability in Environmental Impacts of Brazilian Soybean According to Crop Production and Transport Scenarios. *Journal of Environmental Management*, **91**, 1831-1839. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2010.04.001>
- [13] Taelman, S.E., De Meester, S., Van Dijk, W., da Silva, V. and Dewulf, J. (2015) Environmental Sustainability Analysis of a Protein-Rich Livestock Feed Ingredient in the Netherlands: Microalgae Production versus Soybean Import. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, **101**, 61-72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.05.013>
- [14] Lene, S., Jens, V., Lisbeth, M., Søren, K.J., Julie, K.B. and Morten, A.-J. (2023) Local Protein Sources for Growing-Finishing Pigs and Their Effects on Pig Performance, Sensory Quality and Climate Impact of the Produced Pork. *Livestock Science*, **267**, Article 105128.
- [15] Caruso, D., Lusiastuti, A.M., Pouil, S., Samsudin, R., Arifin, O.Z. and Slembrouck, J. (2023) Can *Azolla filiculoides* Be a Complementary Feed Resource for Ecological Intensification in Small-Scale Fish Farming? Biological Effects on Giant Gourami (*Osphronemus goramy*). *Aquatic Living Resources*, **36**, Article ID: 9. <https://doi.org/10.1051/alr/2023007>
- [16] Mishra, D.B., Roy, D., Kumar, V., Bhattacharyya, A., Kumar, M., Kushwaha, R., *et al.*

- (2016) Effect of Feeding Different Levels of *Azolla Pinnata* on Blood Biochemicals, Hematology and Immunocompetence Traits of Chabro Chicken. *Veterinary World*, **9**, 192-198. <https://doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2016.192-198>
- [17] Langyan, S., Yadava, P., Khan, F.N., Dar, Z.A., Singh, R. and Kumar, A. (2022) Sustaining Protein Nutrition through Plant-Based Foods. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, **8**, Article ID: 772573. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.772573>
- [18] Ray, G.W., Li, X., He, S., Lin, H., Yang, Q., Tan, B., *et al.* (2022) A Review on the Use of Distillers Dried Grains with Solubles (DDGS) in Aquaculture Feeds. *Annals of Animal Science*, **22**, 21-42. <https://doi.org/10.2478/aoas-2021-0041>
- [19] Bara, S.S., Mishra, S., Khune, V., Bara, S. and Banjara, S. (2020) Nutritional Evaluation of *Azolla Pinnata*. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **9**, 16-17.
- [20] Coudert, E., Baéza, E. and Berri, C. (2020) Use of Algae in Poultry Production: A Review. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, **76**, 767-786. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00439339.2020.1830012>
- [21] Mangesh, K., Dhuria, R.K., Dinesh, J., Sharma, T., Nehra, R. and Prajapat, U.K. (2018) A Nutritional Evaluation of *Azolla* (*Azolla Pinnata*) as Feed Supplement. *Veterinary Practitioner*, **19**, 132-133.
- [22] Abdul Kari, Z., Kabir, M.A., Abdul Razab, M.K.A., Munir, M.B., Lim, P.T. and Wei, L.S. (2021) A Replacement of Plant Protein Sources as an Alternative of Fish Meal Ingredient for African Catfish, *Clarias Gariepinus*: A Review. *Journal of Tropical Resources and Sustainable Science (JTRSS)*, **8**, 47-59. <https://doi.org/10.47253/jtrss.v8i1.164>
- [23] Mosha, S.S., Felix, S. and Manikandavelu, D. (2020) Partial Fishmeal Replacement by *Azolla* Meal on GIFT Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) Diet: Effect on Growth Performance, Antioxidant Enzymes, Immunology and Stress Response. *Acta Scientific Veterinary Sciences*, **2**, 17-25.
- [24] Brouwer, P., Schluepmann, H., Nierop, K.G., Elderson, J., Bijl, P.K., van der Meer, I., *et al.* (2018) Growing *Azolla* to Produce Sustainable Protein Feed: The Effect of Differing Species and CO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations on Biomass Productivity and Chemical Composition. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, **98**, 4759-4768. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.9016>
- [25] Cohen-Shoel, N., Barkay, Z., Ilzyer, D., Gilath, I. and Tel-Or, E. (2002) Biofiltration of Toxic Elements by *Azolla* Biomass. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution*, **135**, 93-104. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1014724408952>
- [26] Mithraja, M.J., Antonisamy, J.M., Mahesh, M., Paul, Z.M. and Jeeva, S. (2011) Phytochemical Studies on *Azolla pinnata* R. Br., *Marsilea minuta* L. and *Salvinia molesta* Mitch. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*, **1**, S26-S29. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2221-1691\(11\)60116-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2221-1691(11)60116-0)
- [27] Selvaraj, K., Chowdhury, R. and Bhattacharjee, C. (2013) Isolation and Structural Elucidation of Flavonoids from Aquatic fern *Azolla microphylla* and Evaluation of Free Radical Scavenging Activity. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, **5**, 743-749.
- [28] Noor Nawaz, A., Syed, J., Dileep, N., Rakesh, K. and Prashith Kekuda, T. (2014) Antioxidant Activity of *Azolla Pinnata* and *Azolla Rubra*—A Comparative Study. *Scholars Academic Journal of Biosciences*, **2**, 719-723.
- [29] Yadav, R.K., Tripathi, K., Ramteke, P.W., Varghese, E. and Abraham, G. (2016) Salinity Induced Physiological and Biochemical Changes in the Freshly Separated Cyanobionts of *Azolla microphylla* and *Azolla caroliniana*. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, **106**, 39-45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.04.031>

- [30] Syamsiyah, J., Sunarminto, B.H. and Mujiyo, M. (2017) Changes in Soil Chemical Properties of Organic Paddy Field with *Azolla* Application. *Sains Tanah-Journal of Soil Science and Agroclimatology*, **13**, Article ID: 68. <https://doi.org/10.15608/stjssa.v13i2.611>
- [31] El-Sayed, A.-M. (1992) Effects of Substituting Fish Meal with *Azolla Pinnata* in Practical Diets for Fingerling and Adult Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.). *Aquaculture Research*, **23**, 167-173. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2109.1992.tb00607.x>
- [32] Panigrahi, S., Choudhary, D., Sahoo, J.K., Das, S.S. and Rath, R.K. (2014) Effect of Dietary Supplementation of *Azolla* on Growth and Survivability of Labeo Rohita Fingerlings. *Asian Journal of Animal Sciences*, **9**, 33-37.
- [33] Datta, S.N. (2011) Culture of *Azolla* and Its Efficacy in Diet of Labeo Rohita. *Aquaculture*, **310**, 376-379. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.11.008>
- [34] Tuladhar, B. (2003) Comparative Study of Fish Yields with Plant Protein Sources and Fish Meal. *Our Nature*, **1**, 26-29. <https://doi.org/10.3126/on.v1i1.300>
- [35] Das, M., Rahim, F. and Hossain, M. (2018) Evaluation of Fresh *Azolla Pinnata* as a Low-Cost Supplemental Feed for Thai Silver Barb *Barbonymus gonionotus*. *Fishes*, **3**, 15. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fishes3010015>
- [36] Gangadhar, B., Umalatha, H., Hegde, G. and Sridhar, N. (2017) Digestibility of Dry Matter and Nutrients from *Azolla pinnata* by *Labeo calbasu* (Hamilton, 1822) with a Note on Digestive Enzyme Activity. *Fisheries Technology*, **54**, 94-99.
- [37] AOAC (2005) Official Methods of Analysis. 18th Edition, Association of Official Analytical Chemists. [http://sutlib2.sut.ac.th/sut\\_contents/H125800.pdf](http://sutlib2.sut.ac.th/sut_contents/H125800.pdf)
- [38] Mohammed, Z.B., Uzochukwu Joseph, N., Umar, H.M. and Mohammed, Z.H. (2023) Effects of Tiger Nut (*Cyperus esculentus*) on Reproductive Performance of African Catfish (*Clarias Gariepinus*, Burchell, 1822) Broodstock. *International Academy Journal of Agribusiness and Agricultural Science Annals*, **6**, 1-8.
- [39] Otoh, A.J., Okoko, A.C., Asangusung, P.S., Ekanem, I.E., George, U.U. and Idiong, T.E (2024) Effect of Different Ages of African Catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) Broodstock on Reproductive Performance and Fries Production. *Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research*, **26**, 39-47. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajfar/2024/v26i3745>
- [40] Otoh, A.J., Umanah, S.I. and Udoh, M.T. (2020) Comparative Study of the Effect of Feed Types and Ages of Broodstock on Reproductive Performances of H. Longifilis in Concrete Pond. *Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment*, **16**, 43-50.
- [41] Anita, N., Husna, F., Ridhwan, M., Surya, E., Masyudi, A. and Walil, K.-A. (2020) The Effectiveness of Feeding *Azolla* Microphylla on Catfish (*Clarias batrachus*) Growth. *Proceeding Book of the 3rd International Conference on Multidisciplinary Research*, **3**, 58-70.
- [42] Das, P.C., Sinhababu, D.P., Singh, D.P. and Sahu, P.K. (2004) Utilisation of Sun Dried *Azolla* Caroliniana in Substituting Groundnut Oil Cake in the Conventional Carp Feed. *Journal OF Aquaculture*, **12**, 43-47. <https://doi.org/10.61885/joa.v12.2004.11>
- [43] Kouberi, N., Das, S.K. and Chakrabati, A. (2022) *Azolla* Improves Survival, Net Fish Yield of *Cirrihinus Mrigala* (Hamilton, 1822) under Mid Hill Aquaculture in Meghal, India. *Multilogic Xci*, **12**, 16-19.
- [44] Gangadhar, B., Sridhar, N., Saurabh, S., Raghavendra, C.H., Hemaprasanth, K.P., Raghunath, M.R., *et al.* (2015) Effect of *Azolla*-Incorporated Diets on the Growth and Survival of *Labeo fimbriatus* during Fry-to-Fingerling Rearing. *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, **1**, Article 1055539. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2015.1055539>
- [45] Maity, J. and Patra, B.C. (2003) Isolation and Characterization of Trypsin Inhibitor

- from the Water Fern, *Azolla Pinnata* R.br. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, **27**, 281-294. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4514.2003.tb00283.x>
- [46] Novriadi, R., Rhodes, M., Powell, M., Hanson, T. and Davis, D.A. (2018) Effects of Soybean Meal Replacement with Fermented Soybean Meal on Growth, Serum Biochemistry and Morphological Condition of Liver and Distal Intestine of Florida Pompano *Trachinotus carolinus*. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, **24**, 1066-1075. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anu.12645>
- [47] Opiyo, M.A., Muendo, P., Mbogo, K., Ngugi, C.C., Charo-Karisa, H., Orina, P., *et al.* (2022) Inclusion of Duckweed (*Lemna minor*) in the Diet Improves Flesh Omega-3 Long-Chain Polyunsaturated Fatty Acid Profiles but Not the Growth of Farmed Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, **292**, Article 115442. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2022.115442>
- [48] Solomon, S.G. and Okomoda, V.T. (2012) Growth Performance of *Oreochromis niloticus* fed Duckweed (*Lemna minor*) Based Diets in Outdoor Hapas. *International Journal of Research in Fisheries and Aquaculture*, **2**, 61-65.
- [49] Asimi, O.A., Khan, I.A., Bhat, T.A. and Husain, N. (2018) Duckweed (*Lemna minor*) as a Plant Protein Source in the Diet of Common Carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) Fingerlings. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **7**, 42-45.
- [50] Chepkirui, M., Orina, P., Opiyo, M., Muendo, P., Mbogo, K. and Omondi, R. (2022) Growth Performance of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) Fingerlings Fed with Water Spinach (*Ipomoea aquatica*) Diets. *Annals of Marine Science*, **6**, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.17352/ams.000026>
- [51] Achoki, J.K., Kaingu, C.K., Oduma, J.A., Orina, P.S., Ondiba, R.N., Nyabwanga, R.N., *et al.* (2024) Does Duckweed (*Lemna minor*) Feed Inclusion Play a Role on Growth, Feed Conversion Ratio and Reproductive Performance (Fertilization, Hatchability and Survivability Rates) in Omnivorous Fish? Evidence in Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* -Linnaeus, 1758). *Aquaculture, Fish and Fisheries*, **4**, e70000. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aff2.70000>
- [52] Samtiya, M., Aluko, R.E. and Dhewa, T. (2020) Plant Food Anti-Nutritional Factors and Their Reduction Strategies: An Overview. *Food Production, Processing and Nutrition*, **2**, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43014-020-0020-5>
- [53] Rinchar, J., Mbahinzireki, G., Dabrowski, K., Lee, K., Garcia-Abiado, M. and Ottobre, J. (2002) Effects of Dietary Cottonseed Meal Protein Level on Growth, Gonad Development and Plasma Sex Steroid Hormones of Tropical Fish Tilapia *Oreochromis* Sp. *Aquaculture International*, **10**, 11-28. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1021379328778>
- [54] Ahmadifar, E., Pourmohammadi Fallah, H., Yousefi, M., Dawood, M.A.O., Hoseini-far, S.H., Adineh, H., *et al.* (2021) The Gene Regulatory Roles of Herbal Extracts on the Growth, Immune System, and Reproduction of Fish. *Animals*, **11**, Article 2167. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11082167>
- [55] Balam, F.H., Ahmadi, Z.S. and Ghorbani, A. (2020) Inhibitory Effect of Chrysin on Estrogen Biosynthesis by Suppression of Enzyme Aromatase (CYP19): A Systematic Review. *Heliyon*, **6**, e03557. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03557>
- [56] Sumon, M.A.A., Molla, M.H.R., Hakeem, I.J., Ahammad, F., Amran, R.H., Jamal, M.T., *et al.* (2022) Epigenetics and Probiotics Application toward the Modulation of Fish Reproductive Performance. *Fishes*, **7**, Article 189. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fishes7040189>
- [57] Mondal, A.H., Behera, T., Swain, P., Das, R., Sahoo, S.N., Mishra, S.S., *et al.* (2020) Nano Zinc vis-à-vis Inorganic Zinc as Feed Additives: Effects on Growth, Activity of Hepatic Enzymes and Non-specific Immunity in Rohu, *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton) Fin-

- gerlings. *Aquaculture Nutrition*, **26**, 1211-1222. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anu.13077>
- [58] Shwetha, A., Hosetti, B.B. and Dube, P.N. (2012) Toxic Effects of Zinc Cyanide on Some Protein Metabolites in Freshwater Fish, *Cirrhinus mrigala* (Hamilton). *International Journal of Environmental Research*, **6**, 769-778. <https://bit.ly/2SSIJLY>
- [59] Yadav, R.P., Singh, D., Singh, S.K. and Singh, A. (2003) Metabolic Changes in Freshwater Fish *Channa Punctatus* Due to Stem-Bark Extract of *Croton tiglium*. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, **6**, 1223-1228. <https://bit.ly/35OYNTD>  
<https://doi.org/10.3923/pjbs.2003.1223.1228>
- [60] Dienye, H.E. and Olumuji, O.K. (2014) Growth Performance and Haematological Responses of African mud Catfish *Clarias gariepinus* Fed Dietary Levels of Moringa-oleifera Leaf Meal. *Net Journal of Agricultural Science*, **2**, 79-88.  
<https://bit.ly/2SUhZKs>
- [61] Racicot, J.G., Gaudet, M. and Leray, C. (1975) Blood and Liver Enzymes in Rainbow Trout (*Salmo Gairdneri* Rich.) with Emphasis on Their Diagnostic Use: Study of CCl<sub>4</sub> Toxicity and a Case of *Aeromonas* Infection. *Journal of Fish Biology*, **7**, 825-835.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1975.tb04653.x>  
<https://bit.ly/3dwSpDg>
- [62] Ozovehe, B.N. (2012) Growth Performance, Haematological Indices and Some Biochemical Enzymes of Juveniles *Clarias Gariepinus* (Burchell 1822) Fed Varying Levels of *Moringa oleifera* Leaf Meal Diet. *Journal of Aquaculture Research & Development*, **4**, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9546.1000166>
- [63] Yilmaz, E., Genc, M.A., Cek, S., Mazlum, Y. and Genc, E. (2006) Effects of Orally Administer *Efe Rula Coskunii* (Apiaceae) on Growth, Body Composition and Histology of Common Carp, *Cyprinus Carpio*. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, **5**, 1236-1238.