

# The Impact of Alternative Fuels on New York State: Environmental, Economic, and Infrastructural Implications

Robb Shawe

Department of Sustainability, Capitol Technology University, Laurel, MD, USA  
Email: rshawe@captechu.edu

**How to cite this paper:** Shawe, R. (2025) The Impact of Alternative Fuels on New York State: Environmental, Economic, and Infrastructural Implications. *Journal of Sustainable Bioenergy Systems*, 15, 105-119. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jsbs.2025.153006>

**Received:** April 2, 2025

**Accepted:** July 22, 2025

**Published:** July 25, 2025

Copyright © 2025 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

## Abstract

The use of alternative fuels is becoming increasingly critical in New York State as we seek more efficient ways to power our vehicles and industries. Alternative fuels are sources of energy that differ from traditional fossil fuels, such as gasoline and diesel. These fuels can include biofuels, electricity from renewable sources, and hydrogen. The shift toward these cleaner energy options can have a significant impact on the environment. By using alternative fuels, New York can reduce air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions, thereby contributing to the global effort to combat climate change. Cleaner air means healthier residents, as it reduces the risk of respiratory illnesses associated with polluted air. Economically, the shift to alternative fuels presents both challenges and opportunities for New York. While some people worry about the costs of switching to new fuel sources and building new infrastructure, there are also potential benefits to consider. The growth of alternative fuel industries can create new jobs in manufacturing, research, and the installation of fueling stations. Additionally, investing in alternative fuels can reduce dependency on imported oil, leading to a more stable local economy. As the demand for clean energy grows, New York could become a leader in the alternative fuel market, attracting business and investments. Infrastructurally, New York State needs to adapt to accommodate the change toward alternative fuels. This means building new charging stations for electric vehicles and expanding the availability of biofuel pumps. Existing roads and transportation systems may also require upgrades to accommodate vehicles that utilize these alternative energy sources. Additionally, public transportation may undergo changes, with buses and other vehicles transitioning to alternative fuels to better serve the community. Investing in infrastructure for alternative fuels will not only improve energy efficiency but also enhance the quality of life for all residents by providing cleaner transportation options and supporting local economies.

---

## Keywords

Alternative Fuels, New York State, Environment, Economy, Infrastructure, Air Pollution, Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Renewable Energy, Clean Transportation, Biofuels

---

## 1. Introduction

Alternative fuels in New York State are being studied more broadly, considering multiple energy alternatives with their issues. The target alternative fuels for the current study are biodiesel and natural gas, along with their compressed (CNG) and liquefied (LNG) forms, propane, electrical, ethanol, and hydrogen. Each of the aforementioned fuels is evaluated extensively based on its impacts on the environment, economy, and infrastructure.

Through these fuels, this research seeks to evaluate their current and possible future role in the energy consumption dynamics of New York State, as well as to contribute to overall discussions of energy development and public policy. Biodiesel, for example, represents another option for diminishing the use of petroleum-based fuels while revealing potential threats to sustainability regarding agricultural use and emissions. Likewise, natural gas in its compressed and liquefied variants offers a clean-burning replacement to the usual fossil fuel option. However, the state must tackle issues linked to extraction, distribution, and storage facilities. Propane and electricity are alternatives that enjoy wider usage, although they also need to see advancements in their distribution systems and storage facilities to maximize their efficiency and reliability.

The decision to study ethanol and hydrogen is also a strong point. Both are renewable and possibly zero-emission fuels. Ethanol is usually made from corn or other biomass, raising economic issues, especially regarding the food supply and land use. Hydrogen has always been touted as the fuel of the future, with a clean energy cycle that produces only water as waste. Unfortunately, producing it at scale is still expensive, and safely using it is a concern. Therefore, the comparative matrix provided in this study makes this research particularly significant. This is due to the results allowing for an understanding of each fuel in the context of the existing infrastructure and regulations in New York State. Policy recommendations are also offered and are specific for each fuel to allow policymakers to promote sustainable energy systems. Therefore, the comparators and recommendations provided through this study can be used to promote measures that will lead to adopting cleaner and more efficient technologies for these fuels in New York State to contribute to general goals for sustainability and economic stability for the state.

## 2. Overview of Alternative Fuels: New York State

The implications of alternative fuels for New York are widespread and hold prom-

ise across environmental, economic, and infrastructural levels. One alternative fuel, biodiesel, claims to have much promise for reducing carbon emissions, which is much needed to fulfill climate objectives. Nevertheless, the journey towards its feasibility and practicality digs up its economic challenges. The cost of biodiesel is heavily influenced by the feedstock, which is often taken from plants or crops such as soybean or palm oil, and the technologies required for its conversion [1]. These come with a massive economic cost, making it unfeasible for greater use.

On the other hand, natural gas and its derivatives, such as Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) and Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG), present an appealing alternative due to their potential to lower greenhouse gas emissions significantly. However, transitioning to these fuels on a large scale demands a considerable investment in developing the necessary infrastructure. This includes establishing a robust network of distribution systems and creating numerous refueling stations capable of supporting widespread use [2]. Such a transition's financial and logistical requirements are non-trivial and pose a critical challenge to the mainstream adoption of natural gas fuels. Hydrogen fuel is another alternative that garners attention for its environmentally promising characteristics. When used as a fuel, hydrogen emits only water vapor. Despite these benefits, establishing an extensive and reliable refueling infrastructure is the primary hurdle. The high cost and technical complexities of producing, storing, and transporting hydrogen make developing a comprehensive network of refueling stations challenging for consumer convenience and confidence [3].

Finally, electricity is increasingly being utilized as a transportation fuel, garnering support due to its potential for reducing emissions when paired with renewable energy sources. Efforts are underway to expand the charging station network, particularly in urban areas where adoption barriers exist. These developments aim to ease the accessibility issues and convenience concerns faced by electric vehicle users, making electricity a more viable and attractive option for reducing reliance on fossil fuels. As these networks continue to grow, they are expected to alleviate some of the barriers associated with the widespread adoption of electric vehicles, positioning electricity as a key player in New York State's transition to alternative fuels.

## 2.1. Types of Alternative Fuels

In New York State, various alternative fuels contribute significantly to the region's energy landscape by offering unique advantages and addressing specific environmental and economic considerations. Biodiesel is predominantly produced from vegetable oils and animal fats, making it a renewable resource. However, despite its potential to reduce reliance on fossil fuels and lower greenhouse gas emissions, the cost of production remains a significant economic challenge, often hindering widespread adoption [1]. Natural gas is another crucial alternative fuel that has become increasingly popular due to its ability to reduce sulfur dioxide emissions substantially, a significant component of air pollution. In addition to its traditional

form, natural gas is also available in compressed (CNG) and liquefied (LNG) forms, which broaden its application across various industries and transportation sectors. Nonetheless, expanding natural gas usage is contingent mainly on overcoming critical hurdles related to developing and enhancing infrastructure, which is essential for its efficient transportation and storage [2].

Propane, renowned for its clean-burning qualities, is extensively utilized in rural regions with limited access to other fuels. It is especially popular in applications such as commercial heating, where its effectiveness and availability make it an attractive choice. The adoption of propane is experiencing growth, not just in rural areas but also in specific commercial sectors, where it serves as a reliable and environmentally friendly energy source. On the other hand, hydrogen is making headway in revolutionizing transportation through pilot programs designed primarily for bus transit systems. These programs have demonstrated initial success, showing hydrogen's viability as an alternative fuel that produces only water vapor as a byproduct, thus representing a clean energy choice. Nevertheless, significant challenges persist, particularly those regarding the infrastructure needed for hydrogen production, distribution, and utilization on a larger scale [3].

Each alternative fuel—biodiesel, natural gas, propane, and hydrogen—brings a distinctive set of environmental and economic considerations to the table. As New York State moves forward in crafting sustainable energy policies, it is essential to carefully weigh these factors, considering each fuel type's potential benefits and limitations, to support a resilient and environmentally friendly energy future.

## **2.2. Environmental Implications**

To achieve the goals towards sustainability, the State of New York pays more attention to alternative fuels in its future developments. Biodiesel is one of the most significant achievements in this renewable energy source. It demonstrates numerous advantages, including a substantial decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Moreover, it serves as a cleaner alternative compared to standard fossil fuels that are likely to have a profound negative impact on the environment. Overall, the discussed innovation will significantly contribute to New York's plans concerning sustainability and ecology [1]. Aside from biodiesel, compressed natural gas (CNG) has also become another promising possibility in New York's expanding sustainable energy alternatives. With its use, CNG significantly impacts sulfur dioxide emissions, thus reducing the pollutants that find their way into the air as toxic emissions. It is highly correlated to New York's sustainability ambition for the environment and human health [2].

However, the journey towards a cleaner energy future has challenges. The infrastructural demands associated with the widespread implementation of hydrogen energy present a significant barrier. Despite hydrogen's potential to deliver substantial environmental benefits, such as negligible emissions during use, the technology necessitates a substantial upfront investment in infrastructure. This includes developing production facilities, storage systems, and distribution net-

works, all of which must be robust enough to support efficient utilization on a large scale [3]. Similarly, electricity is being heavily considered for its capacity to reduce emissions significantly. Yet, widespread adoption is hindered by the current limitations in charging infrastructure. The development and expansion of comprehensive charging networks are imperative to support a broader transition to electric power as a dominant fuel source. This necessity underlines the complexity involved in overhauling the existing energy landscape to make room for cleaner and more sustainable technologies, ensuring that these innovations can genuinely make a meaningful impact on reducing overall emissions.

### 2.3. Economic Impact and Opportunities

Transitioning to alternative fuels in New York State offers numerous economic benefits, mainly through job creation and cost savings. Technologies like biodiesel production have the potential to create significant employment opportunities, especially in rural areas where feedstock cultivation is viable [4]. Additionally, using electricity as a transportation fuel reduces operational costs for vehicle fleets, as electric vehicles have lower maintenance expenses than traditional internal combustion engines [5]. Hydrogen-powered transportation initiatives could also spur economic growth by boosting investments in infrastructure and the manufacturing sector, despite the high initial capital requirements [3]. By focusing on these potential economic benefits, New York State can leverage alternative fuels to enhance its economic landscape while aligning with environmental sustainability goals.

The shift to alternative fuels in New York State offers a unique platform to promote economic development by establishing new markets and creating employment opportunities. Many opportunities are associated with establishing plants that produce biodiesel in rural populations. To begin with, sourcing agricultural products grown in the region will boost the value of crops produced in such areas. Consequently, there will be many job opportunities, thereby enriching the local economy and fostering rural economic development [4]. Moreover, with the growing use of electricity as a transportation fuel, there is also a growing need for human resources. Electric vehicle (EV) charging infrastructure growth will require human resources whose roles include engineering, construction, and installation of charging infrastructure. Infrastructure growth will serve as a job multiplier and operational cost-saver for transportation, particularly fleet management businesses emphasizing efficiency and cost savings [5].

Further, hydrogen fuel technology can also become a game-changer in the public transportation sector. The results from the pilot programs with hydrogen buses are encouraging, which means this clean energy technology can also be harnessed for such public transport systems. These developments will also be instrumental in drawing investments for related infrastructure projects, thus helping create employment in the manufacturing and technical service sectors, thereby helping economies and green technologies move forward [3]. These efforts together support New York State's economic development strategy to focus on alternative fuel

technologies for the state, which will help improve economic growth through job opportunities and investment and be a boon for sustainable energy initiatives and infrastructure development for a future-ready economy.

### **3. Biodiesel: Analyzing Production and Adoption**

Biodiesel production in New York offers both opportunities and challenges, heavily influenced by economic factors. A consistent concern is the production cost, often elevated by expensive feedstock and conversion processes that can surpass the price of traditional petroleum [1]. Despite these financial obstacles, there is a noticeable increase in adoption rates, driven partly by heightened environmental awareness and government incentives aimed at reducing carbon footprints [4]. Data shows that even with higher oil prices, biodiesel can still offer competitive costs to consumers, suggesting potential economic viability when market conditions are favorable [4]. Therefore, as New York's biodiesel infrastructure expands, strategic policy measures could reduce production barriers, encouraging wider adoption and establishing biodiesel as a viable alternative fuel option in the state's energy strategy.

The expansion of biodiesel usage in New York has encountered significant challenges in infrastructure and market dynamics, primarily from distribution and storage issues. One major hurdle is the inadequate network of distribution systems and refueling stations, which impedes broad-based adoption. Unfortunately, the current infrastructure lacks the comprehensive support required to establish a fully functional biodiesel network, making it challenging to ensure availability where needed [1]. Moreover, the market penetration of biodiesel is further restrained by the dominance of more traditional fossil fuels that have long been entrenched in the marketplace. Consumers are typically more familiar and comfortable with conventional options, which leads to a slower growth in demand for biodiesel. This lack of familiarity and trust compounds the challenge of encouraging a broader acceptance amongst consumers and businesses.

Additionally, integrating biodiesel into New York's existing energy systems necessitates substantial investment in upgrading current transportation and storage facilities. Such upgrades are essential to managing biodiesel safely and effectively, given its chemical properties, which differ from gasoline or diesel. This requirement for infrastructure modernization represents a considerable financial burden, which can be daunting without robust support [4]. Strategic investment and policy initiatives are crucial to overcoming these barriers. Addressing these infrastructural challenges through targeted funding and supportive regulations could significantly enhance biodiesel's penetration into the market. With such measures, biodiesel could more substantially contribute to New York's ambitions for sustainable energy, driving progress toward a greener and more resilient energy landscape.

### **4. Natural Gas and Methane Emissions**

The use of natural gas across New York State is representative of a complex di-

lemma regarding environmental sustainability. This is primarily due to the risks posed by methane emissions. Methane is the principal element used in natural gas. It is a cleaner-burning fuel than fossil fuels such as coal, but it is also an extremely potent greenhouse gas that accelerates the impacts of climate change far beyond the effects of carbon dioxide. However, it has not remained present in the atmosphere for as long. This presents a dilemma for natural gas as one of the key energy sources in the state—it is a promising energy resource. However, its associated risks are alarming [6]. Natural gas powers a considerable share of the state's energy infrastructure, mainly because of its "clean" character. It releases lower carbon dioxide emissions than coal and provides a relatively short-term reduction in greenhouse emissions. However, this gain becomes increasingly annulled by inadvertent methane emissions at every stage of the gas lifecycle. Methane leaks may occur at extraction, when gas is vented out or escapes from gas wells; at storage, when the border fails to contain the gas; and at distribution, when pipelines get breached. Methane emissions from natural gas extraction and distribution processes significantly undermine its possible emission-cutting advantages over dirtier fossil fuel [2].

In response to these issues, New York State is considering all-encompassing policies that extend beyond mere observation to tackle the crisis. Efforts to improve leak identification procedures are crucial, necessitating advanced monitoring technologies like infrared cameras and drones to address the problems. Promoting regular maintenance practices is essential to support established protocols; addressing the rupture as quickly as possible is critical to prevent gas loss in the pipeline. Furthermore, the state assesses new technologies to enhance the capture and use of methane. For instance, the state can generate energy from methane involved in extraction or redirect it into industrial operations, facilitating methane's release from greenhouse gases while simultaneously contributing to its utility [7]. In conclusion, comprehensive action to control methane emissions is critical for natural gas to qualify as a potential bridging solution in moving toward a sustainable energy future for New York. For this purpose, natural gas could be a bridging fuel to supplement renewable energy sources in the state's overall clean energy plan. To ensure becoming closer to carbon footprint reductions while guaranteeing energy reliability and economic development, proceeding with such an all-encompassing plan is necessary.

In addition, several other technological developments are underway to facilitate the mitigation of methane emissions from natural gas, which is a critical aspect in meeting New York State environmental goals. Leak detection and repair technologies (LDAR) remain a priority as they encourage the immediate identification and maintenance of emissions from distribution systems [7]. The technology creates a more effective infrastructure as it minimizes leakage, which has been a notoriously difficult challenge (Dhyani, 2019). Similarly, other alternatives, such as methane capture systems, which allow the harvesting of byproducts from emissions, prove beneficial to the New York economy and their environmental benefits

[6]. The continuous efforts put into such alternatives and technologies are encouraging as they give hope for using natural gas as a transitional source of clean energy with minimal environmental effects.

## **5. CNG and LNG: Infrastructure and Adoption**

The challenges associated with the infrastructure and adoption of compressed natural gas (CNG) and liquefied natural gas (LNG) in New York are substantial, exacerbated by the complexities inherent in establishing a sustainable refueling framework. A prominent challenge is the necessity for substantial financial investment to construct and maintain a comprehensive network of refueling stations capable of effectively serving both urban and rural regions [2]. Furthermore, the compatibility of existing distribution systems with CNG and LNG underscores the technological and logistical difficulties confronting stakeholders in the state. A case study illustrates that infrastructure growth is impeded by gaps in technological readiness and policy support, necessitating collaborative initiatives between government and industry to promote broader adoption [8]. Addressing these challenges requires capital investment and strategic legislative measures encouraging the expansion of CNG and LNG infrastructure, which is crucial for achieving widespread utilization in New York.

New York has significant potential for expanding the infrastructure supporting compressed natural gas (CNG) and liquefied natural gas (LNG) technologies. This expansion is propelled by a growing demand for cleaner energy solutions alongside advancements in related technologies. Current quantitative analyses suggest that New York could greatly benefit from a well-planned investment strategy to develop more refueling stations. These stations would cater to both urban centers and rural communities, requiring relatively low capital expenditure to establish an effective network [2]. Existing technological frameworks further facilitate the prospect of scaling up such an infrastructure network. Coupled with supportive policy measures, these frameworks enhance the ability to improve operations' efficiency and the geographical coverage of refueling services [8]. Insights from various energy case studies underscore that setting up CNG and LNG stations presents a sustainable refueling alternative and aligns economic feasibility with ecological advantages [7].

Infrastructure growth is an important avenue that will allow New York to meet its sustainability objectives. It aligns with the overarching plan to decrease reliance on regular fossil fuels and support a more diverse and adaptive energy matrix moving forward. Expanding the CNG and LNG infrastructure is a necessary move that promotes sustainability and allows New York to become a better steward of the environment as it strives towards greener energy practices.

### **5.1. Propane: Viability and Application**

Examining the viability of propane as an alternative fuel reveals its considerable potential, particularly in rural and industrial sectors within New York State. Pro-

pane is extensively utilized in agricultural applications and residential heating due to its efficient energy output and relatively clean combustion process, emitting fewer pollutants than traditional gasoline and diesel. Furthermore, propane's existing infrastructure, which includes numerous refueling stations, supports its widespread use and facilitates economic applications across various sectors [9]. Despite these advantages, the broader adoption of propane-powered vehicles is impeded by competition from electric vehicles and limited consumer awareness of propane's benefits [1]. Therefore, strategic initiatives aimed at increasing public awareness and enhancing infrastructure could promote the growth of propane, positioning it as a viable component of the state's sustainable energy strategy.

Adopting propane as an energy source in New York presents various promising opportunities; however, it also encounters several significant challenges that impede its widespread acceptance and implementation. One of the primary obstacles in this context is the stiff competition from emerging technologies, particularly electric vehicles. These vehicles have garnered considerable market attention and attracted substantial investments, diverting focus from alternative fuels like propane [9]. Currently, the infrastructure in New York efficiently accommodates propane for specific residential and commercial applications. Nevertheless, it falls short when establishing a robust and comprehensive network capable of supporting propane-powered vehicles on a larger scale throughout the state. This lack of infrastructure to support extensive vehicular use is a considerable barrier to the growth of propane in the transportation sector.

Moreover, a widespread lack of awareness among consumers about the environmental benefits and potential cost savings associated with propane further restricts its adoption. Many individuals remain uninformed about how propane can serve as a cleaner, cost-effective alternative to conventional fuels, which poses a significant challenge to its broader acceptance [9]. New York could benefit significantly from strategic initiatives to address these challenges and encourage the adoption of propane. These initiatives should prioritize educational campaigns to increase public awareness regarding propane's advantages. Additionally, investments in enhancing the state's infrastructure are crucial to support a more extensive network for propane, especially for vehicles. By combining these educational and infrastructural efforts, propane could be more effectively positioned as a viable alternative within New York's diverse energy landscape.

## 5.2. Electricity: Adoption Rates and Challenges

The adoption of electric vehicles (EVs) in New York State has been driven by both consumer demand and supportive governmental policies, although challenges remain. Recent data indicates a marked upward trend in adoption rates, with approximately 1.5% of all vehicles in New York now electric, underscoring significant growth potential [10]. Nonetheless, the development of charging infrastructure is unevenly distributed across urban and rural locales, posing considerable obstacles to the widespread adoption of EVs [9]. While investments in charging

stations have increased, the current network remains inadequate to satisfy the expanding requirements of EV users, necessitating further expansion and strategic placement of charging facilities [11]. Addressing these infrastructural deficiencies is crucial to achieving the state's ambitious EV adoption goals and ensuring that the transition to electric transportation enhances both environmental sustainability and economic vitality within New York.

The electric vehicle (EV) market in New York State is encountering several formidable challenges, particularly in the realms of infrastructure and policy implementation. A critical hurdle is the inadequate development of charging networks across the state. Currently, these networks are distributed unevenly, creating significant accessibility issues, especially in the more remote and rural areas where charging stations are sparse [9]. This uneven distribution severely restricts the feasibility of widespread EV adoption by making it difficult for potential EV owners in these regions to access necessary charging facilities. Consequently, this disparity underscores systemic inadequacies within existing state policies that lack the aggressive and targeted initiatives to address and bridge these infrastructure gaps [11].

At the same time, existing economic incentives and rebates designed to promote uptake of electric vehicle technology are still highly variable, and also often inadequate to compensate for the extra initial purchase price of the EV sufficiently to eliminate vehicle price from being a barrier for more price-sensitive potential customers to make the switch to electric [9]. There is a clear need for state-led policy reform to address these issues, including improved economic incentives to promote EV uptake and, particularly, policy support for improved charging infrastructure and EV accessibility for consumers in all areas of the state.

### **5.3. Ethanol: Environmental and Economic Considerations**

Ethanol utilization in New York State entails complex environmental and economic repercussions that warrant thorough investigation. Environmentally, ethanol's capacity to diminish greenhouse gas emissions is significant, as it typically leads to lower carbon dioxide emissions than conventional gasoline, enhancing air quality in urban regions [9]. Economically, ethanol production promotes job creation, particularly within the agricultural sector, where the cultivation of feedstock such as corn is widespread. Despite these advantages, the economic feasibility of ethanol is affected by volatile market prices, including fluctuations in raw material costs, which can influence profitability and investment decisions within the biofuel industry [9]. Furthermore, the infrastructure necessary to support widespread ethanol adoption, encompassing production facilities and distribution networks, requires considerable capital investment, presenting a challenge for stakeholders aiming to expand its use within the state's energy matrix.

The future prospects for adopting ethanol in New York are deeply connected to overcoming critical challenges that impede progress. Foremost among these is the issue of fluctuating market prices for ethanol feedstocks. These fluctuations

can significantly impede investment as they introduce uncertainty that complicates financial planning and can discourage investors from committing resources to long-term projects. When feedstock prices rise unpredictably, they undermine the economic feasibility of ethanol production, making it difficult to scale operations to meet demand [9]. Aside from the financial barriers, one more challenge that must be overcome is infrastructural development. For ethanol to be adopted as a viable energy source in New York, a significant amount of money would have to be spent either renovating the current facilities or building new ones that can accommodate the unique demands of ethanol. Ethanol has properties that differ from regular energy fuel, which means that its storage and transportation infrastructure must be fitted to accommodate the characteristics of ethanol.

However, ethanol's prospects can be a meaningful solution for New York to improve its energy strategies. Ethanol provides opportunities to help New York reduce greenhouse gas emissions, contributing to a more sustainable environment. Ethanol production also has the opportunity to grow the local agricultural economy because the feedstock for ethanol can be sourced locally. This opens job opportunities for locals and will help grow the rural economy.

Indeed, several key activities can be performed to help ethanol compete more effectively in the energy market and increase its share. The policy actions should be direct and aim to boost production efficiency. Such actions could include tax incentives aimed at promoting research into the technologies that would ensure a more efficient production or the provision of subsidies to reinforce stable supplies of the essential raw materials. Also, building a robust supply chain to promote a steady supply of raw materials is important. However, an equally pressing requirement is to continue making long-term commitments towards infrastructure development. A strong distribution and logistics network for ethanol in New York will help to ensure that the renewable fuel can be part of the state's energy planning horizons. Easy access and wide availability will foster use and help to strengthen the case for ethanol as an alternative and renewable energy source. Through the proper mix of policy implementation and infrastructure planning, there is a good chance that ethanol can play a significant role in New York's efforts to promote a greener and more energy-independent future.

#### **5.4. Hydrogen: Pilot Programs and Policy Recommendations**

In New York State, hydrogen is emerging as a promising alternative fuel, with its role prominently highlighted through its integration into public transportation systems via pilot programs. One of the primary focuses of these initiatives has been on deploying hydrogen-powered buses. These programs evaluate hydrogen's feasibility and scalability within the state's extensive transit infrastructure. Encouragingly, the results thus far have indicated significant potential for reducing emissions and enhancing the operational efficiency of public transit systems [3]. Significant obstacles exist to promoting hydrogen as a mainstream energy source. Specifically, the problem is related to its infrastructure. Today, the use of hydrogen

cannot be mainstreamed on a broader scale due to the absence of a robust and wide refueling infrastructure to support its use. As such, hydrogen cannot be fully integrated as a prescribed component of New York's energy plan [3].

In addressing these barriers, the current state policies also propose the need to scale up the hydrogen infrastructure in New York State. An essential part of state recommendations in supporting the use of hydrogen as a potential alternative fuel is the inclusion of hydrogen refueling stations in the state transport plans, both existing and upcoming. This scaling up of hydrogen infrastructure is backed up by state initiatives like the NYSERDA Clean Transportation Prizes that aim to support the same [12]. There is a need for strategic investment in technology progression and infrastructure. Coupled with policy initiatives to nudge the uptake of cleaner energy, New York judges these approaches necessary. This allows hydrogen to be positioned as a viable, sustainable alternative fuel in line with clean energy aims and a greener future.

#### **5.4.1. New York Hydrogen Bus Programs**

The detailed assessment of hydrogen bus demo projects in New York has provided valuable information on their operational characteristics and the feasibility of their wider deployment in more extensive networks. The breakthrough projects have demonstrated the actual reduction of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduction, an important element for the State to achieve its sustainability and climate objectives. Alongside the environmental advantage, there were also considerable operational savings, such as marked fuel cost reduction and increased bus ranges, which makes the hydrogen bus technology a viable candidate for future sustainable transport applications [3].

The data gathered from key performance indicators from the pilot projects have also revealed and further emphasized that hydrogen buses can offer even lower operational costs compared to their conventional alternatives, the diesel-powered buses. This is primarily based on the decreasing costs of producing hydrogen and how this considerably improves overall value and cost-efficiency in the long run [12]. Although numerous prospects and opportunities exist for expanding hydrogen-powered buses from being a pilot project into a full implementation, challenges might be encountered throughout the process. One of the most significant barriers that may be encountered lies in further expanding the necessary infrastructure, regarding a bigger network of refueling stations. This is because of its importance in ensuring the convenience of use and reliability of this alternative bus system [12]. Therefore, continued investment in this critical infrastructure is of paramount importance. It will ensure that hydrogen buses can become a fundamental component of New York's public transportation system, offering dual benefits that are both economic—reducing long-term operational costs—and environmental—minimizing carbon footprints. New York State can solidify its position as a leader in innovative and sustainable public transport solutions by fostering these benefits.

### 5.4.2. Policy and Infrastructure Needs

To advance the adoption of hydrogen in New York, it is imperative to engage in comprehensive policy development and infrastructure improvements. Expanding hydrogen refueling stations is essential, as a deficient network significantly impedes hydrogen's feasibility as a transportation fuel [12]. Creating specific funding mechanisms could stimulate private investment in hydrogen infrastructure, facilitating wider implementation. Policy measures should also strive to harmonize regulatory frameworks that enhance hydrogen production and use, streamlining permitting processes and establishing safety standards to support industry growth. Moreover, integrating hydrogen projects into state-wide sustainability agendas would promote clean energy and align with New York's environmental objectives [3].

Along with focusing on the growth of hydrogen refueling station infrastructures, action-oriented policy recommendations can play an influential role in streamlining the potential of hydrogen as an alternative fuel source in New York State. One possible approach is to enlarge NYSERDA Clean Transportation Prizes to offer incentives for building hydrogen refueling stations to fill the existing infrastructure gap [12]. Including explicitly designed grants and subsidies would hasten the necessary infrastructural developments and stimulate private sector investment to make hydrogen refueling stations accessible. Also, incorporating hydrogen-related programs in other sustainability efforts can enable the hydrogen initiatives to align with state policies for environmental protection and development, promoting a symbiotic relationship between clean energy goals and potential economic gains. It can be concluded that these recommendations are vital for improving the potential of hydrogen in New York State's energy sector and ultimately achieving sustainability objectives for the longer run.

## 6. Conclusions

Overall, this research shows the different opportunities and issues related to alternative fuel usage in New York State. Each alternative fuel has its pros and cons that need to be considered. It is important to note that biodiesel and ethanol can contribute mainly to a decline in carbon emissions. On the other hand, the major barriers to their usage relate to high production costs and low infrastructure compatibility that can restrict their implementation in energy systems. Conversely, natural gas and its compressed and liquefied forms are promising as they can significantly reduce sulfur dioxide emissions. However, the use of such fuels hinges on the successful resolution of major infrastructural issues. To this end, infrastructure will have to be built, and policies will have to be implemented to promote the use of these fuels.

Additionally, hydrogen fuel and electricity are gaining attention due to their potential to support long-term sustainability. Pilot programs and substantial investments in refueling and charging infrastructures increasingly support the advancement of these energy sources. Hydrogen and electricity could be pivotal in a

sustainable energy transition if scaled effectively. Several policy recommendations emerge to support the development of a sustainable energy future for New York State. Expanding initiatives such as the NYSERDA Clean Transportation Prizes can incentivize innovation and development in this sector. Furthermore, enhancing existing infrastructure will be crucial to facilitating the adoption of alternative fuels, ultimately helping New York achieve its sustainability objectives.

## Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## References

- [1] Avagyan, A.B. and Singh, B. (2019) Biodiesel: Feedstocks, Technologies, Economics and Barriers: Assessment of Environmental Impact in Producing and Using Chains. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-5746-6>
- [2] Tong, F., Azevedo, I. and Jaramillo, P. (2019) Economic Viability of a Natural Gas Refueling Infrastructure for Long-Haul Trucks. *Journal of Infrastructure Systems*, **25**. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)is.1943-555x.0000460](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)is.1943-555x.0000460)
- [3] Vodovozov, V., Raud, Z. and Petlenkov, E. (2022) Review of Energy Challenges and Horizons of Hydrogen City Buses. *Energies*, **15**, Article 6945. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en15196945>
- [4] Mizik, T. and Gyarmati, G. (2021) Economic and Sustainability of Biodiesel Production—A Systematic Literature Review. *Clean Technologies*, **3**, 19-36. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cleantechnol3010002>
- [5] Tan, E.C.D., Harris, K., Tiffit, S.M., Steward, D., Kinchin, C. and Thompson, T.N. (2022) Adoption of Biofuels for Marine Shipping Decarbonization: A Long-Term Price and Scalability Assessment. *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining*, **16**, 942-961. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bbb.2350>
- [6] Taboada, S., Clark, L., Lindberg, J., Tonjes, D.J. and Mahajan, D. (2021) Quantifying the Potential of Renewable Natural Gas to Support a Reformed Energy Landscape: Estimates for New York State. *Energies*, **14**, Article 3834. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14133834>
- [7] Dyer, A., Miller, A.C., Chandra, B., Maza, J.G., Tran, C., Bates, J., *et al.* (2021) The Feasibility of Renewable Natural Gas in New Jersey. *Sustainability*, **13**, Article 1618. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13041618>
- [8] Dhyani, R. (2019) City Gas Distribution Challenges: Challenges Faced by CGD, IGL—A Case Study with Solutions Adopted. *ASME 2019 India Oil and Gas Pipeline Conference*, New Delhi, 26-27 April 2019, V001T04A004. <https://doi.org/10.1115/iogpc2019-4582>
- [9] Kumar, G., Kim, S., Lay, C. and Ponnusamy, V.K. (2020) Recent Developments on Alternative Fuels, Energy and Environment for Sustainability. *Bioresource Technology*, **317**, Article ID: 124010. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2020.124010>
- [10] Acharya, R.N. and Perez-Pena, R. (2020) Role of Comparative Advantage in Biofuel Policy Adoption in Latin America. *Sustainability*, **12**, Article 1411. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12041411>
- [11] Hanson, G.H. (2023) Local Labor Market Impacts of the Energy Transition: Prospects and Policies. National Bureau of Economic Research. <https://www.nber.org/papers/w30871>

- [12] Wijayasekera, S.C., Hewage, K., Razi, F. and Sadiq, R. (2024) Fueling Tomorrow's Commute: Current Status and Prospects of Public Bus Transit Fleets Powered by Sustainable Hydrogen. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, **66**, 170-184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2024.04.030>