

The Dynamics of Public Policy Effectiveness in Africa: Between Intentions and Realities

Elie Nguekam Wambe

Institute of Environmental Sciences, University of Quebec in Montreal, Montreal, Canada

Email: enguekam@gmail.com

How to cite this paper: Nguekam Wambe, E. (2025). The Dynamics of Public Policy Effectiveness in Africa: Between Intentions and Realities. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 13, 454-467.

<https://doi.org/10.4236/jss.2025.133031>

Received: February 17, 2025

Accepted: March 17, 2025

Published: March 20, 2025

Copyright © 2025 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

This article examines the challenges and innovations in achieving sustainable governance of public policies in Sub-Saharan Africa, with a particular focus on environmental management. It identifies key barriers to policy effectiveness, including the enduring colonial legacy, institutional weaknesses, corruption, financial constraints, and sociocultural barriers. Using theoretical frameworks such as polycentric governance, institutionalism, and citizen participation, the study explores strategies to improve policy implementation. It advocates for institutional decolonization, capacity building, inclusive governance mechanisms, and policy adaptation to local cultural and social contexts. The findings emphasize that effective governance requires active citizen involvement and policies tailored to local realities to bridge the gap between policy intent and outcomes. By contributing to the discourse on environmental governance, this study provides concrete, context-specific recommendations for enhancing public policy effectiveness in African states.

Keywords

Public Policies, Sub-Saharan Africa, Sustainable Governance, Citizen Participation, Institutional Capacities, Environmental Management, Colonial Legacy

1. Introduction

The effectiveness of public policies can be defined as the extent to which policy objectives set by decision-makers are achieved and social problems are satisfactorily addressed (Pressman & Wildavsky, 1984; Smith & Johnson, 2018). This concept encompasses not only the capacity of policies to produce tangible and measurable results but also their ability to meet the needs and expectations of citizens. Evaluating policy effectiveness requires assessing various criteria, including the

degree to which policies achieve their objectives, the efficient allocation of resources, the equitable distribution of benefits, and adherence to societal norms and values (Pressman & Wildavsky, 1984).

Effectiveness is a crucial aspect of public policy analysis, particularly in Africa, where development challenges are numerous and complex. Limited institutional capacities, governance inefficiencies, and structural inequalities exacerbate difficulties in policy implementation (Kwame et al., 2016). The question of what makes a policy effective remains a subject of epistemological debate, particularly in the African context, where weak institutions and limited administrative capacity often hinder effective governance (Darbon et al., 2019; Eboko, 2015). This article examines the conditions necessary for effective public policies in Africa, emphasizing the challenges and potential avenues for improving policy implementation.

Public policies establish binding rules of conduct that regulate societal relationships, regardless of their scope, origin, or generality (Cornu & Association Henri Capitant, 2005). In Sub-Saharan Africa, public policies are influenced by a range of contextual factors, including poverty (Lévy, 2002), political instability and inequalities (Fosu, 2009); complex stakeholder relationships (Bourguignon, 2004), governance fragmentation (Darbon et al., 2019), economic growth volatility (Arbache & Page, 2009), corruption (Gyimah-Brempong, 2002), and the lingering effects of colonization. Some scholars argue that the fragile nature of many African states, coupled with their dependence on foreign aid, questions the very foundations of public policy effectiveness (Lavigne Delville, 2018: pp. 54-56).

Consequently, public policy debates in Africa revolve around inherited bureaucratic values from European colonial administrations (Basemek, 2018), the persistence of rent-seeking behaviors, the legitimacy crisis of state institutions, and the specific actor configurations in the policymaking process (Issalys, 1999). Moreover, the influence of externally imposed policy models, the coexistence of formal and informal governance structures (Chauveau et al., s.d.), and the political mechanisms shaping policy implementation further complicate governance (Cheik, 2019). As Bourgain & Vérez (2021: p. 7) observe, “*Public policies are often perceived as ready-made solutions that, by mere existence, should solve societal problems. The expectation is so high that the probability of policies being satisfactory is very low, particularly in developing countries, especially in Sub-Saharan Africa.*” This observation raises a critical question: What are the main obstacles to the effectiveness of public policies in Sub-Saharan Africa?

The primary objective of this article is to analyze the factors that impede the effectiveness of public policies in Sub-Saharan Africa. More specifically, it aims to:

- Identify the key obstacles preventing the realization of effective public policy dynamics.
- Examine how these dynamics manifest in the field of environmental governance.

- Propose solutions to enhance the contextual relevance of policies in Sub-Saharan Africa.

This article seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how public policies are implemented in Sub-Saharan Africa and how states can develop more resilient governance structures.

A distinctive feature of this study is its holistic approach to public policy effectiveness in Sub-Saharan Africa. Rather than limiting the analysis to the processes of policy formulation and implementation, the article situates policies within a broader context that includes political dynamics, institutional frameworks, and power relations. Public policies are not only instruments of governance but also reflections of the political and institutional environments in which they are developed. By highlighting these structural dimensions, the article offers an in-depth examination of the complexities surrounding policy effectiveness in the region.

Furthermore, this study takes a critical approach to mainstream governance discourses, moving beyond the conventional explanations that attribute policy failures solely to mismanagement or lack of administrative capacity. Instead, it explores the structural, historical, and political barriers that hinder effective public policies in Sub-Saharan Africa. This novel perspective contributes to ongoing debates on African governance by providing nuanced, context-specific analyses that consider the region's evolving political and socio-economic landscape. In doing so, the article opens new avenues for research and offers insights into how more effective and adaptable policy strategies can be developed.

The article is structured as follows: The first section presents the conceptual framework, identifying the key factors that obstruct public policy effectiveness. The second section outlines the methodological framework, explaining the approach used to analyze the challenges of public policy governance. The third section discusses the results, providing empirical insights into policy effectiveness in environmental governance. The final section proposes strategic tools that Sub-Saharan African states can use to restructure their political and governance frameworks for improved policy implementation.

2. Conceptual Framework of Factors Hindering the Dynamics of Public Policy Effectiveness in Sub-Saharan Africa

The effectiveness of public policies in Sub-Saharan Africa is influenced by a complex interplay of structural, institutional, political, and socio-economic factors. Weak governmental institutions, corruption, political instability, financial constraints, and socio-economic inequalities persist as major obstacles to policy success. Understanding these challenges requires a comprehensive theoretical framework that incorporates diverse governance models, including polycentric governance, institutionalist theory, and participatory governance approaches.

The theory of polycentric governance (Ostrom, 1990) provides a nuanced perspective on these governance challenges, emphasizing the importance of multi-

level, decentralized governance that involves diverse actors at the local, national, and international levels. Decentralized decision-making fosters accountability, enhances policy adaptability, and allows for the contextualization of governance approaches. However, in many Sub-Saharan African states, centralized power structures and top-down decision-making limit the benefits of polycentric governance.

Institutional quality is a key determinant of policy effectiveness. [Acemoglu et al. \(2012\)](#) highlight that robust institutions are crucial for fostering stability and enhancing governance outcomes. Conversely, weak institutions contribute to policy failures by undermining enforcement mechanisms and fostering bureaucratic inefficiencies. [Mwangi \(2018\)](#) underscores the importance of political institutions in shaping development outcomes, particularly through polycentric governance structures that enable shared responsibility and local-level participation.

Corruption remains one of the most pervasive governance challenges in the region. [Mauro \(1995\)](#) and [Kaufmann et al. \(2010\)](#), argue that corruption erodes the legitimacy of public institutions, weakens policy implementation, and deters foreign investment. The absence of strong polycentric governance frameworks exacerbates corruption by concentrating power in a few hands, limiting transparency, and restricting the oversight capacities of civil society.

Political instability further hampers policy effectiveness. [Collier & Hoeffler \(2004\)](#), discuss how governance fragility and recurring political crises disrupt policy continuity. Polycentric governance can mitigate instability by promoting inclusive decision-making processes, ensuring that multiple actors participate in governance rather than relying solely on centralized authorities.

Financial constraints are another major barrier to policy implementation. [Besley & Persson \(2011\)](#) emphasize that resource shortages limit the capacity of governments to implement long-term strategies. A lack of financial autonomy also forces many African states to rely on international donors, leading to externally driven policies that may not align with local realities. Polycentric governance seeks to address these constraints by distributing responsibilities and funding mechanisms across different governance levels, reducing dependency on singular financial sources.

Socio-economic inequalities further compound governance challenges. [Mianovic \(2016\)](#) and [Piketty \(2014\)](#) argue that disparities in wealth and access to services limit citizens' ability to engage meaningfully in governance processes. Polycentric governance can help bridge these gaps by encouraging inclusive participation and localized decision-making.

Several other theoretical perspectives complement the analysis of governance in Sub-Saharan Africa:

- The Advocacy Coalition Framework aligns with polycentric governance by examining how coalitions of diverse actors influence policy outcomes, particularly in complex political environments.
- The developmental state theory ([Thelen & Steinmo, 1992](#); [Evans, 1995](#)) complements polycentric governance by emphasizing the role of a strong, capable

state in fostering development while enabling decentralized participation.

- The Institutional Approach (March & Olsen, 1984; North, 1990) highlights the significance of institutional structures in shaping policy effectiveness, emphasizing the necessity of adaptive and context-specific institutional reforms.
- Participatory Governance (Beetham, 1999; Agrawal & Gibson, 1999) is a foundational principle of polycentric governance, advocating for active citizen engagement in policymaking to enhance legitimacy and accountability.

By integrating these theoretical perspectives, this conceptual framework provides a multidimensional understanding of the structural and institutional factors affecting public policy effectiveness in Sub-Saharan Africa. Addressing these challenges requires a shift from centralized governance models to more inclusive, decentralized, and participatory governance approaches, which consider local realities and institutional limitations. The following sections explore these governance dynamics in greater detail and propose strategic recommendations for improving policy implementation in the region.

3. Methodological Framework

This study adopts a methodological framework based exclusively on documentary analysis and literature review, given that it was conducted as part of my doctoral synthesis exam in Environmental Sciences. This methodological choice allows for a thorough theoretical and conceptual exploration of the dynamics affecting public policy effectiveness in Sub-Saharan Africa, particularly in the field of environmental governance.

The literature review involves an in-depth analysis of academic and institutional documents, including government reports, peer-reviewed journal articles, books, and studies from international organizations. A longitudinal approach (Coman et al., 2016), was adopted to examine policy evolution over time. The thematic analysis framework proposed by Braun & Clarke (2006) guided the categorization of key themes, recurring patterns, and emerging trends in policy governance.

The selection of data sources was conducted through specialized academic databases covering relevant disciplines such as political science, international development, environmental governance, and public policy. These databases included JSTOR, PubMed, Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science. A set of predefined selection criteria was applied to ensure the rigor and relevance of the sources, including relevance to the research topic; research quality and methodological robustness; and date of publication to ensure up-to-date references.

Keywords related to public policy effectiveness, environmental governance, Sub-Saharan Africa, participatory governance, and institutional frameworks were used to identify relevant literature. Each selected source was systematically analyzed based on its abstract, keywords, methodology, results, and conclusions to assess its contribution to the research objectives.

The literature review aimed to assess whether existing policy implementation

conditions in Africa are favorable and to highlight the gap between policy discourse and actual implementation (De Sardan, 2021). This methodological approach follows established qualitative research guidelines (Yin, 2014; Flyvbjerg, 2006; Yin, 2014; Flyvbjerg, 2006), which emphasize the importance of case-based document analysis in policy research. The study focuses on Sub-Saharan Africa due to its historical context of resource exploitation during colonization (Ballet et al., 2009) and the shared institutional challenges among its nations, including: power struggles and state-society relations (Darbon et al., 2019); failures in policy (Hout, 2013; Mkandawire, 2015) and environmental governance issues (Gnohou, 2021).

Key themes, concepts, findings, and arguments from the reviewed sources were extracted and systematically organized in summary tables to facilitate comparative analysis. The extracted information was then synthesized to construct a coherent analytical overview of the existing literature. This process allowed for the identification of trends, research gaps, and divergences in the current body of knowledge regarding factors influencing policy effectiveness in Sub-Saharan Africa. The analysis also examined dominant theoretical approaches, their applicability, and potential areas for further research (Jackson & Smith, 2006). Finally, the insights derived from the literature review were integrated into the study's objectives and theoretical framework, ensuring a structured and well-grounded approach to understanding the complexities of public policy effectiveness in Sub-Saharan Africa.

4. Discussion of Results

4.1. Key Factors Influencing the Dynamics of Public Policy Effectiveness in Sub-Saharan Africa

Public policy effectiveness in Sub-Saharan Africa is influenced by a series of complex and interconnected factors. Understanding these elements requires a closer look at historical, institutional, economic, and social dimensions that shape policy outcomes.

a) The Weight of historical Events

The influence of history on public policy cannot be ignored. Scholars such as Nunn (2009), argue that the colonial legacy continues to shape governance structures in Sub-Saharan Africa. As Iliffe (2002) and Young (1994) explain, colonial administrations prioritized extractive institutions, which later influenced post-independence governance. This raises a critical question: To what extent has political independence truly enabled African states to break free from colonial governance structures?

The reality, as noted by Nkrumah (1965) and Mazrui (1978), is that many African states retained administrative systems designed under colonial rule. These structures often fostered centralized power, clientelism, and weak public accountability mechanisms (Mamdani, 1996; Fanon, 1963). For instance, Banégas (2003) and Bratton & van de Walle (1997) highlight how clientelist politics have contin-

ued to impede democratic transitions. A relevant case is Senegal's decentralization reform, which, despite good intentions, struggled due to entrenched colonial administrative legacies (Bierschenk & Olivier de Sardan, 2014). This example underscores the persistent impact of historical governance structures on contemporary policy effectiveness.

b) Institutional structures and policy implementation

Institutions play a fundamental role in shaping public policy effectiveness. However, as Chauveau et al. (n. d.) argue, political institutions in Sub-Saharan Africa remain fragile, often influenced by external actors, such as international organizations and donors. This raises concerns about the autonomy of policy decisions.

Dzomo-Silinou (1998) identifies three types of political institutions: informal (precolonial), socialist, and liberal-capitalist. Ostrom (1992) notes that many African states continue to rely on informal institutions, leading to fragmented public administration, weak regulatory frameworks, and widespread corruption. This fragmentation, coupled with corruption (Bayart et al., 2010). A case study from Ghana illustrates this dynamic: Despite ambitious institutional reforms aimed at modernizing governance, weak enforcement and political interference have hindered their effectiveness (Bofo-Arthur, 2008). This example demonstrates that institutional weaknesses can significantly limit the success of public policies, hampers policy effectiveness.

c) Citizen participation and inclusion governance

A democratic governance system requires active citizen participation. Yet, as Chambers (1994) and Escobar (1995), highlight, many public policy frameworks in Sub-Saharan Africa limit public engagement, prioritizing international donors and political elites instead. This exclusion leads to governance inefficiencies and corruption (Crozier & Friedberg, 1977; Lascoumes & Le Bourhis, 1996).

Kenya's participatory budgeting initiative provides an interesting counterexample. While designed to encourage public involvement, studies such as Wampler (2010) reveal that existing power hierarchies still limit true citizen influence. Thus, despite efforts to increase transparency, the success of such participatory frameworks depends on addressing structural power imbalances and elite capture.

d) Financial constraints and external influence

Financial limitations significantly affect policy effectiveness in Sub-Saharan Africa (Griffiths, 2003). Many governments rely on loans from international institutions such as the IMF and World Bank, which often impose neoliberal economic policies (Easterly & Fischer, 2001; Emmanuel et al., 2018). While these institutions claim to promote economic stability, they frequently constrain domestic policy choices, forcing cuts in public investment and social services leading to indebtedness and underdevelopment (Balié & Fouilleux, 2008).

e) Cultural, social and contextual realities

Beyond financial and institutional factors, cultural and social elements also shape public policy implementation. Resistance to externally designed policies,

such as structural adjustment programs, demonstrates the importance of culturally sensitive governance approaches (Grégoire et al., 2018). Policies that fail to integrate indigenous governance structures face significant pushback (Ballet et al., 2009; Le Roy, 2016).

For example, Mozambique's land tenure reforms aimed to improve land rights, but conflicting claims between local communities and foreign investors created long-term disputes (Diallo, 2014). Similarly, Cameroon's forestry laws intended to include community participation, but weak enforcement and corruption led to continued illegal logging (Oyono, 2004). These cases illustrate how ignoring local governance mechanisms can lead to ineffective policy outcomes.

4.2. Manifestation of Public Policy Effectiveness in Environmental Governance

Despite constitutional and legislative efforts, many Sub-Saharan African states struggle with implementing environmental policies effectively. Weak property rights, corruption, and clientelism continue to hinder policy outcomes (Schrecker, 1984).

Mozambique's land tenure reform aimed to strengthen local property rights but resulted in disputes due to poor enforcement (Diallo, 2014), Cameroon's forestry laws intended to include local communities, but weak implementation led to continued deforestation (Oyono, 2004). These examples reveal the need for more adaptive and locally driven policy approaches.

4.3. Tools for Restructuring the Dynamics of Public Policy Effectiveness in Africa

Addressing these governance challenges requires an approach tailored to local realities. Instead of simply adopting Western policy models, African states must develop policies that consider historical, institutional, and socio-political contexts.

a) Addressing colonial legacies in policy development

Key strategies include: reforming governance structures to decentralize power and reduce historical inequalities (Nkrumah, 1965; Amin, 1972; Fanon, 1963); implementing land tenure policies that recognize customary land rights and strengthening national identity to foster social cohesion (Nzongola-Ntalaja, 2002; Wambadia-Wamba, 1997).

b) Strengthening Institutional Capacities

Institutional capacity building is essential for effective policy implementation. Solutions include: increasing investment in public sector training (Andrews, 2013; Grindle, 2017) and enhancing transparency and accountability mechanisms (Behn, 2014; World Bank, 2017).

c) Enhancing Citizen Participation in Public Policy Making

Improving public engagement requires: strengthening participatory governance frameworks (Davis & Kingsbury, 2013) and establishing citizen accountability platforms (Arnstein, 1969; Cornwall, 2008).

d) Addressing Cultural and Social barriers

Policies must align with local cultural dynamics to be effective. Proposed solutions: conducting awareness campaigns to increase citizen participation (Smith & Johnson, 2018) and integrating traditional governance structures into policy frameworks (Mamdani, 1996).

By implementing these reforms, African states can develop governance models that improve policy effectiveness and foster sustainable development across the region.

5. Conclusion

His study has examined the complex and dynamic factors influencing the effectiveness of public policies in Sub-Saharan Africa. Through an interdisciplinary approach grounded in institutional theory (North, 1990), participatory governance (Beetham, 1999), and post-colonial critique (Fanon, 1963; Nkrumah, 1965), the findings underscore how historical, institutional, financial, cultural, and social dynamics shape policy implementation.

A key takeaway from this analysis is the enduring influence of colonial legacies on governance structures, contributing to clientelism, corruption, and weak citizen engagement (Acemoglu, Johnson, & Robinson, 2012). The persistence of institutional fragmentation, characterized by limited administrative capacity and a lack of transparency, further constrains effective policy implementation (Grindle, 2017). Additionally, financial dependency on international donors reduces state autonomy, often resulting in policies that do not align with local needs (Balié & Fouilleux, 2008). Beyond these structural barriers, cultural and social factors, including diverse governance traditions and low civic participation, add another layer of complexity to policy execution.

The study reinforces the relevance of institutional theory by illustrating how historical and political institutions shape governance trajectories. It also contributes to participatory governance literature, emphasizing that inclusive policymaking must be rooted in local realities and encourage meaningful community involvement (Gaventa & Barrett, 2010). While many African states have attempted to implement participatory mechanisms, elite capture and limited institutional capacity continue to restrict the full potential of citizen engagement.

From a policy perspective, this research calls for a fundamental shift away from Eurocentric governance models towards context-sensitive frameworks that integrate African socio-political and historical specificities. Policies should be designed to empower local governance structures, promote institutional transparency, and strengthen citizen participation as a means to enhance democratic legitimacy and policy efficiency.

To achieve these objectives, policymakers must prioritize:

- Institutional reforms aimed at decentralizing power and increasing administrative capacity.
- Capacity-building initiatives that equip governance institutions with the tools necessary for sustainable policy implementation.

- Mechanisms to encourage citizen engagement, ensuring that policymaking processes are inclusive, transparent, and responsive to local needs.

This study contributes to the growing discourse on public policy in Sub-Saharan Africa by providing a nuanced and interdisciplinary analysis of governance challenges. Future research should explore:

- 1) The long-term impacts of participatory governance models in various African states.
- 2) The role of global policy frameworks in shaping local governance dynamics.
- 3) Empirical case studies that further investigate the effectiveness of institutional reforms and governance innovations.

Ultimately, this research serves as a valuable resource for scholars, policymakers, and practitioners seeking to improve governance practices and promote sustainable and inclusive policy frameworks in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). The Colonial Origins of Comparative Development: An Empirical Investigation: Reply. *American Economic Review*, *102*, 3077-3110. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.102.6.3077>
- Agrawal, A., & Gibson, C. C. (1999). Enchantment and Disenchantment: The Role of Community in Natural Resource Conservation. *World Development*, *27*, 629-649. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0305-750x\(98\)00161-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0305-750x(98)00161-2)
- Amin, S. (1972). Underdevelopment and Dependence in Black Africa—Origins and Contemporary Forms. *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, *10*, 503-524. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022278x00022801>
- Andrews, M. (2013). *The Limits of Institutional Reform in Development: Changing Rules for Realistic Solutions*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781139060974>
- Arbache, J. S., & Page, J. (2009). How Fragile Is Africa's Recent Growth? *Journal of African Economies*, *19*, 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jae/ejp017>
- Arnstein, S. R. (1969). A Ladder of Citizen Participation. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, *85*, 24-34. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01944363.2018.1559388>
- Balié, J., & Fouilleux, È. (2008). Enjeux et défis des politiques agricoles communes en Afrique: Une mise en perspective avec l'expérience européenne. *Autrepart*, *46*, 157-171. <https://doi.org/10.3917/autr.046.0157>
- Ballet, J., Koffi, K. J., & Komona, K. B. (2009). La soutenabilité des ressources forestières en Afrique subsaharienne francophone: Quels enjeux pour la gestion participative? *Mondes en développement*, *148*, 31-46. <https://doi.org/10.3917/med.148.0031>
- Banégas, R. (2003). La dynamique des revendications démocratiques. In R. Banégas (Ed.), *La démocratie à pas de caméléon: Transition et imaginaires politiques au Bénin* (pp. 93-133). Karthala.
- Basemek, K. É. (2018). *Jeux politiques et agents administratifs: Analyse de la mise en oeuvre d'une politique agricole au Cameroun*. Thèse, L'université de l'administration publique

(ENAP).

- Bayart, J., Hibou, B., & Samuel, B. (2010). L'Afrique «cent ans après les indépendances»: Vers quel gouvernement politique? *Politique Africaine*, 119, 129-157. <https://doi.org/10.3917/polaf.119.0129>
- Beetham, D. (1999). *Democracy and Human Rights: The Case for a Political Interpretation of the Universal Declaration*. Routledge.
- Behn, R. D. (2014). *The PerformanceStat Potential: A Leadership Strategy for Producing Results*. Brookings Institution Press.
- Besley, T., & Persson, T. (2011). Fragile States and Development Policy. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 9, 371-398. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1542-4774.2011.01022.x>
- Bierschenk, T., & Olivier de Sardan, J. (2014). *States at Work: Dynamics of African Bureaucracies*. Brill. <https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004264960>
- Boafo-Arthur, K. (2008). *Democracy and Stability in West Africa: The Ghanaian Experience*. Nordiska Afrikainstitutet; Department of Peace and Conflict Research, Uppsala University.
- Bourgain, A., & Vérez, J. (2021). Politiques publiques en Afrique subsaharienne. Introduction. *Mondes en développement*, 195, 7-10. <https://doi.org/10.3917/med.195.0007>
- Bourguignon, F. (2004). Le triangle pauvreté-croissance-inegalités. *Afrique contemporaine*, 211, 29-56. <https://doi.org/10.3917/afco.211.0029>
- Bratton, M., & van de Walle, N. (1997). *Democratic Experiments in Africa: Regime Transitions in Comparative Perspective*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781139174657>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3, 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Chambers, R. (1994). The Origins and Practice of Participatory Rural Appraisal. *World Development*, 22, 953-969. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750x\(94\)90141-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750x(94)90141-4)
- Chauveau, J.-P., Le Pape, M., & de Sardan, J.-P. O. (s.d.). La pluralité des normes et leurs dynamiques en Afrique. *Implications Pour les Politiques Publiques*, 9, 146-162.
- Cheik, D. K. (2019). Dynamiques de mutations des règles du jeu politiques dans les États d'Afrique de l'Ouest francophone: Quelques contraintes perspectives. *Revista de Ciências Sociais*, 50, 291-330.
- Collier, P., & Hoeffler, A. (2004). Greed and Grievance in Civil War. *Oxford Economic Papers*, 56, 563-595. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oep/gpf064>
- Coman, R., Crespy, A., Louault, F., & Morin, J.-F. (2016). *Méthodes de la science politique: De la question de départ à l'analyse des données*. deboeck superieur.
- Cornu, G., & Association Henri Capitant. (2005). Règle. In *Vocabulaire juridique* (7e éd., 970 p., p. 774). Presses Universitaires de France (PUF). https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/R%C3%A8gle_de_droit#cite_note-1
- Cornwall, A. (2008). Unpacking "Participation": Models, Meanings and Practices. *Community Development Journal*, 43, 269-283. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cdj/bsn010>
- Crozier, M., & Friedberg, E. (1977). *L'acteur et le système*. Editions du Seuil.
- Darbon, D., Nakanabo Diallo, R., Provini, O., & Schlimmer, S. (2019). *Un état de la littérature sur l'analyse des politiques publiques en Afrique*. Papiers de Recherche AFD, 2019-98, Février.
- Davis, K. E., & Kingsbury, B. (2013). Indigenous Peoples, Consent, and Benefit Sharing:

- Lessons from the San-Hoodia Case. *Journal of International Law*, 43, 1-28.
- De Sardan, O. (2021). *La revanche des contextes. Des mésaventures de l'ingénierie sociale et au-delà*. Karthala.
- Diallo, R. N. (2014). Les paradoxes du régime de l'aide, entre injonctions internationales et logiques nationales. Le cas d'une enclave bureaucratique au Mozambique. *Mondes en développement*, 165, 51-63. <https://doi.org/10.3917/med.165.0051>
- Dzomo-Silinou, V. (1998). *Les dynamiques de l'administration publique en Afrique francophone subsaharienne: Le cas du Cameroun théories et application*. Thèse, Faculté des études supérieures de l'Université Laval.
- Easterly, W., & Fischer, S. (2001). Inflation and the Poor. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 33, 160. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2673879>
- Eboko, F. (2015). *Repenser l'action publique en Afrique. Du Sida à la globalisation, des politiques publiques*. Karthala.
- Emmanuel, G., Kobiané, J.-F., & Lange, M.-F. (2018). *L'État réhabilité en Afrique: Réinventer les politiques publiques à l'ère néolibérale*. Karthala.
- Escobar, A. (1995). *Encountering Development: The Making and Unmaking of the Third World*. Princeton University Press.
- Evans, P. B. (1995). *Embedded Autonomy*. Princeton University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400821723>
- Fanon, F. (1963). *The Wretched of the Earth*. Grove Press.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2006). Five Misunderstandings about Case-Study Research. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 12, 219-245. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800405284363>
- Fosu, A. K. (2009). Inequality and the Impact of Growth on Poverty: Comparative Evidence for Sub-Saharan Africa. *The Journal of Development Studies*, 45, 726-745. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220380802663633>
- Gaventa, J., & Barrett, G. (2010). So What Difference Does It Make? Mapping the Outcomes of Citizen Engagement. *IDS Working Papers, No. 347*, 1-72.
- Gnohou, A. (2021). *Étude des enjeux d'implantation des réglementations environnementales dans les entreprises: Le cas des installations classées en côte d'ivoire* (p. 3552). Thèse, Université du Québec à Montréal, Service des bibliothèques.
- Grégoire, E., Kobiané, J.-F., & Lange, M.-F. (2018). *L'Etat réhabilité en Afrique Réinventer les politiques publiques à l'ère néolibérale. Carte d'Afrique*. Karthala.
- Griffiths, C. (2003). *Language Learning Strategy Use and Proficiency: The Relationship between Patterns of Reported Language Learning Strategy (LLS) Use by Speakers of Other Languages (SOL) and Proficiency with Implications for the Teaching/Learning Situation*. Doctoral Thesis, University of Auckland. <http://researchspace.auckland.ac.nz>
- Grindle, M. (2017). *Politics and Policy Implementation in the Third World*. Princeton University Press.
- Gyimah-Brempong, K. (2002). Corruption, Economic Growth, and Income Inequality in Africa. *Economics of Governance*, 3, 183-209. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s101010200045>
- Hout, W. (2013). Neopatrimonialism and Development: Pockets of Effectiveness as Drivers of Change. *Revue internationale de politique comparée*, 20, 79-96. <https://doi.org/10.3917/ripc.203.0079>
- Illife, J. (2002). L'invasion coloniale. In *Les Africains: Histoire d'un continent* (pp. 267-300). Flammarion.
- Issalys, P. (1999). Figures et avenir de la déréglementation. *Éthique Publique*, 1, 83-91. <https://doi.org/10.4000/ethiquepublique.2689>

- Jackson, F., & Smith, M. (2006). Absolutist Moral Theories and Uncertainty. *Journal of Philosophy*, 103, 267-283. <https://doi.org/10.5840/jphil2006103614>
- Kaufmann, D., Kraay, A., & Mastruzzi, M. (2010). *The Worldwide Governance Indicators: Methodology and Analytical Issues*. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper, 5430. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1682130>
- Kwame, S. O., Amoako, K. A., & Abdul-Rahman, A. (2016). Institutional Capacity and Public Policy Effectiveness: The Case of Ghana's Decentralization Policy. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 39, 1145-1155.
- Lascombes, P., & Le Bourhis, J. (1996). Des «passe-droits» aux passes du droit. La mise en œuvre socio-juridique de l'action publique. *Droit et société*, 32, 51-73. <https://doi.org/10.3406/dreso.1996.1355>
- Lavigne Delville, P. (2018). Les réformes de politiques publiques en Afrique de l'Ouest, entre polity, politics et extraversion: Eau potable et foncier en milieu rural (Bénin, Burkina Faso). *Gouvernement et action publique*, 7, 53-73. <https://doi.org/10.3917/gap.182.0053>
- Le Roy, É. (2016). Pourquoi, en Afrique, «le droit» refuse-t-il toujours le pluralisme que le communautarisme induit? *Anthropologie et Sociétés*, 40, 25-42. <https://doi.org/10.7202/1037510ar>
- Lévy, M. (2002). *Comment réduire pauvreté et inégalités, Pour une méthodologie des politiques publiques* (p. 248). IRD/Karthala.
- Mamdani, M. (1996). *Citizen and Subject: Contemporary Africa and the Legacy of Late Colonialism*. Princeton University Press.
- March, J. G., & Olsen, J. P. (1984). The New Institutionalism: Organizational Factors in Political Life. *American Political Science Review*, 78, 734-749. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1961840>
- Mauro, P. (1995). Corruption and Growth. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 110, 681-712. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2946696>
- Mazrui, A. A. (1978). *Political Values and the Educated Class in Africa* (p. 392). University of California Press.
- Milanovic, B. (2016). *Global Inequality*. Harvard University Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv9ghwk4>
- Mkandawire, T. (2015). Neopatrimonialism and the Political Economy of Economic Performance in Africa: Critical Reflections. *World Politics*, 67, 563-612. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s004388711500009x>
- Mwangi, M. (2018). The Effect of Size on Financial Performance of Commercial Banks in Kenya. *European Scientific Journal, ESJ*, 14, 373. <https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2018.v14n7p373>
- Nkrumah, K. (1965). *Neo-Colonialism, the Last Stage of Imperialism*. Thomas Nelson & Sons.
- North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511808678>
- Nunn, N. (2009). The Importance of History for Economic Development. *Annual Review of Economics*, 1, 65-92. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.economics.050708.143336>
- Nzongola-Ntalaja, G. (2002). *The Congo from Leopold to Kabila: A People's History*. Zed Books. <https://doi.org/10.5040/9781350223004>
- Ostrom, E. (1990). *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective Action*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511807763>
- Ostrom, E. (1992). *Governing the Commons: The Evolution of Institutions for Collective*

- Action. *Nature Resources Journal*, 32, 415.
- Oyono, P. R. (2004). The Social and Organisational Roots of Ecological Uncertainties in Cameroon's Forest Management Decentralisation Model. *The European Journal of Development Research*, 16, 174-191. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09578810410001688798>
- Piketty, T. (2014). Dynamics of Inequality. *New Left Review*, 85, 103-116.
- Pressman, J. L., & Wildavsky, A. (1984). *Implementation How Great Expectations in Washington Are Dashed in Oakland; or, Why It's Amazing That Federal Programs Work at All, This Being a Saga of the Economic Development Administration as Told by Two Sympathetic Observers Who Seek to Build Morals on a Foundation* (p. 304). University of California Press.
- Schrecker, T. F. (1984). *Commission de réforme du droit du Canada, L'élaboration des politiques en matière d'environnement*.
- Smith, J., & Johnson, R. (2018). Effectiveness in Public Policy: The Advocacy Coalition Framework Revisited. *Political Research Quarterly*, 71, 646-657.
- Thelen, K., & Steinmo, S. (1992). *Historical Institutionalism in Comparative Politics*. St. Martin's Press.
- Wamba-dia-Wamba, E. (1997). Kinshasa: From Ville to Megalopolis. *African Studies Quarterly*, 1, 1-3.
- Wampler, B. (2010). *Participatory Budgeting in Brazil: Contestation, Cooperation, and Accountability*. Penn State Press.
- World Bank (2017). *World Development Report 2017: Governance and the Law*. World Bank Publications.
- Yin, R. K. (2014). *Case Study Research. Design and Methods* (p. 5). Sage Publications.
- Young, C. (1994). *The African Colonial State in Contemporary Perspective*. Yale University Press.