



Advancing Preventive Care in Family Medicine: Best Practices for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion

Ifeoluwa Claudius Daramola^{1*}, John Charles Chidozie Ifemeje¹, Chinonso Gerald Udensi², Farah Mudhafar Fattah Algitagi³, Frederick Kofi Ametepe⁴, Princess Chinwe Nnorom⁵, Onyinyechukwu Chimereogo Ezegwui⁶, Arinze Ifunanya Phina⁷, Deborah Shulamite Gandhi Ametepe⁸

¹Department of General Medicine, Windsor University School of Medicine 1621 Brighton's Estate, Cayon St. Kitts, West Indies

²School of Public Health, University of Alabama, Birmingham, United State of America

³Department of Family Medicine, Western University, Ontario, Canada

⁴Faculty of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

⁵Abia State University Teaching Hospital, Abia State, Nigeria

⁶Mercy Health St Elizabeth's Youngstown Hospital, Ohio, United State of America

⁷Faculty of Medicine, Ternopil National Medical University, Ternopil, Ukraine

⁸Faculty of Medicine, Nantong Medical University, Nantong, China

Email: *claudiusdaramola@yahoo.com, ifemejecharles@yahoo.com, gcludensi@uab.edu, farah_alchetachi95@yahoo.com, fredkofy@gmail.com, nnorom_princess@yahoo.com, onyisen@gmail.com, phina42008@yahoo.com, debs.shu@gmail.com

How to cite this paper: Daramola, I.C., Ifemeje, J.C.C., Udensi, C.G., Algitagi, F.M.F., Ametepe, F.K., Nnorom, P.C., Ezegwui, O.C., Phina, A.I. and Ametepe, D.S.G. (2025) Advancing Preventive Care in Family Medicine: Best Practices for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. *Open Access Library Journal*, 12: e13699.

<https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1113699>

Received: May 30, 2025

Accepted: July 6, 2025

Published: July 9, 2025

Copyright © 2025 by author(s) and Open Access Library Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

Preventive care in family medicine is a cornerstone of primary care practice, focused on reducing the incidence and burden of chronic diseases while promoting long-term health and well-being. By addressing risk factors, providing early detection, and encouraging healthy lifestyle choices, preventive care aims to improve patient outcomes, enhance quality of life, and alleviate healthcare costs associated with chronic conditions. Effective preventive care models encompass a range of strategies, including evidence-based screening guidelines, immunizations, lifestyle counseling, and proactive management of chronic conditions. Screening guidelines, such as those recommended by the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care and United States Preventive Services Task Force, prioritize early detection of diseases like hypertension, diabetes, and cancer. Regular screenings enable healthcare providers to identify and address risk factors before they progress to advanced stages, ultimately reducing morbidity and mortality rates. Health promotion strategies are integral to preventive care, emphasizing patient education, behavior modification, and community outreach. Primary care providers play a crucial role in delivering personalized, patient-centered care by tailoring interventions to individual needs

and preferences. This approach fosters trust, improves adherence to preventive measures, and empowers patients to take charge of their health. The literature underscores the importance of proactive, continuous care in mitigating chronic disease risks. A collaborative, multidisciplinary approach that leverages technology, such as telehealth and electronic health records, can further enhance preventive care delivery. By prioritizing prevention, family medicine can address the root causes of chronic diseases and ensure healthier populations. Preventive care in family medicine is essential for managing chronic diseases, reducing healthcare costs, and promoting long-term health. By adhering to evidence-based guidelines, fostering patient engagement, and leveraging technology, primary care providers can effectively address the growing burden of chronic conditions in Canada and the United State of America.

Subject Areas

Health Policy

Keywords

Preventive Care, Family Medicine, Chronic Disease, Health Promotion

1. Introduction

Chronic diseases, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and cancer, represent significant healthcare challenges worldwide. These conditions are responsible for a substantial burden of morbidity, mortality, and healthcare costs, impacting individuals, families, and communities. In Canada, approximately three out of five adults live with at least one chronic condition, and the prevalence continues to rise due to aging populations, sedentary lifestyles, and unhealthy dietary patterns [1]. Furthermore, an observational study in Ontario used case-mix methodology on a population-based cohort, analyzing 10 years of patient-level data, revealed that the number of patients with at least one chronic disease increased by 11.0% to 9.8 million, while those with multimorbidity (two or more chronic conditions) rose by 12.2% to 6.5% million. Although crude prevalence rates increased, age- and sex-adjusted rates showed a slight decrease, with individuals having one or more chronic conditions dropping from 70.2% to 69.1%, and those with multimorbidity declining from 47.1% to 45.6%. However, the prevalence of major chronic diseases and extreme multimorbidity (eight or more conditions) increased, indicating a trend toward more complex and severe health profiles [2].

Family medicine plays a pivotal role in advancing preventive care, focusing on holistic, patient-centered approaches to manage and mitigate chronic diseases while promoting overall health. By emphasizing early detection, lifestyle modifications, and evidence-based interventions, family physicians can significantly reduce the burden of chronic conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and obesity. Best practices in preventive care include comprehensive

risk assessments, personalized care plans, and continuous patient education. Screening for risk factors, promoting healthy behaviors, and integrating preventive services such as vaccinations and age-appropriate screenings are fundamental. Additionally, adopting a team-based approach, leveraging telehealth, and utilizing electronic health records enhance continuity of care and patient engagement. By prioritizing prevention, family medicine not only improves health outcomes but also reduces healthcare costs. The commitment to proactive care empowers patients to make informed decisions, fostering a culture of wellness and resilience in communities.

Screening protocols play a critical role in the early detection and prevention of chronic conditions, guided by evidence-based guidelines for hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, and cancers, including breast, cervical, and colorectal cancers. Family physicians utilize risk assessment tools like the Framingham Risk Score and QRISK to identify patients at high risk for cardiovascular diseases, allowing for tailored prevention strategies. Regular monitoring and follow-up ensure prompt action when abnormalities are detected. Empowering patients to manage their health is a cornerstone of chronic disease management. Through strategies such as motivational interviewing, shared decision-making, and personalized care plans, family physicians encourage lifestyle changes, adherence to treatment, and self-care. Patient education on diet, exercise, smoking cessation, and medication management is essential for improving health outcomes. Additionally, digital health tools like telemedicine, mobile apps, and remote monitoring further enhance patient engagement and access to care. This approach underscores the importance of a proactive, patient-centered model in family medicine, focusing on prevention, early intervention, and comprehensive care to address the rising burden of chronic conditions and improve the overall quality of life for patients.

2. Preventive Care Models in Family Medicine

2.1. The Patient-Centered Medical Home (PCMH)

The evolution of the patient-centered approach in Canadian family medicine began in the 1960s, with growing emphasis on holistic care that addresses patients' social, cultural, and emotional needs. By the early 2000s, it became a key element of healthcare policy, supported by the Primary Health Care Transition Fund and the Canadian Medical Association [3]. The 2010s saw the introduction of the Patient's Medical Home (PMH) model by the College of Family Physicians of Canada, which promoted continuous, coordinated, and comprehensive care [4]. Widely adopted across Canada, the PMH model integrates modern technologies like telemedicine to improve patient engagement, especially in rural and urban areas. The PMH model enhances access by ensuring patients receive timely and ongoing care from a dedicated team led by their family physician [5]. This team-based approach includes nurses and specialists, enabling holistic and individualized treatment, which contributes to better quality of care. It also strengthens patient-provider relationships and emphasizes responsiveness to patient preferences,

thereby increasing satisfaction [6]. The model supports preventive care and chronic disease management, reducing healthcare costs and the need for expensive interventions [5]. It has been associated with improved outcomes, fewer hospitalizations, and enhanced chronic disease management [7], while also aligning with ethical principles such as autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice [8].

2.2. Chronic Care Model (CCM)

The Chronic Care Model (CCM) is an established framework in family medicine that aims to improve the management of chronic diseases by integrating six key components: self-management support, decision support, delivery system design, clinical information systems, community resources, and health system support. Developed to foster a team-based approach, the CCM encourages collaboration among family physicians, nurses, dietitians, pharmacists, and other healthcare providers to offer comprehensive care. This model emphasizes proactive, patient-centered care that empowers individuals to manage their conditions effectively while utilizing a coordinated healthcare team to support long-term health outcomes [9]. This multidisciplinary approach fosters communication and collaboration, ensuring effective and efficient management of chronic conditions [10]. Additionally, the focus on preventive care is central to the CCM, emphasizing early detection, consistent monitoring, and preventive measures to reduce the risk of complications and hospitalizations [11].

The CCM's use of evidence-based practices is another crucial advantage, as it promotes the application of clinical guidelines and best practices to ensure high-quality, consistent care [10]. By incorporating standardized treatment protocols, healthcare providers can optimize outcomes and deliver reliable, patient-centered care. Moreover, the CCM supports population health management by addressing the needs of high-risk patients at a population level, enabling healthcare systems to allocate resources effectively and prioritize preventive and chronic care services [9].

While the Chronic Care Model (CCM) offers numerous advantages, it also faces several challenges that can hinder its effectiveness. Implementation challenges are significant, as setting up a CCM requires substantial time, effort, and financial investment, which can be particularly burdensome for small practices [10]. Additionally, poor coordination between healthcare providers can result in fragmented care, leading to inconsistent management of chronic conditions [12].

The model's reliance on patient compliance is another limitation, as its success depends on patients actively participating in self-care—an aspect often challenging for those with low health literacy or limited motivation [9]. Moreover, limited access in rural or underserved areas may reduce the model's effectiveness, as comprehensive care teams are often unavailable in these regions [11]. The reliance on technology, such as electronic health records (EHRs) and digital tools, can pose challenges for older adults or those uncomfortable with technology, creating bar-

riers to effective care [12]. Lastly, the CCM's focus on managing chronic conditions can sometimes lead to over-medicalization, including the potential for over-prescription or excessive interventions [10]. These disadvantages highlight the need for targeted solutions to ensure the effective and equitable implementation of the CCM across diverse settings.

2.3. Health Promotion and Disease Prevention (HPDP) Model

The Health Promotion and Disease Prevention (HPDP) model is a proactive approach in healthcare that emphasizes preventing diseases and promoting healthy lifestyles. It focuses on identifying risk factors, educating patients, and implementing interventions to reduce the incidence and impact of diseases. This model aligns closely with family medicine's core principles, emphasizing comprehensive, patient-centered, and preventive care [13] [14].

The HPDP model operates on three levels of prevention. **Primary prevention** aims to avert the onset of diseases by addressing risk factors before any health issues arise. This level includes strategies such as immunizations, health education, and lifestyle counseling to promote healthy behaviors. Examples include encouraging regular physical activity, balanced diets, smoking cessation, and the use of protective measures like sunscreen and seatbelts. By focusing on prevention, primary measures significantly reduce the burden of preventable diseases, such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and certain cancers [15].

Secondary prevention focuses on early detection and prompt treatment of diseases to halt or slow their progression. This level often involves screenings and diagnostic tests to identify diseases in their asymptomatic stages. For instance, mammograms for breast cancer, colonoscopies for colorectal cancer, and blood pressure screenings are essential for detecting conditions early when they are most treatable. The goal is to prevent complications and improve long-term health outcomes through timely intervention. [16].

Tertiary prevention involves managing and mitigating the effects of established diseases to prevent further complications and improve quality of life. This level focuses on rehabilitation, chronic disease management, and ongoing medical care. For patients with diabetes, tertiary prevention includes blood sugar control, regular eye and foot exams, and managing comorbidities. For stroke survivors, it involves physical therapy, medication adherence, and lifestyle modifications to prevent recurrent strokes [15].

The HPDP model is crucial for promoting health equity, reducing healthcare costs, and enhancing overall population health. By prioritizing prevention over treatment, this model addresses the root causes of diseases and encourages healthier behaviors, ultimately leading to longer, healthier lives.

2.4. Value-Based Care (VBC) Model

The Value-Based Care (VBC) model prioritizes improving patient outcomes while reducing healthcare costs, contrasting with the fee-for-service model that rewards

service volume over quality [17]. VBC emphasizes preventive care, chronic disease management, and patient well-being [18]. A key feature is care coordination, which ensures smooth transitions across care levels—particularly important for patients with complex conditions—reducing redundant tests, medical errors, and enhancing satisfaction [19]. For instance, collaboration among primary care physicians, specialists, pharmacists, and social workers supports comprehensive patient care.

Central to VBC is effective chronic disease management, targeting conditions like diabetes, hypertension, and heart disease through early intervention, continuous monitoring, and promoting medication adherence [20]. Patient engagement is another foundational element, empowering individuals to actively participate in health decisions and care planning. This approach enhances accountability and health literacy, supported by shared decision-making tools and health education [21].

The model also relies on outcome measurement, evaluating providers based on metrics such as reduced hospital readmissions, higher patient satisfaction, and improved clinical outcomes [17]. These performance indicators drive consistent, high-quality, evidence-based care. Overall, VBC delivers numerous benefits including better health outcomes, enhanced patient experiences, and cost efficiency. In family medicine, it supports coordinated, personalized, and prevention-focused care, contributing to healthier communities [18].

2.5. Team-Based Care Model

The Team-Based Care Model is a comprehensive approach to healthcare delivery that leverages the diverse expertise of multidisciplinary teams to provide patient-centered, holistic care. This model is especially effective in family medicine, where patients often present with complex needs that require coordinated care from multiple healthcare professionals [22] [23]. The care team typically includes family physicians, nurses, pharmacists, social workers, mental health professionals, and other allied health providers working together to deliver seamless, integrated care.

One of the primary goals of the Team-Based Care Model is to improve access to care by reducing wait times and increasing the availability of services. By sharing responsibilities, patients can receive timely care from the most appropriate provider—even in the absence of the primary physician. For instance, nurse practitioners may manage minor illnesses or conduct routine health checks, allowing physicians to focus on complex cases [24]. This approach enhances access and maximizes the efficiency of care delivery.

The model also aims to enhance care coordination through open communication and collaboration among team members. Each professional is kept informed of the patient's health status, treatment plans, and ongoing needs, which reduces redundant care and improves treatment outcomes [25]. For example, a physician might coordinate with a pharmacist to manage medications or with a social worker

to address social determinants of health.

A notable strength of this model is its emphasis on preventive services, including screenings, immunizations, and health education. Prevention is critical for identifying risk factors, detecting disease early, and promoting healthy behaviors. The multidisciplinary nature of the team allows for a wide range of preventive interventions—such as mental health assessments, lifestyle counseling, and chronic disease management [26]. In addition to improving patient outcomes, the Team-Based Care Model enhances provider satisfaction by distributing workload and reducing burnout. Professionals focus on their specific areas of expertise, resulting in more efficient and effective care [27]. In family medicine—where continuity, long-term relationships, and trust are vital—this model supports comprehensive, personalized care tailored to individual patient needs.

3. Screening Guidelines for Chronic Disease

Chronic diseases, including diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and cancer, represent significant health challenges in Canada and the US, contributing to substantial morbidity, mortality, and healthcare costs. Effective screening and evidence-based treatment are crucial for early detection, prevention, and management of these conditions. National guidelines from organizations such as Diabetes Canada, the Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS), the Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care (CTFPHC), U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF), American Diabetes Association (ADA), American Heart Association (AHA), American Cancer Society (ACS) and the Canadian Thoracic Society (CTS) provide comprehensive recommendations to guide healthcare providers in identifying at-risk populations and initiating appropriate interventions. These guidelines emphasize risk-based screening, lifestyle modifications, pharmacological therapies, and long-term management strategies tailored to individual patient needs. By adhering to these recommendations, healthcare professionals can significantly reduce the burden of chronic diseases, improve patient outcomes, and enhance the overall quality of life.

3.1. Cardiovascular Disease Prevention and Screening Guidelines

The Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS) recommends cardiovascular disease (CVD) screening for adults over 40 years of age, or earlier for high-risk individuals, offering evidence-based guidelines for prevention and management tailored to the Canadian context. Primary prevention emphasizes lifestyle changes, including adherence to a Mediterranean or DASH diet rich in fruits, vegetables, whole grains, and healthy fats, along with at least 150 minutes per week of moderate-intensity aerobic and resistance exercise. A study showed that the Mediterranean diet significantly improved cardiovascular health by lowering blood pressure, improving cholesterol, and enhancing weight control across diverse ethnic groups [28]. Smoking cessation and reducing alcohol intake are vital for lowering CVD risk. Pharmacological strategies include statins for patients with elevated LDL-C

or those with a Framingham Risk Score > 20%, and antihypertensive therapy targeting < 130/80 mmHg in high-risk individuals. Low-dose aspirin (81 mg daily) is advised only for secondary prevention, not for primary prevention [29].

Hypertension management aims for <140/90 mmHg in most individuals, and <130/80 mmHg in high-risk groups such as those with diabetes or chronic kidney disease. First-line therapies include ACE inhibitors/ARBs, thiazide diuretics, and calcium channel blockers, with combination therapy recommended if initial blood pressure is $\geq 20/10$ mmHg above target [30].

Dyslipidemia is managed using statins for high-risk patients—those with LDL-C ≥ 5.0 mmol/L, diabetes, CKD, or established CVD—with a target LDL-C of <2.0 mmol/L or $\geq 50\%$ reduction. Ezetimibe or PCSK9 inhibitors may be added if targets are not met [31]. For heart failure (HF), CCS guidelines support sodium restriction (<2 g/day), fluid restriction in advanced stages, and medications including ARNI (sacubitril/valsartan), beta-blockers, MRAs, and SGLT2 inhibitors. Selected patients may benefit from device therapies like ICD or CRT [32]. Atrial fibrillation (AF) is managed through rate or rhythm control with beta-blockers or non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockers. Stroke prevention in non-valvular AF favors direct oral anticoagulants (DOACs) over warfarin, while catheter ablation is an option for refractory symptomatic cases [33].

In acute coronary syndromes (ACS), early dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) with aspirin and a P2Y₁₂ inhibitor (ticagrelor or clopidogrel) is essential. Prompt revascularization is recommended for STEMI and high-risk NSTEMI. Long-term treatment includes lifestyle changes, statins, beta-blockers, ACE inhibitors, and continued DAPT [34].

3.2. Diabetes Screening Guidelines

Diabetes Canada recommends screening adults aged 40 and above for type 2 diabetes every three years, or more frequently for individuals at high risk as determined by tools like the CANRISK calculator. High-risk individuals include those with a family history of diabetes, high-risk ethnic backgrounds (e.g., Indigenous, South Asian, African, Hispanic), gestational diabetes history, macrosomic births, hypertension, dyslipidemia, obesity (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m², or ≥ 23 kg/m² for certain populations), cardiovascular disease, polycystic ovary syndrome, or acanthosis nigricans, who should be screened annually [35]. Screening methods include fasting plasma glucose (FPG), hemoglobin A1C (HbA1c), and the 75 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). Diabetes is diagnosed if FPG ≥ 7.0 mmol/L, HbA1c $\geq 6.5\%$, or 2-hour OGTT ≥ 11.1 mmol/L; random plasma glucose ≥ 11.1 mmol/L may confirm diagnosis in symptomatic individuals. HbA1c should be used cautiously in patients with hemoglobinopathies or anemia [36].

For children and adolescents aged 10 years or at the onset of puberty, screening every two years is advised if they are overweight/obese with additional risk factors like family history, high-risk ethnicity, insulin resistance signs, or maternal history of diabetes/gestational diabetes [37]. Individuals with normal results should

be rescreened according to risk level—every three years for low risk and annually for high risk. Those with prediabetes (FPG 6.1 - 6.9 mmol/L or A1C 6.0% - 6.4%) require closer monitoring and lifestyle intervention [36].

Similarly, the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) provides guidelines for cardiovascular and diabetes risk screening. Blood pressure should be screened in all adults 18+, with annual checks for those 40+ or with risk factors, and every 3 - 5 years in younger adults with normal readings and no risks [38]. Lipid screening is recommended for men 35+ and younger adults (including women) at increased CVD risk, typically every 4 - 6 years [39]. Screening for type 2 diabetes is recommended for adults aged 35 - 70 who are overweight or obese, with repeat testing every three years if normal [40].

For men aged 65 - 75 who have ever smoked, a one-time abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) screening with ultrasound is recommended due to elevated vascular risk [41]. Statin therapy is advised for adults aged 40 - 75 with at least one CVD risk factor and a 10-year risk $\geq 10\%$, favoring low- to moderate-dose statins for primary prevention [42]. Routine aspirin use is no longer recommended for most older adults; it is now individualized for those aged 40 - 59 with $\geq 10\%$ 10-year CVD risk and low bleeding risk [43].

Lifestyle modifications remain central: patients with risk factors should receive counseling on diet, exercise, smoking cessation, and weight control to lower CVD risk [44]. Risk calculators like the ASCVD Risk Estimator Plus, from the ACC/AHA, guide clinical decisions regarding statin and aspirin therapy [45]. The USPSTF further emphasizes diabetes screening in overweight or obese adults aged 35 - 70 using FPG, HbA1c, or OGTT, with repeat testing every three years if normal. Early detection supports timely intervention to prevent disease progression and complications.

3.3. Cancer Screening Guidelines

Canadian cancer screening guidelines target early detection of common cancers, including breast, cervical, colorectal, lung, and prostate cancers. For **breast cancer**, average-risk women aged 50 - 74 should undergo mammography every 2 - 3 years. Routine screening is not advised for women aged 40 - 49 due to risks of false positives and overdiagnosis, while women aged 75+ should decide based on health status and personal preference. High-risk women (e.g., BRCA mutation carriers) should begin annual mammography and MRI at age 30 [46].

Cervical cancer screening includes Pap tests every 3 years for sexually active women aged 25 - 69. Screening may stop at 70 if the previous three tests within 10 years were normal. HPV testing, with greater sensitivity, is becoming a recommended alternative or complement to the Pap test [47].

Colorectal cancer, adults aged 50 - 74 should be screened with fecal occult blood test (FOBT) or fecal immunochemical test (FIT) every 2 years, or with flexible sigmoidoscopy every 10 years. Those with a first-degree relative diagnosed with colorectal cancer should start screening at age 40 or 10 years before the rela-

tive's age at diagnosis. High-risk individuals may require colonoscopy [48].

Lung cancer screening is recommended annually using low-dose computed tomography (LDCT) for individuals aged 55 - 74 with a 30-pack-year smoking history who are current smokers or quit within the last 15 years. Screening is not advised for those at low risk [49].

For **prostate cancer**, PSA testing is not recommended for average-risk men due to risks of overdiagnosis and overtreatment. Men aged 55 - 69 who desire screening should be informed of the risks and benefits to support shared decision-making [50]. These guidelines emphasize balancing screening benefits against harms, highlighting personalized care.

In the **United States**, the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) provides similar evidence-based recommendations. For **breast cancer**, biennial mammography is recommended for women aged 50 - 74, with the option for women aged 40 - 49 to begin earlier based on individual risk and preference [51].

Cervical cancer screening in the U.S. includes Pap smears every 3 years for women aged 21 - 29, and for women aged 30 - 65, options include a Pap test every 3 years, high-risk HPV testing every 5 years, or co-testing every 5 years [52].

For **colorectal cancer**, adults aged 45 - 75 are advised to screen via colonoscopy every 10 years, annual FIT, stool DNA-FIT every 1 - 3 years, or flexible sigmoidoscopy with FIT [53].

Lung cancer screening is advised annually with low-dose CT for adults aged 50 - 80 who have a 20 pack-year smoking history and currently smoke or quit within the past 15 years [54].

Prostate cancer screening with PSA is subject to shared decision-making for men aged 55 - 69, while it is not recommended for men aged 70 and above [55].

Both Canadian and U.S. guidelines prioritize age-appropriate, risk-based, and individualized cancer screening to enhance early detection and optimize patient outcomes.

4. Health Promotion Strategies in Primary Care

4.1. Motivational Interviewing (MI)

Motivational Interviewing (MI) is a patient-centered, directive counseling technique developed by Miller and Rollnick in the 1980s to enhance intrinsic motivation for behavior change by resolving ambivalence. It is rooted in empathy, active listening, and collaboration, helping guide—rather than instruct—patients toward healthier choices [56].

MI is highly effective in managing chronic diseases such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease, hypertension, obesity, smoking cessation, and substance use disorders. In diabetes care, it supports dietary adherence, medication compliance, and physical activity, improving glycemic control and self-care behaviors [57]. In cardiovascular and hypertensive patients, MI promotes lifestyle changes, reduces blood pressure, and lowers cholesterol [58]. It also addresses ambivalence in obesity management, helping patients set achievable goals [57], and in smoking ces-

sation, MI leads to higher quit rates by supporting personalized quit plans [59]. MI plays a vital role in treating substance use disorders by promoting autonomy and personal motivation for change [56].

Key principles include expressing empathy, developing discrepancy, rolling with resistance, and supporting self-efficacy [56]. Techniques like open-ended questions, affirmations, reflective listening, and summarizing (OARS) help evoke “change talk”. When delivered in a non-judgmental setting, MI aligns behavior change with personal values and goals. Evidence supports MI’s role in improving clinical outcomes, particularly when paired with other strategies like cognitive-behavioral therapy [58]. However, challenges include time limitations in clinical practice and the need for adequate practitioner training.

4.2. Health Education and Self-Management Programs

Self-management education programs are designed to empower patients with the knowledge, skills, and confidence to manage chronic conditions, adhere to medications, and adopt healthy lifestyles. Evidence shows these programs reduce complications, improve quality of life, and enhance health outcomes. A systematic review by [60] found that such programs improved disease control, decreased emergency visits, and enhanced patient well-being in chronic illnesses like diabetes, hypertension, and asthma.

In diabetes care, Diabetes Self-Management Education and Support (DSMES) was shown to improve glycemic control, blood pressure, cholesterol, and adherence, especially when provided at diagnosis and during care transitions [61]. Tailored interventions using motivational interviewing and behavior change strategies yielded long-term success.

Education programs also improve medication adherence. Interventions using education, reminders, and behavioral support reduced complications and increased adherence by addressing barriers and emphasizing medication importance [62]. For cardiovascular disease, lifestyle-focused education (diet, exercise, smoking cessation) improved risk profiles and outcomes. Multidisciplinary approaches involving nurses and dietitians increased patient confidence and self-efficacy [63].

Similarly, in COPD, self-management education reduced hospitalizations and improved symptoms and quality of life. Key components included exacerbation action plans, breathing techniques, and exercise training [64].

4.3. Digital Health Interventions Telehealth and Mobile Health Applications

Digital health interventions, including telehealth and mobile health (mHealth) applications, are transforming healthcare delivery in Canada, especially for rural and underserved populations. These tools enhance access to care, patient engagement, and preventive care. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated their adoption, underlining their role in improving healthcare accessibility and quality in remote areas.

Telehealth encompassing virtual consultations, remote monitoring, and telemedicine—has become a key element in delivering accessible, patient-centered care. According to [65], telehealth effectively manages chronic diseases and mental health conditions and facilitates specialist consultations, while reducing travel, costs, and wait times without compromising clinical outcomes or patient satisfaction. It is especially valuable in rural and northern communities with limited healthcare access.

In Indigenous and remote communities, digital health is vital for delivering culturally appropriate care. [66] found that telehealth improves access to specialized services and chronic disease management for Indigenous populations, resulting in better health outcomes. The study stressed the need for co-designed solutions with community input to ensure cultural relevance.

mHealth applications provide personalized, on-demand health information via mobile devices, supporting preventive care, self-management, and healthy behaviors. As reported by [67], these apps enhance medication adherence, chronic disease management, and mental health support. They are particularly effective in managing diabetes, encouraging smoking cessation, supporting mental health, and tracking physical activity. For chronic disease prevention, mHealth apps offer educational resources, reminders, and interactive tools that empower patients. [68] showed that a heart failure management app reduced hospital readmissions by supporting remote monitoring and self-management, with patients reporting increased engagement and understanding of their condition. Digital tools support preventive care by enabling early intervention, continuous monitoring, and personalized plans. [69] highlighted their role in chronic disease management through risk assessments, education, and real-time monitoring, which helps detect health issues early and improve outcomes.

Digital interventions also boost patient engagement by fostering self-efficacy and shared decision-making. A study by [70] emphasized that virtual care models empower patients through tailored support, self-monitoring tools, and accessible communication with health professionals, promoting active health management. However, challenges persist in implementing digital health interventions across Canada. Issues like infrastructure gaps, digital literacy, data security, and regulatory hurdles remain, especially in rural areas. [71] noted that successful adoption requires robust infrastructure, equitable access, and active community involvement to address social determinants of health and deliver inclusive care.

4.4. Addressing Doctor Shortage in Canada for Healthcare Promotion

Foreign-trained doctors face significant challenges when seeking clinical residency positions in Canada, primarily due to the mandatory requirement for permanent residency or Canadian citizenship to apply through the Canadian Resident Matching Service (CaRMS). This requirement often excludes many qualified international medical graduates (IMGs) who have successfully passed licensing

exams but lack the required immigration status. According to the Canadian Resident Matching Service (CaRMS), IMGs must hold permanent residency or citizenship before applying [72]. This rule often discourages talented foreign-trained doctors from seeking Canadian residency opportunities, contributing to an underutilization of skilled professionals.

In the 2025 residency match, a notable number of rural and Francophone residency positions went unfilled, highlighting a persistent challenge. Rural communities in Canada continue to experience physician shortages due to the lack of interest from medical graduates. According to [73] the first iteration of the 2025 R-1 Main Residency Match concluded on March 4, 2025. At the end of this phase, 367 residency positions remained unfilled and were automatically carried over to the second iteration of the match.

CIC Immigration News reported that while Canada faces a healthcare labor shortage, restrictive policies on foreign-trained doctors worsen the situation [74]. Even when doctors match into rural residency programs, retaining them post-training remains challenging. Many residents choose to return to urban centers due to family ties and lifestyle preferences, exacerbating healthcare disparities in underserved regions. In contrast, the United States adopts a more inclusive approach toward IMGs. Foreign-trained doctors can apply for residency positions without needing a green card. Many U.S. residency programs sponsor visas, enabling a broader pool of qualified international doctors to enter the workforce. This approach has allowed the U.S. to meet healthcare demands, particularly in underserved areas [75].

To address healthcare shortages and make effective use of skilled IMGs, Canada could consider modifying the permanent residency requirement by allowing qualified IMGs to apply for residency while pursuing permanent residency status. Additionally, expanding immigration pilot programs, such as the Rural Community Immigration Pilot (RCIP) and Francophone Community Immigration Pilot (FCIP), which provide fast-track permanent residency options for skilled healthcare workers in underserved areas, could help alleviate shortages [76]. Offering financial incentives, career advancement opportunities, and community integration support could further encourage long-term retention of physicians in rural areas.

4.5. Community Resource Referrals for Health Promotion

Community resource referrals are essential for addressing social determinants of health by linking patients to critical support services such as nutrition programs, physical activity groups, smoking cessation, and mental health support. Integrating these resources into healthcare enhances health outcomes, reduces costs, and boosts patient engagement [77].

To improve referral effectiveness, a centralized, accessible database should be developed for use by healthcare providers, social workers, and patients. This platform must offer current information on eligibility, locations, hours, and contact details. Research shows that digital tools like these enhance referral efficiency and

increase patient use of community services [78]. Moreover, user-friendly technologies—such as mobile apps and online portals—can streamline connections between healthcare and community organizations [79]. For older adults and those without internet access, information dissemination must include traditional media and public venues. Sharing service details through local newspapers, community radio, and public libraries—where printed directories and staff support are available—ensures broad and equitable access. By using diverse communication channels and implementing a comprehensive, accessible community resource database, healthcare systems can better connect clinical care with social support, promoting health equity and improving patient outcomes.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, family medicine plays a crucial role in providing comprehensive, continuous, and patient-centered care. The discipline encompasses a broad spectrum of medical knowledge and skills, allowing family physicians to address a wide range of health concerns across all age groups. As primary care providers, family physicians serve as the first point of contact for patients, fostering long-term relationships that enhance the quality of care and patient outcomes. Their holistic approach, which considers biological, psychological, and social factors, ensures that individuals receive personalized and preventive care tailored to their specific needs.

Furthermore, family medicine contributes significantly to the healthcare system by reducing hospital admissions, lowering healthcare costs, and promoting community health. The emphasis on preventive care, early diagnosis, and chronic disease management helps mitigate the burden on specialized healthcare services. Additionally, family physicians play a key role in addressing public health challenges, advocating for healthier lifestyles, and improving health literacy among their patients.

As the healthcare landscape continues to evolve, family medicine remains essential in adapting to emerging medical advancements and shifting patient demographics. The integration of technology, telemedicine, and evidence-based practices further enhances the effectiveness of family medicine in delivering high-quality care. Moving forward, it is imperative to support and strengthen family medicine through adequate funding, education, and policy initiatives to ensure that communities continue to benefit from its invaluable contributions.

Family medicine practice should integrate preventive care models by prioritizing proactive health management and chronic disease prevention. The Patient-Centered Medical Home (PCMH) model can be adopted to provide comprehensive, continuous, and personalized care. Using the Health Promotion and Disease Prevention (HPDP) model, the practice should emphasize early detection, health education, and lifestyle modification. The Value-Based Care model ensures care quality by focusing on outcomes rather than service volume. Through the Team-Based Care model, multidisciplinary teams collaborate to deliver coordinated and

holistic care. This approach enhances patient satisfaction, improves outcomes, and fosters a healthier community. In essence, family medicine stands as a pillar of healthcare, embodying the principles of accessibility, continuity, and comprehensive care. By reinforcing the role of family physicians and fostering interdisciplinary collaboration, the discipline will continue to improve patient well-being and promote healthier communities for generations to come.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Public Health Agency of Canada (2019) Chronic Diseases and Conditions. <https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/chronic-diseases.html>
- [2] Steffler, M., Li, Y., Weir, S., Shaikh, S., Murtada, F., Wright, J.G., *et al.* (2021) Trends in Prevalence of Chronic Disease and Multimorbidity in Ontario, Canada. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, **193**, E270-E277. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.201473>
- [3] Liddy, C., *et al.* (2015) The Patient's Medical Home: Implementing a Model of Patient-Centered Care in Canada. *Canadian Family Physician*, **61**, 715-721.
- [4] College of Family Physicians of Canada (CFPC) (2017) The Patient's Medical Home: A Vision for Family Practice. CFPC.
- [5] College of Family Physicians of Canada (2009) The Patient's Medical Home: Bring It on Home. Patientsmedicalhome.ca.
- [6] Green, M.E., Hogg, W., Gray, D., Manuel, D. and Koller, M. (2017) Patient-Centered Medical Home: A New Model for Medical Care. PMC.
- [7] Harvard Health Publishing (2017) Patient-Centered Medical Home: A New Model for Medical Care. health.harvard.edu.
- [8] Varkey, B. (2020) Principles of Clinical Ethics and Their Application to Practice. *Medical Principles and Practice*, **30**, 17-28. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000509119>
- [9] Wagner, E.H., Austin, B.T., Davis, C., Hindmarsh, M., Schaefer, J. and Bonomi, A. (2001) Improving Chronic Illness Care: Translating Evidence into Action. *Health Affairs*, **20**, 64-78. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.20.6.64>
- [10] Bodenheimer, T. (2002) Improving Primary Care for Patients with Chronic Illness. *JAMA*, **288**, 1775-1779. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.288.14.1775>
- [11] Tsai, A.C., Morton, S.C., Mangione, C.M. and Keeler, E.B. (2005) A Meta-Analysis of Interventions to Improve Care for Chronic Illnesses. *The American Journal of Managed Care*, **11**, 478-488. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16095434/>
- [12] Coleman, K., Austin, B.T., Brach, C. and Wagner, E.H. (2009) Evidence on the Chronic Care Model in the New Millennium. *Health Affairs*, **28**, 75-85. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.28.1.75>
- [13] World Health Organization (2022) Health Promotion. <https://www.who.int/health-topics/health-promotion>
- [14] Starfield, B., Shi, L. and Macinko, J. (2005) Contribution of Primary Care to Health Systems and Health. *The Milbank Quarterly*, **83**, 457-502. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0009.2005.00409.x>
- [15] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2021) Public Health and Prevention Strategies. <https://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/index.htm>

- [16] U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (2023) Recommendations for Primary Care Practice. <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org>
- [17] Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (2021) Value-Based Programs.
- [18] Porter, M.E. (2010) What Is Value in Health Care? *New England Journal of Medicine*, **363**, 2477-2481. <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmp1011024>
- [19] National Academy of Medicine (2018) Proactive Care Coordination: A Patient-Centered Approach to Improving Health Outcomes and Reducing Costs. <https://nam.edu>
- [20] Hackbarth, A.D. (2012) Eliminating Waste in US Health Care. *JAMA*, **307**, 1513-1516. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2012.362>
- [21] Hibbard, J.H. and Greene, J. (2013) What the Evidence Shows about Patient Activation: Better Health Outcomes and Care Experiences; Fewer Data on Costs. *Health Affairs*, **32**, 207-214. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2012.1061>
- [22] Mitchell, P., Wynia, M., Golden, R., McNellis, B., Okun, S., Webb, C.E., Von Kohorn, I., *et al.* (2012) Core Principles & Values of Effective Team-Based Health Care. National Academy of Medicine. <https://nam.edu/perspectives-2012-core-principles-values-of-effective-team-based-health-care/>
- [23] American Academy of Family Physicians (2021) Team-Based Care. <https://www.aafp.org/about/policies/all/team-based-care.html>
- [24] Bodenheimer, T. and Mason, D. (2016) Registered Nurses: Partners in Transforming Primary Care. National Academy of Medicine.
- [25] Grumbach, K. (2004) Can Health Care Teams Improve Primary Care Practice? *JAMA*, **291**, 1246-1251. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.291.10.1246>
- [26] Institute for Healthcare Improvement (2018) Team-Based Care: Improving Primary Care.
- [27] Sinsky, C.A., Willard-Grace, R., Schutzbank, A.M., Sinsky, T.A., Margolius, D. and Bodenheimer, T. (2013) In Search of Joy in Practice: A Report of 23 High-Functioning Primary Care Practices. *The Annals of Family Medicine*, **11**, 272-278. <https://doi.org/10.1370/afm.1531>
- [28] Daramola, I.C., Aleshinloye, O., Asinde, A., Bankejese, N., Algitagi, F.M.F., Unachukwu, K., *et al.* (2024) Effects of Mediterranean DIETS (MetDiet) on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention among Ethnic Minorities in the United States. *OALib*, **11**, 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.4236/oalib.1112672>
- [29] Anderson, T.J., Grégoire, J., Hegele, R.A., *et al.* (2022) 2022 CCS Guidelines for the Management of Dyslipidemia for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease in Adults. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*, **38**, 1091-1102.
- [30] Rabi, D.M., McBrien, K.A., Sapir-Pichhadze, R., Nakhla, M., Ahmed, S.B., Dumanski, S.M., *et al.* (2020) Hypertension Canada's 2020 Comprehensive Guidelines for the Prevention, Diagnosis, Risk Assessment, and Treatment of Hypertension in Adults and Children. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*, **36**, 596-624. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2020.02.086>
- [31] Pearson, G.J., Thanassoulis, G., Anderson, T.J., Barry, A.R., Couture, P., Dayan, N., *et al.* (2021) 2021 Canadian Cardiovascular Society Guidelines for the Management of Dyslipidemia for the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease in Adults. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*, **37**, 1129-1150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2021.03.016>
- [32] O'Meara, E., McDonald, M., Chan, M., *et al.* (2021) 2021 CCS/CHFS Heart Failure Guidelines Update. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*, **37**, 447-459.

- [33] Andrade, J.G., Aguilar, M., Atzema, C., Bell, A., Cairns, J.A., Cheung, C.C., *et al.* (2020) The 2020 Canadian Cardiovascular Society/Canadian Heart Rhythm Society Comprehensive Guidelines for the Management of Atrial Fibrillation. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*, **36**, 1847-1948. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2020.09.001>
- [34] Mehta, S.R., Baine, K.R., Cantor, W.J., Lordkipanidzé, M., Marquis-Gravel, G., Robinson, S.D., *et al.* (2018) 2018 Canadian Cardiovascular Society/Canadian Association of Interventional Cardiology Focused Update of the Guidelines for the Use of Antiplatelet Therapy. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*, **34**, 214-233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2017.12.012>
- [35] Diabetes Canada Clinical Practice Guidelines Expert Committee (2018) Screening for Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*, **42**, S16-S19.
- [36] Diabetes Canada Clinical Practice Guidelines Expert Committee (2018) Methods for the Screening and Diagnosis of Diabetes. *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*, **42**, S10-S15.
- [37] Panagiotopoulos, C., Hadjiyannakis, S. and Henderson, M. (2018) Type 2 Diabetes in Children and Adolescents. *Canadian Journal of Diabetes*, **42**, S247-S254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjid.2017.10.037>
- [38] U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) (2021) Screening for Hypertension in Adults. <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/hypertension-in-adults-screening>
- [39] USPSTF (2022) Statin Use for the Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease in Adults: Preventive Medication.
- [40] USPSTF (2021) Screening for Prediabetes and Type 2 Diabetes. <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/screening-for-prediabetes-and-type-2-diabetes>
- [41] USPSTF (2019) Screening for Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm. <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/abdominal-aortic-aneurysm-screening>
- [42] USPSTF (2022) Statin Use for the Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease in Adults. <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/statin-use-in-adults-preventive-medication>
- [43] USPSTF (2022) Aspirin Use to Prevent Cardiovascular Disease. <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/aspirin-to-prevent-cardiovascular-disease-preventive-medication>
- [44] USPSTF (2023) Healthy Diet, Physical Activity, and/or Weight Loss to Prevent Cardiovascular Disease in Adults: Behavioral Counseling Interventions Prevention.
- [45] American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) (2019) ASCVD Risk Estimator Plus. <https://tools.acc.org/ASCVD-Risk-Estimator-Plus>
- [46] Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care (CTFPHC) (2018) Recommendations on Screening for Breast Cancer in Average-Risk Women Aged 40-74 Years. *CMAJ*, **190**, E1441-E1451.
- [47] CTFPHC (2013) Recommendations on Screening for Cervical Cancer. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, **185**, 35-45. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.121505>
- [48] CTFPHC (2016) Recommendations on Screening for Colorectal Cancer in Primary Care. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, **188**, 340-348. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.151125>

- [49] CTFPHC (2016) Recommendations on Screening for Lung Cancer. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, **188**, 425-432. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.151421>
- [50] Bell, N., Gorber, S.C., Shane, A., Joffres, M., Singh, H., Dickinson, J., *et al.* (2014) Recommendations on Screening for Prostate Cancer with the Prostate-Specific Antigen Test. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, **186**, 1225-1234. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.140703>
- [51] Jin, J. (2023) Screening for Latent Tuberculosis. *JAMA*, **329**, 1526-1535. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2023.6495>
- [52] Curry, S.J., Krist, A.H., Owens, D.K., Barry, M.J., Caughey, A.B., Davidson, K.W., *et al.* (2018) Screening for Cervical Cancer. *JAMA*, **320**, 674-686. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.10897>
- [53] Davidson, K.W., Barry, M.J., Mangione, C.M., Cabana, M., Caughey, A.B., Davis, E.M., *et al.* (2021) Screening for Colorectal Cancer. *JAMA*, **325**, 1965-1977. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.6238>
- [54] Krist, A.H., Davidson, K.W., Mangione, C.M., Barry, M.J., Cabana, M., Caughey, A.B., *et al.* (2021) Screening for Lung Cancer. *JAMA*, **325**, 962-970. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2021.1117>
- [55] Grossman, D.C., Curry, S.J., Owens, D.K., Bibbins-Domingo, K., Caughey, A.B., Davidson, K.W., *et al.* (2018) Screening for Prostate Cancer. *JAMA*, **319**, 1901-1913. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.3710>
- [56] Miller, W.R. and Rollnick, S. (2013) *Motivational Interviewing: Helping People Change*. 3rd Edition, Guilford Press.
- [57] Armstrong, M.J., Mottershead, T.A., Ronksley, P.E., Sigal, R.J., Campbell, T.S. and Hemmelgarn, B.R. (2011) Motivational Interviewing to Improve Weight Loss in Overweight and/or Obese Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Obesity Reviews*, **12**, 709-723. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-789x.2011.00892.x>
- [58] Rubak, S., Sandbæk, A., Lauritzen, T. and Christensen, B. (2005) Motivational Interviewing: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *British Journal of General Practice*, **55**, 305-312.
- [59] Hettema, J.E. and Hendricks, P.S. (2010) Motivational Interviewing for Smoking Cessation: A Meta-Analytic Review. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, **78**, 868-884. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021498>
- [60] Pearce, G., Parke, H.L., Pinnock, H., Epiphaniou, E., *et al.* (2020) The PRISMS Taxonomy of Self-Management Support: Derivation of a Systematic Framework and Synthesis of Evidence of Effectiveness of Self-Management Support for Long-Term Conditions. *Health and Social Care Delivery Research*, **8**, 1-214.
- [61] Powers, M.A., Bardsley, J., Cypress, M., Duker, P., Funnell, M.M., Fischl, A.H., *et al.* (2016) Diabetes Self-Management Education and Support in Type 2 Diabetes: A Joint Position Statement of the American Diabetes Association, the American Association of Diabetes Educators, and the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. *Clinical Diabetes*, **34**, 70-80. <https://doi.org/10.2337/diaclin.34.2.70>
- [62] Conn, V.S., Ruppar, T.M., Chase, J.D., Enriquez, M. and Cooper, P.S. (2015) Interventions to Improve Medication Adherence in Hypertensive Patients: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Current Hypertension Reports*, **17**, Article No. 94. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11906-015-0606-5>
- [63] Lager, G., Pataky, Z. and Golay, A. (2017) Efficacy of Therapeutic Patient Education in Chronic Diseases and Obesity. *Patient Education and Counseling*, **100**, 1833-1842.

- [64] Effing, T.W., Vercoulen, J.H., Bourbeau, J., Trappenburg, J., Lenferink, A., Cafarella, P., *et al.* (2016) Definition of a COPD Self-Management Intervention: International Expert Group Consensus. *European Respiratory Journal*, **48**, 46-54. <https://doi.org/10.1183/13993003.00025-2016>
- [65] Shaw, J., Jamieson, T., Agarwal, P., Griffin, B., Wong, I. and Bhatia, R.S. (2018) Virtual Care Policy Recommendations for Patient-Centred Primary Care: Findings of a Consensus Policy Dialogue Using a Nominal Group Technique. *CMAJ Open*, **6**, E73-E79.
- [66] O’Gorman, L.D., Hogenbirk, J.C. and Warry, W. (2020) Clinical Telemedicine Utilization in Ontario over the Ontario Telemedicine Network. *Telemedicine and e-Health*, **26**, 395-404.
- [67] Wu, R.C., Lo, V., Morra, D., *et al.* (2021) A Smartphone-Enabled Cardiac Rehabilitation Program: mHealth Solution for Cardiac Patients in a Community Setting. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, **23**, e20278.
- [68] Seto, E., Leonard, K.J., Masino, C., *et al.* (2017) Attitudes of Heart Failure Patients and Health Professionals toward Mobile Phone-Based Remote Monitoring. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, **14**, e183.
- [69] Jennett, P., Shaw, N., Hailey, D., *et al.* (2019) Benefits and Challenges of Telehealth in Remote Communities: The Canadian Context. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*, **25**, 89-101.
- [70] Grunfeld, E., Moineddin, R., Kurdyak, P., *et al.* (2020) Cancer Screening Rates in Ontario, Canada. *Cancer Medicine*, **9**, 2485-2494.
- [71] Canada Health Infoway (2020) Connected Health Information in Canada: A Benefits Evaluation Study. Canada Health Infoway.
- [72] Canadian Resident Matching Service (CaRMS) (2024) As an IMG, Do I Have to Be a Permanent Resident in Canada? <https://carms.zendesk.com/hc/en-us/articles/360018769351>
- [73] CaRMS (2025) 2025 R-1 Match First Iteration: Unfilled Positions. <https://www.carms.ca/news/2025-r1-match-first-iteration-unfilled-positions/>
- [74] CIC Immigration News (2025) Canada’s Healthcare Labour Shortage Fueled by Lack of Residencies for Foreign-Trained Doctors.
- [75] Intealth (2025) Intealth Applauds IMG Success in the 2025 U.S. Residency Match. <https://www.intealth.org/news/2025/03/21/intealth-applauds-img-success-in-the-2025-u-s-residency-match>
- [76] Government of Canada (Immigration, Refugees, and Citizenship Canada) (2025) Canada Launches Rural and Francophone Community Immigration Pilots. <https://www.canada.ca/en/immigration-refugees-citizenship/news/2025/01/canada-launches-rural-and-francophone-community-immigration-pilots.html>
- [77] Gottlieb, L.M., Wing, H. and Adler, N.E. (2016) A Systematic Review of Interventions on Patients’ Social and Economic Needs. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, **51**, 680-692.
- [78] Cohen, D.J., Davis, M.M., Hall, J.D., Gilchrist, E.C. and Miller, B.F. (2020) A Roadmap for Integrating Community-Based Social Interventions into Primary Care. *Medical Care*, **58**, 579-585.
- [79] Vest, J.R., Menachemi, N., Grannis, S.J. and Halverson, P.K. (2019) Community Resource Referral Platforms: A New Tool for Addressing Social Determinants of Health. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, **57**, S17-S23.