



Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassisa Type Stability of a Cauchy Additive (ξ_1, ξ_2) -Functional Inequalities with $3k$ -Variables in Complex Banach Space

Ly Van An

Faculty of Mathematics Teacher Education, Tay Ninh University, Tay Ninh, Vietnam

Email: lyvanan145@gmail.com, lyvananvietnam@gmail.com

How to cite this paper: An, L.V. (2022) Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassisa Type Stability of a Cauchy Additive (ξ_1, ξ_2) -Functional Inequalities with $3k$ -Variables in Complex Banach Space. *Open Access Library Journal*, 9: e9480.

<https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1109480>

Received: October 22, 2022

Accepted: November 27, 2022

Published: November 30, 2022

Copyright © 2022 by author(s) and Open Access Library Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

In this paper, we study to solve two additive (ξ_1, ξ_2) -functional inequalities with $3k$ -variables and their Hyers-Ulam stability: First are investigated in complex Banach spaces with a fixed point method and last are investigated in complex Banach spaces with a direct method: These are the main results of this paper.

Subject Areas

Mathematics

Keywords

Additive (ξ_1, ξ_2) -Functional Inequality, Fixed Point Method, Direct Method, Banach Space, Hyers-Ulam Stability

Mathematics Subject Classification

46S10, 39B62, 39B52, 47H10

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} be normed spaces on the same field \mathbb{K} , and $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$. We use the notation $\|\cdot\|$ for all the norms on both \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} . In this paper, we investigate additive (ξ_1, ξ_2) -functional inequalities when \mathbb{X} be a real or complex normed space and \mathbb{Y} a complex Banach spaces. We solve and prove the Hyers-Ulam-Rassisa type stability of following Cauchy additive (ξ_1, ξ_2) -functional inequalities.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\
& \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \quad (1) \\
& \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}}
\end{aligned}$$

and when we change the role of the function inequality (1), we continue to prove the following function inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\
& \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \quad (2) \\
& \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}}
\end{aligned}$$

So (1) and (2) are equivalent propositions, which ξ_1, ξ_2 are fixed nonzero complex numbers with $\mathbf{G}(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ -functional inequality. Note that in the preliminaries, we just recap some of the essential properties for the above problem and for the specific problem, please see the document. The Hyers-Ulam stability was first investigated for the functional equation of Ulam in [1] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms.

The functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called the Cauchy equation. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an additive mapping.

The Hyers [2] gave the first affirmative partial answer to the equation of Ulam in Banach spaces. After that, Hyers' Theorem was generalized by Aoki [3] additive mappings and by Rassias [4] for linear mappings considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. A generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta [5] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference with a general control function in the spirit of Rassias' approach.

The stability of the quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [6] for mappings $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$, where \mathbf{X} is a normed space and \mathbb{Y} is a Banach space. Park [7] [8] defined additive γ -functional inequalities and proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive γ -functional inequalities in Banach spaces and non-archimedean Banach spaces. The stability problems of various functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors on the world even term [4]-[29]. We recall a fundamental result in fixed point theory. The authors studied the Hyers-Ulam stability for the following functional inequalities

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)-f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)-f(z)\right\| \leq \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2^2}+\frac{z}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}f(z)\right\| \quad (3)$$

$$\left\| f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2^2}+\frac{z}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}f(z)\right\| \leq \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)-f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)-f(z)\right\| \quad (4)$$

$$\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \left\| \rho\left(2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)-f(x)-f(y)\right)\right\| \quad (5)$$

$$\left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)-f(x)-f(y)\right\| \leq \|\rho(f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y))\| \quad (6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)+f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}-z\right)-2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)-2f(z)\right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \beta\left(2f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2^2}+\frac{z}{2}\right)+2f\left(\frac{x+y-z}{2^2}-\frac{z}{2}\right)-f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)-f(z)\right)\right\| \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2^2}+\frac{z}{2}\right)+2f\left(\frac{x+y-z}{2^2}-\frac{z}{2}\right)-f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)-f(z)\right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \beta\left(f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+z\right)+f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}-z\right)-2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)-2f(z)\right)\right\| \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

finally

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \\ & \leq \|\beta_1(f(x+y)+f(x-y)-2f(x))\| + \left\| \beta_2\left(2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)-f(x)-f(y)\right)\right\| \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

next

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x_1+x_2+\dots+x_n)-f(x_1)-f(x_2+\dots+x_n)\right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1(f(x_1+x_2+\dots+x_n)-f(x_1-x_2-\dots-x_n)-2f(x_1))\right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \beta_2\left(2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2+\dots+x_n}{2}\right)-f(x_1)-f(x_2+\dots+x_n)\right)\right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

final

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}+\frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{4}\right)-f(x_1)-f\left(x_2+\frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right)\right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1\left(f\left(x_1+x_2+\frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right)+f\left(x_1-x_2-\frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right)-2f(x_1)\right)\right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \beta_2\left(f\left(x_1+x_2+\frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right)-f(x_1)-f\left(x_2+\frac{x_3+x_4+\dots+x_k}{2}\right)\right)\right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

in complex Banach spaces.

In this paper, we solve and prove the Hyers-Ulam stability for (ξ_1, ξ_2) -functional inequalities (1) and (2), *i.e.*, the (ξ_1, ξ_2) -functional inequalities with n -variables. Under suitable assumptions on spaces \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} , we will prove that the map-

pings satisfy the (ξ_1, ξ_2) -functional inequalities (1) and (2). Thus, the results in this paper are the generalization of those in [13] [14] [15] [16] [26] [27] [28] [29] for (ξ_1, ξ_2) -functional inequalities with n -variables.

The goal of the paper is to develop functional inequalities with a higher number of variables to solve problems of general nonlinear functional equations in order to develop the field of nonlinear analysis.

The paper is organized as follows: In the section preliminaries, we remind some basic notations in [13] [14] [17], such as complete generalized metric space and Solutions of the inequalities.

Section 3: In this section, I use the method of the fixed to prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive (ξ_1, ξ_2) -functional inequalities (1) when \mathbb{X} be a real or complete normed space and \mathbb{Y} complex Banach space.

Section 4: In this section, I use the method of directly determining the solution for (1) when \mathbb{X} be a real or complete normed space and \mathbb{Y} complex Banach space.

Section 5: In this section, I use the method of the fixed to prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive (ξ_1, ξ_2) -functional inequalities (2) when \mathbb{X} be a real or complete normed space and \mathbb{Y} complex Banach space.

Section 6: In this section, I use the method of directly determining the solution for (2) when \mathbb{X} be a real or complete normed space and \mathbb{Y} complex Banach space.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Complete Generalized Metric Space and Solutions of the Inequalities

Theorem 1. Let (\mathbb{X}, d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $J: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $L < 1$. Then for each given element $x \in \mathbb{X}$, either

$$d(J^n, J^{n+1}) = \infty$$

for all nonnegative integers n or there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

- 1). $d(J^n, J^{n+1}) < \infty, \forall n \geq n_0$;
- 2). The sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of J ;
- 3). y^* is the unique fixed point of J in the set $Y = \{y \in \mathbb{X} \mid d(J^n, J^{n+1}) < \infty\}$;
- 4). $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(y, Jy) \quad \forall y \in Y$.

2.2. Solutions of the Inequalities

The functional equation

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called the Cauchy equation. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an additive mapping.

3. Establish the Solution of the Additive (ξ_1, ξ_2) -Function Inequalities Using a Fixed Point Method

Now, we first study the solutions of (1). Note that for these inequalities, when \mathbf{X} be a real or complete normed space and \mathbf{Y} complex Banach space.

Lemma 2. Suppose mapping $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \quad (12) \\ & \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, \forall j = 1 \rightarrow k$, then $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is Cauchy additive

Proof. Assume that $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies (12)

We replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (12) we have

$$\left\| 2\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \Gamma(x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq 0$$

Thus

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} f(x) \quad (13)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

It follows from (12) and (13) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & = \left\| 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \quad (14) \\ & \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - |\xi_2|) \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq |\xi_1| \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

we let $u = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j, v = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j$, for all $j = 1 \rightarrow k$,

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-|\xi_2|) \left\| \Gamma(u) - f\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{u-v}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq |\xi_1| \left\| \Gamma(u) + \Gamma(v) - 2\Gamma\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbf{X}$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(1-|\xi_2|) \left\| \Gamma(u+v) + \Gamma(u-v) - 2\Gamma(u) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq |\xi_1| \left\| \Gamma(u+v) - f(u) - \Gamma(v) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbf{X}$. It follows from (15) and (17) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(1-|\xi_2|)^2 \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq |\xi_1|^2 \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Since $\sqrt{2}|\xi_1| + |\xi_2| < 1$

and so

$$\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) = \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, \forall j = 1 \rightarrow k$. Thus Γ is Cauchy additive. \square

Theorem 3. Suppose $\varphi: \mathbf{X}^{3k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2}, \frac{y_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{y_k}{2}, \frac{z_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{z_k}{2}\right) \leq \frac{L}{2} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k) \quad (19)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, \forall j = 1 \rightarrow k$. If $\Gamma: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, \forall j = 1 \rightarrow k$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\left\| \Gamma(x) - \psi(x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{1}{1-L} \varphi(x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (21)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Proof. Replacing $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k)$ by $(x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (20), we get

$$\left\| 2\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \Gamma(x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (22)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Consider the set

$$\mathbb{S} := \{h : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}, h(0) = 0\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on \mathbb{S} :

$$d(g, h) := \inf \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \lambda \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0), \forall x \in \mathbf{X} \right\},$$

where, as usual, $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$. It easy to show that (\mathbb{S}, d) is complete [17] Now we consider the linear mapping $J : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ such that

$$Jg(x) := 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Let $g, h \in \mathbb{S}$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$. Then

$$\|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|Jg(x) - Jh(x)\| &= \left\| 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 2h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2}, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right) \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \frac{L}{2} \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &\leq L\varepsilon \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq L \cdot \varepsilon$. This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h).$$

for all $g, h \in \mathbb{S}$ It follows from (22) that

$$d(\Gamma, J\Gamma) \leq 1.$$

By Theorem 2.1, there exists a mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfying the following:

1) ψ is a fixed point of J , i.e.,

$$\psi(x) = 2\psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (23)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. The mapping ψ is a unique fixed point J in the set

$$\mathbb{M} = \{g \in \mathbb{S} : d(\Gamma, g) < \infty\}$$

This implies that ψ is a unique mapping satisfying (23) such that there exists a $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\|\Gamma(x) - \psi(x)\| \leq \lambda \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$

2) $d(J^l f, \psi) \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. This implies equality

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) = \psi(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$

3) $d(\Gamma, \psi) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(\Gamma, J\Gamma)$. which implies

$$\|\Gamma(x) - \psi(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{1-L} \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. It follows (19) and (20) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+2}} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n |\xi_1| \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n |\xi_2| \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2^n}, \frac{y_1}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{2^n}, \frac{z_1}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{2^n}\right) \\ &= \left\| \xi_1 \left(\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\leq \left\| \beta_1 \left(\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ &\quad + \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$. By Lemma 3.1, the mapping $\psi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is additive. Ei

$$\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) = 0$$

□

Theorem 4. Let $\varphi : \mathbf{X}^{3k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k) \leq 2L\varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2}, \frac{y_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{y_k}{2}, \frac{z_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{z_k}{2}\right) \quad (25)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbf{X}$. Let $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \quad (26) \\ & + \left\| \xi_2 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|\Gamma(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{L}{1-L} \varphi(x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (27)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.

From proving the theorems we have consequences:

Corollary 1. Let $r > 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \quad (28) \\ & + \left\| \xi_2 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & + \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, \forall j = 1 \rightarrow k$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{2 \cdot 2^r \theta}{2^r - 2} \|x\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r \quad (29)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Corollary 2. Let $r < 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| 2f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \quad + \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, \forall j \rightarrow k$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|\Gamma(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{2 \cdot 2^r \theta}{2 - 2^r} \|x\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r \tag{31}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

4. Establish the Solution of the Additive (ξ_1, ξ_2) -Function Inequalities Using a Direct Method

Next, we study the solutions of (1). Note that for these inequalities, when \mathbf{X} be a real or complete normed space and \mathbf{Y} complex Banach space.

Theorem 5. Suppose $\varphi : \mathbf{X}^{3k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k) \\
& := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^j \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^j}, \frac{y_1}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{y_k}{2^j}, \frac{z_1}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{z_k}{2^j}\right) < \infty
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$ and let $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfies $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \quad + \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|\Gamma(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \phi(x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \tag{34}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$

Proof. Replacing $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k)$ by $(x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (33), we get

$$\left\| 2\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \Gamma(x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \tag{35}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2^l \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^m \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| 2^j \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 2^{j+1} \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} 2^j \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right) \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. It follows from (36) that the sequence $\left\{ 2^n \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Since

\mathbf{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{ 2^n \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ by

$$\psi(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \tag{37}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (37), we get (34) It follows from (32) and (33) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+2}} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n |\xi_1| \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n |\xi_2| \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^n}, \frac{y_1}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{y_k}{2^n}, \frac{z_1}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{z_k}{2^n}\right) \\ & = \left\| \beta_1 \left(\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| 2\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$. By *Lemma 3.1*, the mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is additive. Ei

$$\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) = 0$$

Now, let $\psi' : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ be another additive mapping satisfying (34). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(x) - \psi'(x)\| &= \left\| 2^q \psi\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 2^q \psi'\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\leq \left\| 2^q \psi\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 2^q f\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) \right\| + \left\| 2^q \psi'\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) - 2^q f\left(\frac{x}{2^q}\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\leq 2^q \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^q}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2^q}, 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0\right) \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $q \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So we can conclude that $\psi(x) = \psi'(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. This proves the uniqueness of ψ .

Theorem 6. Suppose $\phi : \mathbf{X}^{3k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k) \\ &:= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \phi(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_k, 2^j y_1, \dots, 2^j y_k, 2^j z_1, \dots, 2^j z_k) < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$ and let $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfies $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\quad + \phi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k) \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Gamma(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\leq \phi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of theorem 5.

From proving the theorems we have consequences:

Corollary 3. Let $r > 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \quad + \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbf{X}$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{2 \cdot 2^r \theta}{2^r - 2} \|x\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r \tag{43}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$

Corollary 4. Let $r < 1$ and θ be non-negative real numbers and let $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $f(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \quad + \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|\Gamma(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{2 \cdot 2^r \theta}{2 - 2^r} \|x\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r \tag{45}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$

5. Establish the Solution of the Cauchy Additive (ξ_1, ξ_2) -Function Inequalities Using a Fixed Point Method

Now, we first study the solutions of (2). Note that for these inequalities, when \mathbf{X} be a real or complete normed space and \mathbf{Y} complex Banach space.

Lemma 7. Suppose mapping $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\
& \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(2f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}}
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbb{X}, \forall j = 1 \rightarrow k$ if and only if $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is Cauchy additive

Proof. Assume that $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ satisfies (46)

$(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0)$ in (46) we have

$$\|\Gamma(2x) - 2\Gamma(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq |\beta_1| \|\Gamma(2x) - 2\Gamma(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}}$$

and so $\Gamma(2x) = 2\Gamma(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Thus

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(x) \quad (47)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$

It follows from (46) and (47) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \quad (48) \\ & = \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2k} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - |\xi_2|) \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq |\xi_1| \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \quad (49) \end{aligned}$$

we let $u = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j, v = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j$, for all $j = 1 \rightarrow k$,

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - |\xi_2|) \left\| \Gamma(u) - \Gamma\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{u-v}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq |\xi_1| \left\| \Gamma(u) + \Gamma(v) - 2\Gamma\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \quad (50) \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbf{X}$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\xi_2|) \left\| \Gamma(u+v) + \Gamma(u-v) - 2\Gamma(u) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq |\xi_1| \left\| \Gamma(u+v) - \Gamma(u) - f(v) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \quad (51) \end{aligned}$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbf{X}$ It follows from (49) and (51) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}(1-|\xi_2|)^2 \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq |\xi_1|^2 \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Since $\sqrt{2}|\xi_1| + |\xi_2| < 1$

and so

$$\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) = \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, \forall j = 1 \rightarrow k$. Thus f is Cauchy additive. \square

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.

Theorem 8. Suppose $\varphi: \mathbf{X}^{3n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$\varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2}, \frac{y_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{2}, \frac{z_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{2}\right) \leq \frac{L}{2} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) \quad (53)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$. If $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2f\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{L}{2(1-L)(1-|\xi_1|)} \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0) \quad (55)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$

Proof. Replacing $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ by $(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (54), we get

$$(1-|\xi_1|) \|\Gamma(2x) - 2\Gamma(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0) \quad (56)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Consider the set

$$\mathbb{S} := \{h: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}, h(0) = 0\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on \mathbb{S} :

$$d(g, h) := \inf \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \lambda \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0), \forall x \in \mathbf{X} \right\},$$

where, as usual, $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$. It easy to show that (\mathbb{S}, d) is complete [17] Now we consider the linear mapping $J : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ such that

$$Jg(x) := 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Let $g, h \in \mathbb{S}$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ then

$$\|g(x) - h(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|Jg(x) - Jh(x)\| &= \left\| 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 2h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| \leq 2\varepsilon \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2}, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2}, \dots, 0\right) \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon \frac{L}{2} \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0) \leq L\varepsilon \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq L \cdot \varepsilon$. This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq Ld(g, h)$$

for all $g, h \in \mathbf{X}$. It follows from (56) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \Gamma(x) - 2\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{1 - |\xi_1|} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2}, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2}, \dots, 0\right) \\ &\leq \frac{L}{2(1 - |\xi_1|)} \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So $d(\Gamma, J\Gamma) \leq \frac{L}{2(1 - |\xi_1|)}$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. By Theorem 2.3, there

exists a mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{Y}$ satisfying the following:

1) ψ is a fixed point of J , i.e.,

$$\psi(x) = 2\psi\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \quad (57)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. The mapping ψ is a unique fixed point J in the set

$$\mathbb{M} = \{g \in \mathbb{S} : d(f, g) < \infty\}$$

This implies that ψ is a unique mapping satisfying (57) such that there exists a $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\|\Gamma(x) - \psi(x)\| \leq \lambda \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$

2) $d(J^l \Gamma, \psi) \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. This implies equality

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} 2^l \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) = \psi(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$

3) $d(\Gamma, \psi) \leq \frac{1}{1-L} d(\Gamma, J\Gamma)$. which implies

$$\|\Gamma(x) - \psi(x)\| \leq \frac{L}{2(1-L)(1-|\xi_1|)} \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. □

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.

Theorem 9. Let $\varphi: \mathbf{X}^{3n} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $L < 1$ with

$$\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) \leq 2L\varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{2}, \frac{y_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{2}, \frac{z_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{2}\right) \quad (58)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathbf{X}$. Let $\Gamma: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z_1, \dots, z_n) \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|\Gamma(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{L}{2(1-L)(1-|\xi_1|)} \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0) \quad (60)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 8.

From proving the theorems we have consequences:

Corollary 5. Let $r > 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $\Gamma: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + xi + \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|\Gamma(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{3\theta}{(2^r - 2)(1-|\xi_1|)} \|x\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r \quad (62)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$

Corollary 6. Let $r < 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \quad (63) \\ & \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{3\theta}{(2-2^r)(1-|\xi_1|)} \|x\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r \quad (64)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

6. Establish the Solution of the Additive (ξ_1, ξ_2) -Function Inequalities Using a Direct Method

Next, we study the solutions of (2). Note that for these inequalities, when \mathbf{X} be a real or complete normed space and \mathbf{Y} complex Banach space.

Theorem 10. Suppose $\varphi : \mathbf{X}^{3k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k) \\ & := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^j \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^j}, \frac{y_1}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{y_k}{2^j}, \frac{z_1}{2^j}, \dots, \frac{z_k}{2^j}\right) < \infty \quad (65) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$ and let $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfies $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \quad (66) \\ & \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|\Gamma(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \phi(x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0) \quad (67)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$

Proof. Replacing $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k)$ by $(x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (66), we get

$$(1 - |\xi_1|) \|\Gamma(2x) - 2\Gamma(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, 0, \dots, 0) \tag{68}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

So

$$\left\| \Gamma(x) - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(2x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{1}{2(1 - |\xi_1|)} \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0) \tag{69}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{2^l}\Gamma(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^m}\Gamma(2^m x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| 2^j \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2^j}\right) - 2^{j+1} \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^{j+1}}{2(1 - |\xi_1|)} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2^{j+1}}, \dots, 0\right) \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. It follows from (70) that the sequence $\left\{ 2^n \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Since

\mathbf{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{ 2^n \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right) \right\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ by

$$\psi(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2^n} x\right) \tag{71}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (37), we get (67) It follows from (65) and (66) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n |\xi_1| \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n |\xi_2| \left\| 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+2}} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2^{n+1}}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \varphi\left(\frac{x_1}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{x_k}{2^n}, \frac{y_1}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{y_k}{2^n}, \frac{z_1}{2^n}, \dots, \frac{z_k}{2^n}\right) \\ & = \left\| \xi_1 \left(\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(2\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbb{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) - \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} \right) - \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) - 2\psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} \right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(2\psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) - \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} \right) - \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow n$. By Lemma 5.1, the mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is additive. Ei

$$\psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) = \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} \right) + \psi \left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right)$$

Now, let $\psi' : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be another additive mapping satisfying (67). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi(x) - \psi'(x)\| &= \left\| 2^q \psi \left(\frac{x}{2^q} \right) - 2^q \psi' \left(\frac{x}{2^q} \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\leq \left\| 2^q \psi \left(\frac{x}{2^q} \right) - 2^q \Gamma \left(\frac{x}{2^q} \right) \right\| + \left\| 2^q \psi' \left(\frac{x}{2^q} \right) - 2^q \Gamma \left(\frac{x}{2^q} \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ &\leq 2^q \phi \left(\frac{x}{2^q}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2^q}, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{x}{2^q}, 0, \dots, 0 \right) \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $q \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So we can conclude that $\psi(x) = \psi'(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. This proves the uniqueness of ψ . \square

Theorem 11. Suppose $\varphi : \mathbf{X}^{3k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k) \\ & := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \varphi(2^j x_1, \dots, 2^j x_k, 2^j y_1, \dots, 2^j y_k, 2^j z_1, \dots, 2^j z_k) < \infty \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$ and let $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfies $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Gamma \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) - \Gamma \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} \right) - \Gamma \left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \beta_1 \left(\Gamma \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) + \Gamma \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) - 2\Gamma \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} \right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \beta_2 \left(2\Gamma \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) - \Gamma \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} \right) - \Gamma \left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j \right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \\ & \quad + \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k) \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z_j \in \mathbf{X}, j = 1 \rightarrow k$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|\Gamma(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbb{Y}} \leq \phi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, 0, \dots, 0) \tag{75}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$

Proof. Replacing $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k)$ by $(x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0)$ in (74), we get

$$(1 - |\xi_1|) \|\Gamma(2x) - 2\Gamma(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0, x, \dots, 0) \tag{76}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$.

So Replacing $(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_k, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by $(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, 0, \dots, 0, x, 0, \dots, 0)$ in (74), we get

$$\left\| 2\Gamma\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - \Gamma(x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \varphi(x, 0, \dots, 0, x, 0, \dots, 0, x, 0, \dots, 0) \tag{77}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. So

$$\left\| \Gamma(x) - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(2x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(2x, 0, \dots, 0, 2x, 0, \dots, 0, 2x, 0, \dots, 0) \tag{78}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{2^l}\Gamma(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^m}\Gamma(2^m x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{1}{2^j}\Gamma(2^j x) - \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}\Gamma(2^{j+1} x) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}\varphi(2^{j+1} x, 0, \dots, 0, 2^{j+1} x, 0, \dots, 0, 2^{j+1} x, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. It follows from (79) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{2^n}\Gamma(2^n x) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Since

\mathbf{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{2^n}\Gamma(2^n x) \right\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ by

$$\psi(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n}\Gamma(2^n x) \tag{80}$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (79), we get (75).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of theorem 10. □

From proving the theorems we have consequences:

Corollary 7. Let $r > 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right) \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

for all $x_j \in \mathbf{X}$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|\Gamma(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{3\theta}{(2^r - 2)(1 - |\xi_1|)} \|x\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r \quad (82)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$

Corollary 8. Let $r < 1$ and θ be nonnegative real numbers and let $\Gamma : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a mapping satisfy $\Gamma(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \leq \left\| \xi_1 \left(\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) + \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - 2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \left\| \xi_2 \left(2\Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{x_j + y_j}{2}\right) - \Gamma\left(\sum_{j=1}^k z_j\right) \right) \right\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \\ & \quad + \theta \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \|x_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j\|^r + \sum_{j=1}^k \|z_j\|^r \right) \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

for all $x_j, y_j, z - j \in \mathbf{X}$.

Then there exists a unique mapping $\psi : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ such that

$$\|\Gamma(x) - \psi(x)\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \leq \frac{3\theta}{(2 - 2^r)(1 - |\xi_1|)} \|x\|_{\mathbf{X}}^r \quad (84)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{X}$

7. Conclusion

In this paper, I have given two functional inequalities with $3k$ variables and fully solved complex Banach space by fixed point methods and direct methods. This result is based on special results such as [25] [26].

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Ulam, S.M. (1960) A Collection of Mathematical Problems. Volume 8, Interscience Publishers, New York.
- [2] Hyers, D.H. (1941) On the Stability of the Functional Equation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of the United States of America*, **27**, 222-224.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.27.4.222>
- [3] Aoki, T. (1950) On the Stability of the Linear Transformation in Banach Space. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, **2**, 64-66.
<https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/00210064>
- [4] Rassias, T.M. (1978) On the Stability of the Linear Mapping in Banach Space. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **27**, 297-300.
<https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1978-0507327-1>

- [5] Gävruta, P. (1994) A Generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Approximately Additive Mappings. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **184**, 431-436. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1994.1211>
- [6] Skof, F. (1983) Propriet locali e approssimazione di operatori, *Rend. Seminario Matematico e Fisico di Milano*, **53**, 113-129. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02924890>
- [7] Park, C. (2015) Additive ρ -Functional Inequalities and Equations. *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, **9**, 17-26. <https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-09-02>
- [8] Park, C. (2015) Additive ρ -Functional Inequalities in Non-Archimedean Normed Spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Inequalities*, **9**, 397-407. <https://doi.org/10.7153/jmi-09-33>
- [9] Fechner, W. (2010) On Some Functional Inequalities Related to the Logarithmic Mean. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **128**, 31-45, 303-309. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-010-9153-3>
- [10] Fechner, W. (2006) Stability of a Functional Inequalities Associated with the Jordan-von Neumann Functional Equation. *Aequationes Mathematicae*, **71**, 149-161. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00010-005-2775-9>
- [11] Cadariu, L. and Radu, V. (2003) Fixed Points and the Stability of Jensen's Functional Equation. *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **4**, Article No. 4.
- [12] Diaz, J. and Margolis, B. (1968) A Fixed Point Theorem of the Alternative for Contractions on a Generalized Complete Metric Space. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, **74**, 305-309. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1968-11933-0>
- [13] Van An, L.Y. (2019) Hyers-Ulam Stability of Functional Inequalities with Three Variable in Banach Spaces and Non-Archimedean Banach Spaces. *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, **13**, 296-310. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2019.9954>
- [14] Lee, J.R., Park, C. and Shin, D.Y. (2014) Additive and Quadratic Functional in Equalities in Non-Archimedean Normed Spaces. *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, **8**, 1233-1247. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2014.44113>
- [15] Yun, S. and Shin, D.Y. (2017) Stability of an Additive (p_1, p_2) -Functional Inequality in Banach Spaces. *The Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **24**, 21-31. <https://doi.org/10.7468/jksmeb.2017.24.1.21>
- [16] Van An, L.Y. (2020) Hyers-Ulam Stability of β -Functional Inequalities with Three Variable in Non-Archimedean Banach Spaces and Complex Banach. *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, **14**, 219-239. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2020.91169>
- [17] Mihet, D. and Radu, V. (2008) On the Stability of the Additive Cauchy Functional Equation in Random Normed Spaces. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **343**, 567-572. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2008.01.100>
- [18] Bahyrycz, A. and Piszczek, M. (2014) Hyers Stability of the Jensen Function Equation. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **142**, 353-365. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-013-0347-3>
- [19] Balcerowski, M. (2013) On the Functional Equations Related to a Problem of Z. Boros and Z. Dróczy. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, **138**, 329-340. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-012-0278-4>
- [20] Gilányi, A. (2002) On a Problem by K. Nikodem. *Mathematical Inequalities & Applications*, **5**, 707-710. <https://doi.org/10.7153/mia-05-71>
- [21] Qarawani, M.N. (2012) Hyers-Ulam Stability of a Generalized Second-Order Non-

- linear Differential Equation. *Applied Mathematics*, **3**, 1857-1861.
<http://www.SciRP.org/journal/am>
<https://doi.org/10.4236/am.2012.312252>
- [22] Park, C., Cho, Y. and Han, M. (2007) Functional Inequalities Associated with Jordan-von Newman-Type Additive Functional Equations. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2007**, Article No. 41820. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2007/41820>
- [23] Rätz, J. (2003) On Inequalities Associated with the Jordan-von Neumann Functional Equation. *Aequationes Mathematicae*, **66**, 191-200.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00010-003-2684-8>
- [24] Park, C. (2014) Additive β -Functional Inequalities. *Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications*, **7**, 296-310. <https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.007.05.02>
- [25] An, L.V. (2022) Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassisa Stability of an Additive (β_1, β_2) -Functional Inequalities with n-Variables in Complex Banach Space. *Open Access Library Journal*, **9**, e9183. <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1109183>
- [26] Van An, L. (2022) Generalized Stability Additive λ -Functional Inequalities With 3k-Variable in α -Homogeneous F-Spaces. *International Journal of Analysis and Applications*, **20**, Article 43. <https://doi.org/10.28924/2291-8639-20-2022-43>
- [27] Van An, L. (2022) Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassisa Stability of an Additive (β_1, β_2) -Functional Inequalities with Three-Variables in Complex Banach Space. *IJRDO- Journal of Mathematics*, **8**, 1-14.
- [28] Van An, L. (2022) Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassisa Stability of an Additive (Γ_1, Γ_2) -Functional Inequalities with Three-Variables in Complex Banach Space. *Bulletin of Mathematics and Statistics Research*, **10**, 8-23. <http://www.bomr.com>
<http://www.bomsr.com/10.4.22/8-23%20LY%20VAN%20AN.pdf>
- [29] An, L.V. (2022) Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassisa Type Stability of an Additive α -Functional Inequalities with 3k-Variables in Complex Banach Space. *Open Access Library Journal*, **9**, e9373. <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1109373>