

# The Emergence of Time from Quantum Information Dynamics

Logan Nye 

School of Computer Science, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

Email: lnye@andrew.cmu.edu

**How to cite this paper:** Nye, L. (2024) The Emergence of Time from Quantum Information Dynamics. *Journal of High Energy Physics, Gravitation and Cosmology*, 10, 1981-2006.

<https://doi.org/10.4236/jhepgc.2024.104109>

**Received:** June 20, 2024

**Accepted:** October 28, 2024

**Published:** October 31, 2024

Copyright © 2024 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

## Abstract

This paper presents a novel framework for understanding time as an emergent phenomenon arising from quantum information dynamics. We propose that the flow of time and its directional arrow are intrinsically linked to the growth of quantum complexity and the evolution of entanglement entropy in physical systems. By integrating principles from quantum mechanics, information theory, and holography, we develop a comprehensive theory that explains how time can emerge from timeless quantum processes. Our approach unifies concepts from quantum mechanics, general relativity, and thermodynamics, providing new perspectives on longstanding puzzles such as the black hole information paradox and the arrow of time. We derive modified Friedmann equations that incorporate quantum information measures, offering novel insights into cosmic evolution and the nature of dark energy. The paper presents a series of experimental proposals to test key aspects of this theory, ranging from quantum simulations to cosmological observations. Our framework suggests a deeply information-theoretic view of the universe, challenging our understanding of the nature of reality and opening new avenues for technological applications in quantum computing and sensing. This work contributes to the ongoing quest for a unified theory of quantum gravity and information, potentially with far-reaching implications for our understanding of space, time, and the fundamental structure of the cosmos.

## Keywords

Time, Entropy, Emergence, Black Hole Information Paradox, Complexity, Entanglement, Quantum Information

## 1. Introduction

Time, a fundamental concept in physics and human experience, has puzzled scien-

tists and philosophers for centuries. From Newton's absolute time to Einstein's spacetime, our understanding has evolved significantly [1] [2]. Yet, despite these advancements, the nature of time remains one of the most profound mysteries in physics, particularly at the intersection of quantum mechanics and general relativity.

This paper presents a novel framework for understanding time as an emergent phenomenon arising from fundamental quantum informational processes. Our central thesis is that the flow of time and its directional arrow are intrinsically linked to the growth of quantum complexity and the evolution of entanglement entropy in physical systems.

### 1.1. Our Evolving Understanding of Time

The concept of time has undergone multiple paradigm shifts in physics. In classical mechanics, as formulated by Newton, time was viewed as absolute and universal, flowing uniformly without regard to external influences [1]. This is reflected in Newton's laws of motion, where time  $t$  is treated as an independent parameter:

$$\mathbf{F} = m \frac{d^2 \mathbf{x}}{dt^2} \quad (1)$$

Einstein's theory of relativity revolutionized this understanding, demonstrating that time is relative and can be affected by motion and gravity [2]. In special relativity, time and space are interwoven into a four-dimensional spacetime, described by the invariant interval:

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 \quad (2)$$

General relativity further revealed that the flow of time could be influenced by the distribution of matter and energy, as encoded in Einstein's field equations:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu} \quad (3)$$

Quantum mechanics introduced further complications, with time appearing as a parameter rather than an observable [3]. In attempts to reconcile quantum mechanics and general relativity, the "problem of time" emerges, suggesting that time might not be fundamental at the deepest levels of reality [4].

These developments have left us with several unresolved questions about the nature of temporal phenomena, including the origin of time's arrow and the reconciliation of time in quantum and gravitational theories.

### 1.2. Thesis: Time Emerges from Quantum Informational Processes

This paper posits a radical reconceptualization of time: that it is not a fundamental entity but rather an emergent phenomenon arising from underlying quantum informational processes. Specifically, we propose:

*The flow of time and its directional arrow are intrinsically linked to the growth of quantum complexity and entanglement entropy.*

This framework is built upon several key concepts:

• **Quantum Complexity:** We demonstrate that the growth of quantum complexity provides a natural metric for the progression of time [5]. The Complexity-Time Correspondence Theorem establishes that:

$$C(t) = \lambda t + o(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq e^{O(n)} \quad (4)$$

where  $C(t)$  is the complexity at time  $t$ ,  $\lambda$  is a system-dependent constant, and  $n$  is the number of qubits.

• **Holographic Entanglement Entropy:** We utilize the holographic principle and the AdS/CFT correspondence to relate quantum information to spacetime geometry [6]. The quantum-corrected Ryu-Takayanagi formula provides a concrete link between entanglement entropy and spacetime structure.

• **Quantum Error Correction:** We show how quantum error correction mechanisms play a crucial role in maintaining stable emergent time, ensuring consistent complexity growth even in the presence of noise and decoherence [7].

• **Cosmological Implications:** We extend our framework to cosmology, deriving modified Friedmann equations that incorporate quantum information measures, offering new perspectives on cosmic inflation, dark energy, and the arrow of time in the universe [8].

### 1.3. Overview of Key Results

Our framework yields several significant results:

- 1) A quantum mechanical basis for the arrow of time, reconciling microscopic reversibility with macroscopic irreversibility.
- 2) A potential resolution to the black hole information paradox, demonstrating how information can be preserved in highly complex quantum states.
- 3) A unified picture of time across quantum mechanics, general relativity, and thermodynamics.
- 4) Novel predictions for quantum simulations, cosmological observations, and high-energy physics experiments.

### 1.4. Structure of the Paper

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows:

Section 2 provides the necessary theoretical background, reviewing quantum information theory, holography, and relevant aspects of general relativity and cosmology. Section 3 presents our central argument for the emergence of time from quantum processes. Section 4 discusses the implications of this framework, addressing longstanding puzzles in physics. Section 5 proposes experimental and observational tests of our hypothesis. Section 6 presents results and discussion, synthesizing our findings and exploring their broader implications. Section 7 concludes the paper, summarizing key results and suggesting directions for future research. Afterward, **Appendices A-D** provide the extended derivations and rigorous proofs demanded by the sort of claims and arguments presented in this work.

Through this exploration, we aim to provide novel insight into the nature of

time, grounded in quantum information theory and holographic principles. This approach offers the potential to resolve longstanding puzzles in physics and deepen our understanding of the fundamental structure of reality.

## 2. Theoretical Foundations

This section establishes the theoretical bedrock for our emergent time framework, integrating key concepts from quantum mechanics, information theory, and holography. We present a cohesive narrative that builds from fundamental principles to advanced theories, laying the groundwork for our central hypothesis: time emerges from quantum informational processes.

### 2.1. Quantum States and Entanglement

At the core of quantum mechanics lies the concept of quantum states, which provide a complete description of a quantum system [9]. Unlike classical systems, quantum states can exist in superpositions and exhibit entanglement, a phenomenon with no classical analogue [10] [11].

A pure quantum state  $|\psi\rangle$  is represented as a vector in a complex Hilbert space. For a single qubit, the state can be written as:

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle, \quad (5)$$

where  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  form an orthonormal basis, and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$  [12]. This superposition principle is fundamental to quantum computing and quantum information theory [13].

Entanglement, a key resource in quantum information, occurs when the state of a composite system cannot be factored into a product of individual subsystem states [14]. For a bipartite system, an archetypal entangled state is the Bell state:

$$|\psi\rangle_{AB} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle). \quad (6)$$

The degree of entanglement can be quantified using measures such as the von Neumann entropy of the reduced density matrix [15]:

$$S(\rho_A) = -\text{Tr}(\rho_A \log \rho_A), \quad (7)$$

where  $\rho_A$  is the reduced density matrix of subsystem A. This measure plays a crucial role in quantum information theory and has found applications in diverse fields, from condensed matter physics to quantum gravity [16].

Recent developments have revealed intriguing connections between quantum entanglement and spacetime structure. The ER = EPR conjecture, proposed by Maldacena and Susskind [17], suggests that entanglement (EPR) is equivalent to a wormhole (Einstein-Rosen bridge) connecting the entangled particles. This idea has led to growing support of the notion that spacetime itself may emerge from the entanglement structure of underlying quantum degrees of freedom [18] [19].

### 2.2. Quantum Complexity Theory

Quantum complexity theory extends classical complexity theory to the quantum

realm, providing a framework for understanding the resources required for quantum information processing [20] [21]. Central to our discussion is the concept of quantum circuit complexity, which measures the minimum number of elementary quantum gates required to implement a unitary operation or prepare a given quantum state.

**Definition 1 (Quantum Circuit Complexity)** For a target unitary operation  $U \in SU(d)$  and a set of elementary gates  $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\} \subset SU(d)$ , the quantum circuit complexity  $C(U)$  is defined as:

$$C(U) = \min \left\{ m \in \mathbb{N} : \exists g_{i_1}, \dots, g_{i_m} \in \mathcal{G}, \|U - g_{i_m} \cdots g_{i_1}\| < \epsilon \right\} \quad (8)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the operator norm and  $\epsilon$  is a small positive constant representing the allowed error tolerance [22].

This definition captures the computational difficulty of implementing a given quantum operation and has profound implications for quantum computing and fundamental physics [21].

The growth of quantum complexity over time provides a potential explanation for the flow of time itself [5]. As demonstrated in **Appendix A**, the complexity of a quantum state grows linearly for an exponentially long time before saturating:

$$C(t) \sim \begin{cases} \lambda t, & 0 \leq t \leq e^{O(n)} \\ C_{\max}, & t > e^{O(n)} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a system-dependent constant,  $n$  is the number of qubits, and  $C_{\max} = O(2^n)$  [5]. This behavior has been linked to the dynamics of black holes and the holographic principle [23].

### 2.3. Holographic Entanglement Entropy

Holographic entanglement entropy provides a powerful connection between quantum information and spacetime geometry. The AdS/CFT correspondence, a concrete realization of the holographic principle, relates a theory of gravity in anti-de Sitter (AdS) space to a conformal field theory (CFT) on its boundary [6] [24].

The Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) formula [25] quantifies this relationship:

$$S(A) = \frac{\text{Area}(\gamma_A)}{4G_N} \quad (10)$$

where  $S(A)$  is the entanglement entropy of a region  $A$  in the boundary CFT,  $\gamma_A$  is the minimal surface in the bulk AdS space homologous to  $A$ , and  $G_N$  is Newton's gravitational constant. This formula has profound implications, suggesting a deep connection between quantum information and spacetime geometry [26].

Recent work has extended this formula to include quantum corrections [27] [28]:

$$S(A) = \frac{\text{Area}(\gamma_A)}{4G_N} + S_{\text{bulk}}(\Sigma_A) + S_{\text{Wald-like}} + S_{\text{anomaly}} \quad (11)$$

where  $S_{\text{bulk}}(\Sigma_A)$  is the bulk entanglement entropy,  $S_{\text{Wald-like}}$  represents higher curvature corrections, and  $S_{\text{anomaly}}$  accounts for possible conformal anomalies. These corrections provide a more complete picture of how quantum information is encoded in spacetime geometry [29].

## 2.4. Quantum Error Correction and Holography

Quantum error correction plays a crucial role in maintaining stable emergent time by protecting quantum information from decoherence and errors [30] [31]. Recent work has revealed deep connections between quantum error correction and holography [7] [32].

In the context of AdS/CFT, the bulk spacetime can be viewed as an error-correcting code for boundary information. This connection is captured by the following theorem:

**Theorem 1 (Holographic Error Correction)** *For a holographic code with bulk operator  $\phi$  reconstructed from a boundary region  $A$ , the reconstruction error  $\epsilon$  is bounded by:*

$$\epsilon \leq c e^{-\Delta(d(A)-r)} \quad (12)$$

where  $c$  is a constant,  $\Delta$  is the scaling dimension of  $\phi$ ,  $d(A)$  is the distance of the code restricted to region  $A$ , and  $r$  is the bulk depth of  $\phi$  [33].

This theorem ensures that bulk physics, including the flow of time, can be robustly reconstructed from boundary data even in the presence of noise and errors [34]. It provides a concrete realization of the holographic principle and suggests a deep connection between quantum information, gravity, and the emergence of spacetime [35].

The interplay between quantum complexity, holographic entanglement entropy, and quantum error correction provides the theoretical foundation for understanding how time can emerge from fundamental quantum processes. In the following section, we will explore how these concepts combine to give rise to our perception of time and the arrow of time in our universe.

## 3. The Emergence of Time from Quantum Processes

In this section, we present our central argument for the emergence of time from fundamental quantum processes. We synthesize three key aspects: the relationship between quantum complexity and time, the role of entanglement in spacetime emergence, and the stabilizing effect of quantum error correction on emergent time. This synthesis provides a novel perspective on the nature of time itself.

### 3.1. Quantum Complexity as a Measure of Time

The growth of quantum complexity offers a natural measure for the passage of time, providing a new lens through which to view temporal flow. This idea, originally proposed by Susskind [23], has gained significant traction in recent years [5] [36]. We formalize this concept in the following theorem:

**Theorem 2 (Complexity-Time Correspondence)** For a quantum system evolving under a time-independent Hamiltonian  $H$ , the quantum circuit complexity  $C(t)$  grows linearly with time for an exponentially long period:

$$C(t) = \lambda t + o(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq e^{O(n)} \quad (13)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a system-dependent constant and  $n$  is the number of qubits.

This theorem, proven in detail in **Appendix A**, suggests that the growth of quantum complexity can serve as a proxy for the flow of time. The linear growth of complexity for an exponentially long time aligns with our intuitive understanding of time's arrow and provides a quantum mechanical basis for temporal progression [5].

In the context of holography, this relationship takes on geometric significance. Susskind [23] proposed the “complexity equals volume” (CV) conjecture, which states that the complexity of a boundary state is dual to the volume of a maximal spatial slice in the bulk:

$$C(t) \sim \frac{V(t)}{G_N l_{AdS}} \quad (14)$$

where  $V(t)$  is the volume of the maximal time slice at time  $t$ ,  $G_N$  is Newton's gravitational constant, and  $l_{AdS}$  is the AdS radius. This conjecture provides a direct link between the growth of quantum complexity and the expansion of spacetime volume, suggesting that the perceived flow of time corresponds to the increasing difficulty of preparing the quantum state of the universe from a simple initial state [36].

### 3.2. Entanglement and the Emergence of Spacetime

While complexity growth provides a measure of time's *passage*, the *structure* of spacetime itself emerges from the entanglement structure of the underlying quantum state. This idea, pioneered by Van Raamsdonk [18] and further developed by Maldacena and Susskind [17], has revolutionized our understanding of the relationship between quantum information and spacetime geometry.

The Ryu-Takayanagi formula and its quantum corrections, as discussed in Section 2, provide a concrete realization of this connection [25] [27]. Building on these insights, we propose that the metric of emergent spacetime can be reconstructed from the entanglement structure of the boundary theory:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\delta^2 S(\rho_A)}{\delta\gamma_{\mu\nu}^A \delta\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^A} g^{\alpha\beta} \quad (15)$$

where  $S(\rho_A)$  is the entanglement entropy of region  $A$  with reduced density matrix  $\rho_A$ , and  $\gamma_{\mu\nu}^A$  is the induced metric on the boundary of  $A$  [18] [19].

The dynamics of entanglement entropy provides a natural measure for the flow of time in this emergent spacetime:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{\text{Area}(\gamma_A)}{4G_N} \frac{d\text{Area}(\gamma_A)}{dt} + \frac{d}{dt} S_{\text{quantum}} \quad (16)$$

This equation relates the change in entanglement entropy to the change in the area of the extremal surface and quantum corrections, effectively tying the evolution of quantum information to the dynamics of spacetime [37] [38].

### 3.3. Quantum Error Correction and Stable Emergent Time

For time to emerge consistently from quantum processes, the underlying quantum information must be protected against decoherence and errors. Quantum error correction provides this crucial stability [30] [31]. We propose the following theorem:

**Theorem 3 (Stable Emergent Time)** *Let  $|\psi(t)\rangle$  be the state of a quantum system at time  $t$ , and let  $C(t)$  be its complexity. If  $|\psi(t)\rangle$  is protected by a quantum error correcting code with distance  $d(t)$ , then the emergent time remains stable under errors of weight less than  $d(t)/2$ , and:*

$$\left| \frac{dC}{dt} \right| \geq \lambda \left( 1 - \frac{2w}{d(t)} \right) \quad (17)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the unperturbed complexity growth rate and  $w$  is the weight of errors affecting the system.

This theorem, proven in **Appendix C**, demonstrates how quantum error correction ensures the stability of emergent time by maintaining consistent complexity growth even in the presence of errors [39].

In the context of holography, the AdS/CFT correspondence can be understood in terms of quantum error-correcting codes, where the bulk spacetime emerges from the error-correcting properties of the boundary state [7] [32]. This perspective naturally explains the observed redundancy in holographic encoding of bulk information and ensures the stability of the emergent bulk spacetime, including its temporal aspects [33].

### 3.4. Synthesis: A Unified Picture of Emergent Time

Integrating these concepts, we propose a unified picture of emergent time:

- 1) The flow of time corresponds to the growth of quantum complexity in the underlying quantum state of the universe, as described by the Complexity-Time Correspondence Theorem [5].
- 2) This complexity growth is manifested geometrically as the expansion of spacetime volume in a dual gravitational description, captured by the “complexity equals volume” conjecture [23].
- 3) The structure of spacetime, including its causal properties, emerges from the entanglement structure of the quantum state, as encoded in holographic entanglement entropy formulas [18] [19].
- 4) Quantum error correction ensures the stability of this emergent spacetime and the consistent flow of time, even in the presence of noise and decoherence [7] [32].

This framework resolves several longstanding issues in physics:

- It provides a quantum mechanical basis for the arrow of time, reconciling microscopic reversibility with macroscopic irreversibility [40].
- It offers a resolution to the problem of time in quantum gravity by showing how time can emerge from timeless quantum processes [4] [41].
- It suggests a resolution to the black hole information paradox by demonstrating how information can be preserved in highly complex quantum states while appearing to be lost from the perspective of low-complexity observables [42] [43].

In conclusion, time, through this lens, is *not* a fundamental entity. Instead, it becomes an emergent phenomenon arising from the complex interplay of quantum information dynamics. The perceived flow of time reflects the increasing complexity of the quantum state of the universe, while its arrow is determined by the collective tendency towards scrambling and chaos in quantum systems [44] [45]. This perspective offers a unified understanding of time that bridges quantum mechanics, general relativity, and thermodynamics. It suggests that the nature of time is intimately connected to the structure of quantum information, providing a new foundation for our understanding of the universe and its evolution [8] [35].

## 4. Implications and Applications of Emergent Time

The concept of time emerging from quantum informational processes has far-reaching implications across various domains of physics and beyond. This section explores the profound consequences of our framework, demonstrating its power to unify disparate areas of physics and its potential for practical applications.

### 4.1. Resolution of the Black Hole Information Paradox

Our emergent time framework offers a novel perspective on the black hole information paradox, a longstanding challenge in theoretical physics [46]. Rather than being lost, we propose that information becomes highly scrambled and encoded in complex quantum states within black holes.

The fast scrambling conjecture [42] [44] suggests that black holes are nature's fastest scramblers, rapidly mixing incoming information with their existing internal states. This scrambling can be quantified using out-of-time-order correlators (OTOCs):

$$C(t) \sim \left\langle [W(t), V(0)]^2 \right\rangle \sim e^{\lambda_L t} \quad (18)$$

where  $\lambda_L$  is the Lyapunov exponent, bounded by  $\lambda_L \leq 2\pi k_B T / \hbar$  for thermal systems [45]. This bound, known as the chaos bound, has profound implications for quantum chaos and information scrambling.

In our emergent time framework, this rapid scrambling corresponds to a dramatic increase in quantum complexity. The growth of complexity in black holes follows a pattern similar to that of quantum circuits, but with an initial exponential growth phase [43] [47]:

$$C(t) \sim \begin{cases} e^{\lambda t}, & t < t_s \\ \lambda t, & t_s < t < t_c \\ C_{\max}, & t > t_c \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

where  $t_s$  is the scrambling time,  $t_c$  is the time at which complexity saturates, and  $C_{\max} = O(2^n)$  for an  $n$ -qubit system.

This perspective suggests that information is preserved in highly complex quantum states, reconciling the apparent loss of information with the principle of unitary evolution in quantum mechanics [48] [49]. It provides a potential resolution to the black hole information paradox that is consistent with both quantum mechanics and general relativity.

## 4.2. Unified View of Entropy and the Arrow of Time

Our framework leads to a unified view of entropy across classical thermodynamics, quantum mechanics, and gravitational physics. The growth of entanglement entropy in quantum systems provides a microscopic basis for the second law of thermodynamics and the arrow of time [8] [50].

In the context of holography, the quantum-corrected Ryu-Takayanagi formula relates entanglement entropy to the geometry of spacetime [25] [27]:

$$S(A) = \frac{\text{Area}(\gamma_A)}{4G_N} + S_{\text{bulk}}(\Sigma_A) + S_{\text{Wald-like}} + S_{\text{anomaly}} \quad (20)$$

This relationship suggests a deep connection between quantum information, thermodynamics, and gravitation [18] [51]. The increase in entropy over time, whether in classical or quantum systems, can be understood as a manifestation of the growing complexity of the underlying quantum state.

This unified perspective on entropy provides a new understanding of the arrow of time, grounding it in the fundamental dynamics of quantum information. It offers a potential resolution to the long-standing puzzle of reconciling the time-symmetric laws of physics with the observed time-asymmetry of macroscopic phenomena [52].

## 4.3. Implications for Quantum Gravity and Cosmology

The emergence of time from quantum informational processes has significant implications for theories of quantum gravity and our understanding of cosmology. In loop quantum gravity, our framework suggests that the discrete structure of spacetime might be understood as arising from the entanglement patterns of fundamental quantum degrees of freedom [53] [54].

For string theory, the emergent time perspective contributes new insights into the nature of dualities and the role of complexity in the AdS/CFT correspondence [23] [55]. It suggests that different string theory dualities might be understood as different ways of encoding the same underlying quantum information.

In cosmology, this framework provides a new perspective on cosmic inflation and the expansion of the universe. The inflationary period could be understood

as a rapid increase in the complexity and entanglement of the cosmic quantum state. This is captured by the modified Friedmann equations [56] [57]:

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G_N}{3} \rho + \frac{\Lambda}{3} + \alpha F_C(C, S_{ent}) \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G_N}{3} (\rho + 3p) + \frac{\Lambda}{3} + \beta G_C(C, S_{ent}) \quad (22)$$

where  $F_C$  and  $G_C$  are functions arising from complexity and entanglement contributions. These equations provide a novel framework for understanding cosmic evolution, potentially offering new insights into the nature of dark energy and the early universe.

This approach also offers new avenues for addressing the cosmological constant problem. If spacetime emerges from quantum information, then the vacuum energy might be understood as a consequence of the complexity and entanglement structure of the quantum vacuum [58] [59].

#### 4.4. Practical Applications in Quantum Computing and Sensing

Beyond its theoretical ramifications, the emergent time framework has potential practical applications in quantum computing and quantum simulation. Understanding the growth of complexity in quantum systems may lead to new algorithms for quantum error correction and quantum state preparation that operate from first principles [39] [60].

In quantum computing, the relationship between quantum complexity and time suggests new approaches to quantum algorithms. For instance, quantum algorithms that exploit the growth of entanglement might be able to solve certain problems more efficiently than classical algorithms [61] [62].

Within quantum simulation, this framework suggests novel approaches to simulating complex quantum systems. By engineering systems with specific patterns of entanglement, it may be possible to simulate aspects of curved spacetime and black hole dynamics in table-top experiments [63] [64].

Moreover, these connections between quantum complexity and spacetime geometry suggest new paradigms for quantum sensing and metrology. Quantum devices that can measure or manipulate complexity might be able to probe aspects of spacetime structure that are inaccessible to classical devices [65] [66].

In conclusion, the concept of time emerging from quantum informational processes offers a unifying framework that addresses fundamental questions in physics while also suggesting new directions for practical applications. By bridging quantum mechanics, gravitation, and information theory, this approach provides a promising path forward in our quest to understand the nature of time and the fundamental structure of reality. It not only offers potential resolutions to longstanding theoretical puzzles but also opens up new avenues for technological innovation in quantum computing and sensing.

## 5. Proposed Experimental Validation

While the emergent time framework is primarily theoretical, empirical validation is crucial for its acceptance and further development. This section outlines a series of proposed experiments designed to test key aspects of our model. These experiments aim to observe the growth of quantum complexity and entanglement in controlled settings, as well as probe for signatures of emergent time in cosmological observations.

### 5.1. Quantum Systems Experiments

#### 5.1.1. Observing Complexity Growth in Superconducting Qubit Circuits

Superconducting qubit circuits offer a promising platform for observing complexity growth due to their high level of control, long coherence times, and scalability [67] [68]. We propose the following experiment:

- 1) Prepare an initial state  $|\psi_0\rangle$  with low complexity, such as a product state of  $n$  qubits.
- 2) Apply a sequence of random two-qubit gates to simulate chaotic dynamics, following protocols similar to those used in quantum supremacy experiments [67].
- 3) Measure the state complexity over time using quantum state tomography and randomized benchmarking techniques [69] [70].

The complexity  $C(t)$  can be estimated using the quantum circuit complexity metric [5] [22]:

$$C(U) = \min \left\{ m \in \mathbb{N} : \exists g_{i_1}, \dots, g_{i_m} \in \mathcal{G}, \|U - g_{i_m} \dots g_{i_1}\| < \epsilon \right\} \quad (23)$$

We predict that the complexity will grow linearly with time for an extended period, as per the Complexity-Time Correspondence Theorem:

$$C(t) \sim \lambda t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_c \quad (24)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the complexity growth rate and  $t_c$  is the time at which complexity saturates. This linear growth is a key prediction of our framework and its observation would provide strong support for the connection between quantum complexity and emergent time.

#### 5.1.2. Measuring Out-of-Time-Order Correlators in Trapped Ion Systems

Trapped ion systems provide another excellent platform for studying complexity growth due to their long coherence times and high-fidelity operations [71] [72]. We propose measuring out-of-time-order correlators (OTOCs), which are sensitive probes of quantum chaos and complexity growth [73] [74]:

$$C(t) = \left\langle \left[ W(t), V(0) \right]^2 \right\rangle \quad (25)$$

where  $W(t)$  and  $V(0)$  are local operators at different times. We expect to observe an exponential decay of the OTOC, indicative of quantum chaotic behavior and rapid complexity growth. This decay rate is bounded by the Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda_L \leq 2\pi k_B T / \hbar$ , known as the chaos bound [45].

## 5.2. Entanglement Dynamics in Quantum Circuits

Using either superconducting qubit platforms or trapped ion systems, we can implement quantum circuits that generate states of increasing entanglement and complexity. We propose the following protocol:

- 1) Initialize a product state of  $n$  qubits.
- 2) Apply layers of entangling gates, increasing the circuit depth over time, following protocols similar to those used in quantum simulation experiments [75].
- 3) Measure the entanglement entropy of subsystems at each step using quantum state tomography and partial trace techniques [70] [76].

We predict that the entanglement entropy will grow linearly with circuit depth before saturating:

$$S(t) \sim \begin{cases} v_E t, & t < t_{sat} \\ S_{max}, & t \geq t_{sat} \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

where  $v_E$  is the entanglement velocity and  $t_{sat}$  is the saturation time [77] [78]. This behavior is consistent with the dynamics of quantum information scrambling and provides a link between entanglement growth and emergent time.

## 5.3. Probing Holographic Quantum Error Correction

To test the role of quantum error correction in maintaining stable emergent time, we propose experiments using quantum circuits that implement holographic quantum error-correcting codes [7] [32]. Key observables would include:

- The fidelity of bulk operator reconstruction from boundary regions, which can be measured using randomized benchmarking techniques [69].
- The robustness of emergent causal structure under local perturbations, which can be probed by introducing controlled errors and measuring their impact on information propagation [72].
- The relationship between code distance and the size of reconstructible bulk regions, which can be investigated by varying the number of qubits and measuring the reconstruction fidelity [33].

These experiments would provide crucial insights into the connection between quantum error correction, holography, and emergent time, testing key aspects of our theoretical framework.

## 5.4. Cosmological Observations

While direct observation of quantum gravity effects in cosmology is challenging, our framework suggests several potential observational signatures that could be detected with next-generation cosmological surveys.

### 5.4.1. Cosmic Microwave Background Tests

We propose searching for signatures of quantum complexity growth in the cosmic microwave background (CMB), specifically:

- Non-Gaussianity in the CMB with a specific form related to the growth of quantum complexity during inflation:

$$B(k_1, k_2, k_3) = f_{NL} F(k_1, k_2, k_3) + \alpha G(k_1, k_2, k_3, C) + \beta H(k_1, k_2, k_3, S_{ent}) \quad (27)$$

where  $B$  is the bispectrum,  $f_{NL}$  is the standard non-Gaussianity parameter, and  $G$  and  $H$  are new shape functions depending on complexity  $C$  and entanglement entropy  $S_{ent}$  [79] [80].

- Modifications to the tensor-to-scalar ratio  $r$  due to quantum information effects:

$$r = 16\epsilon(1 + \beta\mathcal{R} + \gamma C) \quad (28)$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the slow-roll parameter,  $\mathcal{R}$  is a curvature invariant, and  $C$  is related to quantum complexity [81] [82].

These predictions can be tested with future CMB missions such as CMB-S4 and LiteBIRD [83] [84], which will provide unprecedented precision in measuring CMB anisotropies and polarization.

#### 5.4.2. Large-Scale Structure Formation

Our framework predicts modifications to the standard cosmological perturbation theory due to quantum information effects:

$$\delta_k(\tau) = D(\tau)\delta_k(0)[1 + \alpha S_k + \beta C_k + \gamma I_k] \quad (29)$$

where  $\delta_k$  is the density contrast in Fourier space,  $D(\tau)$  is the linear growth factor,  $S_k$  and  $C_k$  are scale-dependent corrections from entanglement and complexity, and  $I_k$  represents non-local effects arising from holographic information transfer [85] [86].

These modifications could potentially be detected in large-scale structure surveys, such as those planned for the Euclid mission and the Vera C. Rubin Observatory [84].

#### 5.5. Testing Modified Friedmann Equations

To probe the modified Friedmann equations derived in **Appendix D**, we propose a series of cosmological observations aimed at detecting deviations from standard  $\Lambda$ CDM predictions. Key observables include:

- The expansion history of the universe, as measured by Type Ia supernovae and baryon acoustic oscillations [87] [88].
- The growth rate of cosmic structure, which can be probed through redshift-space distortions and weak gravitational lensing [89].
- The integrated Sachs-Wolfe effect, which is sensitive to the late-time evolution of gravitational potentials.

These observations would allow us to constrain the functions  $F_C$ ,  $G_C$ , and the parameters in our modified Friedmann equations, potentially providing evidence for quantum information effects in cosmic evolution.

While the full implications of this theory extend beyond current experimental capabilities, these proposed experiments offer concrete starting points for testing key aspects of the framework. They bridge theory with empirical reality, paving the way for future discoveries in quantum physics and deepening our under-

standing of the nature of time. The combination of quantum systems experiments and cosmological observations provides a multi-scale approach to refuting or validating this emergent time framework.

## 6. Results and Discussion

This section synthesizes the key findings of our research, discusses their implications in the context of existing theories, acknowledges the challenges and limitations of our approach, and explores the broader implications for future investigations in physics and cosmology.

### 6.1. Synthesis of Theoretical Insights

Our investigation into the emergence of time from quantum informational processes has yielded several key insights that collectively support our central thesis:

1) **Quantum Complexity Growth:** We have demonstrated that the growth of quantum complexity provides a natural measure for the passage of time, as described by the Complexity-Time Correspondence Theorem:

$$C(t) = \lambda t + o(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq e^{o(n)} \quad (30)$$

where  $C(t)$  is the complexity at time  $t$ ,  $\lambda$  is a system-dependent constant, and  $n$  is the number of qubits [5] [43]. This linear growth in complexity aligns with our intuitive understanding of time's arrow and offers a quantum mechanical basis for temporal progression, bridging the gap between microscopic reversibility and macroscopic irreversibility [40].

2) **Entanglement Entropy Dynamics:** The evolution of entanglement entropy, characterized by:

$$S(t) \sim v_E t, \quad (31)$$

where  $v_E$  is the entanglement velocity, provides a link between quantum information spreading and the emergence of time [77] [90]. This relationship underpins the connection between quantum dynamics and macroscopic irreversibility, offering a quantum foundation for the second law of thermodynamics [50].

3) **Holographic Emergence of Spacetime:** The AdS/CFT correspondence and the Ryu-Takayanagi formula, extended to include quantum corrections:

$$S(A) = \frac{\text{Area}(\gamma_A)}{4G_N} + S_{\text{bulk}}(\Sigma_A) + S_{\text{Wald-like}} + S_{\text{anomaly}}, \quad (32)$$

suggest that spacetime geometry, including its temporal aspects, can emerge from the entanglement structure of a lower-dimensional quantum theory [27] [28]. This provides a concrete realization of how time can arise from timeless quantum processes, supporting the idea that spacetime itself is an emergent phenomenon [18] [19].

4) **Quantum Error Correction and Bulk Reconstruction:** The relationship between quantum error correction and holography provides a mechanism for the emergence of bulk spacetime, including its causal structure, from boundary

quantum mechanics [7] [32]. This connection is formalized in the holographic quantum error-correcting codes described in **Appendix C**, with the Holographic Error Correction Theorem bounding the reconstruction error  $\epsilon$  for a bulk operator  $\phi$  from a boundary region  $A$ :

$$\epsilon \leq c e^{-\Delta(d(A)-r)} \quad (33)$$

where  $c$  is a constant,  $\Delta$  is the scaling dimension of  $\phi$ ,  $d(A)$  is the distance of the code restricted to region  $A$ , and  $r$  is the bulk depth of  $\phi$  [33]. This theorem provides a quantitative measure of how reliably bulk physics, including the flow of time, can be reconstructed from boundary information.

These results collectively support the view that time is not a fundamental entity but emerges from the dynamics of quantum information. This framework unifies concepts from quantum mechanics, general relativity, and information theory, offering a coherent picture of how our classical notion of time arises from underlying quantum processes [91].

## 6.2. Connections to Existing Theories and Observations

Our framework for emergent time aligns with and extends several existing theories and observations in physics:

- **Black Hole Thermodynamics:** The growth of quantum complexity in our model is consistent with the behavior of black holes, particularly the idea that they are the fastest scramblers in nature [42] [44]. This provides a new perspective on black hole entropy and offers a potential resolution to the black hole information paradox [23] [48].

- **Cosmological Arrow of Time:** The expansion of the universe and the cosmological arrow of time can be understood in terms of increasing quantum complexity of the cosmic state [8] [52]. This is reflected in the modified Friedmann equations derived in **Appendix D**:

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G_N}{3} \rho + \frac{\Lambda}{3} + \alpha F_C(C, S_{ent}) \quad (34)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G_N}{3} (\rho + 3p) + \frac{\Lambda}{3} + \beta G_C(C, S_{ent}) \quad (35)$$

where  $F_C$  and  $G_C$  are functions arising from complexity and entanglement contributions. These equations provide a novel framework for understanding cosmic evolution, potentially offering new insights into the nature of dark energy and the early universe [59].

- **Quantum Foundations:** Our framework aligns with interpretations of quantum mechanics that emphasize the role of information, such as QBism [92] and the relational interpretation [93]. It suggests that the flow of time is intimately connected to the acquisition and processing of quantum information, providing a new perspective on the measurement problem and the emergence of classical reality from quantum substrates [94].

Moreover, this interpretation is consistent with known physical laws. The

emergence of time from quantum processes naturally gives rise to the observed arrow of time and the second law of thermodynamics. It also provides a quantum foundation for relativistic time dilation effects, as the rate of complexity growth can be affected by motion and gravitational fields [17].

### 6.3. Challenges and Limitations

While our framework offers a compelling perspective on the nature of time, several open challenges and limitations must be acknowledged:

1) **Experimental Verification:** Direct observation of quantum complexity growth and its relation to emergent time remains challenging. We have proposed experiments using quantum simulators and analogue systems in Section 5, but realizing these experiments with sufficient precision will be technically demanding [67] [75]. Bridging the gap between theoretical predictions and experimental capabilities is a crucial next step.

2) **Universality:** While our framework is grounded in fundamental quantum mechanics, definitively demonstrating its universality across all physical systems and scales remains uncertain. The extension of the holographic principle to general spacetimes, as discussed in **Appendix B**, is a step in this direction [51] [95].

3) **Reconciliation with Quantum Gravity:** Fully integrating the emergent time framework with theories of quantum gravity, such as loop quantum gravity and string theory, requires concerted efforts [53] [54]. The role of quantum complexity and entanglement in these theories demands separate investigations beyond the scope of this paper.

### 6.4. Broader Implications for Future Investigation

The emergence of time from the dynamics of quantum information has far-reaching implications for our understanding of many aspects of reality:

- **Nature of Reality:** This framework suggests that the fundamental fabric of reality may be information rather than space, time, or matter. This aligns with Wheeler’s “it from bit” proposition [96] and points towards a deeply information-theoretic view of the universe [8] [97].

- **Consciousness and Time:** The intimate connection between information processing and the emergence of time raises intriguing questions about the nature of consciousness and its relationship to our perception of time [98] [99]. The role of quantum error correction in maintaining stable emergent time may have implications for understanding the stability of conscious experience.

- **Unification of Physics:** This approach offers a potential path towards reconciling quantum mechanics and general relativity by providing a common language of quantum information for describing both quantum and gravitational phenomena [35] [91]. The holographic quantum error-correcting codes described in **Appendix C** further provide a concrete model for this unification.

- **Cosmology and the Nature of Time:** Our framework provides new perspectives on cosmic inflation, the arrow of time, and the nature of time before the Big Bang, potentially reshaping our understanding of the universe’s origin and evo-

lution [52] [100]. The modified Friedmann equations derived in **Appendix D** offer a specific realization of these ideas in cosmological models.

This picture of time represents a paradigm shift in our understanding of reality. It challenges our fundamental notions of space, time, and causality, suggesting that these familiar concepts arise from a more fundamental substrate of quantum information. While significant challenges remain, this framework offers a promising direction for future research in theoretical physics and cosmology, potentially leading to a more unified and coherent understanding of nature.

## 7. Conclusions: Time as an Emergent Quantum Phenomenon

This paper has presented a novel framework for understanding time as an emergent phenomenon arising from fundamental quantum informational processes. Our approach integrates concepts from quantum mechanics, general relativity, and information theory, offering a unified perspective on the nature of time and potentially resolving longstanding paradoxes in physics.

### 7.1. Key Findings and Their Implications

Our primary results can be summarized as follows:

1) **Quantum Complexity as Time:** We have demonstrated that the growth of quantum complexity provides a natural measure for the passage of time [5] [43]. The linear growth of complexity:

$$C(t) \sim \lambda t \quad (36)$$

aligns with our intuitive understanding of time's arrow and offers a quantum mechanical basis for temporal progression. This result bridges the gap between microscopic reversibility and macroscopic irreversibility, providing a potential resolution to the arrow of time problem [40].

2) **Entanglement and Spacetime:** The evolution of entanglement entropy plays a crucial role in the emergence of spacetime geometry, including its temporal aspects [18] [19]. The quantum-corrected Ryu-Takayanagi formula:

$$S(A) = \frac{\text{Area}(\gamma_A)}{4G_N} + S_{\text{bulk}}(\Sigma_A) + S_{\text{Wald-like}} + S_{\text{anomaly}} \quad (37)$$

provides a concrete link between quantum information and spacetime structure [27]. This relationship suggests that spacetime itself may be an emergent phenomenon, arising from the entanglement structure of underlying quantum degrees of freedom [91].

3) **Holographic Principle:** We have extended the holographic principle to general spacetimes, demonstrating how bulk dynamics, including the flow of time, can emerge from boundary quantum mechanics [35] [95]. This generalization provides a framework for understanding how three-dimensional spatial geometry and time can emerge from lower-dimensional quantum systems, potentially revolutionizing our understanding of the nature of space and time.

4) **Quantum Error Correction:** The relationship between quantum error cor-

rection and holography provides a mechanism for the emergence of bulk spacetime, including its causal structure, from boundary quantum information [7] [32]. This connection offers a potential resolution to the problem of time in quantum gravity, showing how time can emerge from timeless quantum processes [4].

5) **Cosmological Implications:** We have derived modified Friedmann equations that incorporate quantum information measures, offering a new perspective on cosmic evolution and the arrow of time in cosmology [8]:

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G_N}{3} \rho + \frac{\Lambda}{3} + \alpha F_C(C, S_{ent}) \quad (38)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G_N}{3} (\rho + 3p) + \frac{\Lambda}{3} + \beta G_C(C, S_{ent}) \quad (39)$$

where  $F_C$  and  $G_C$  are functions arising from complexity and entanglement contributions. These equations provide a framework for understanding cosmic inflation and the expansion of the universe in terms of quantum information dynamics [57].

These results collectively support the view that time is not a fundamental entity but emerges from the dynamics of quantum information, unifying concepts from quantum mechanics, general relativity, and information theory.

## 7.2. Significance and Broader Implications

The concept of time as an emergent phenomenon has profound implications for our understanding of physics and reality:

- **Unification of Physics:** By providing a common language of quantum information for describing both quantum and gravitational phenomena, this approach offers a potential path towards reconciling quantum mechanics and general relativity [54] [91]. It suggests a deep connection between quantum entanglement and spacetime geometry, potentially leading to a quantum theory of gravity.

- **Resolution of Paradoxes:** The emergent time framework provides new perspectives on longstanding puzzles in physics, such as the black hole information paradox [46] [48] and the arrow of time [101]. By understanding time as emerging from quantum processes, we can potentially resolve these paradoxes within a consistent quantum framework.

- **Nature of Reality:** The idea that time emerges from quantum information suggests a deeply information-theoretic view of the universe, aligning with Wheeler's "it from bit" proposition [96] [97]. This perspective implies that information may be the fundamental building block of reality, from which space, time, and matter emerge.

- **Philosophical Implications:** The emergent nature of time has significant philosophical consequences, potentially reshaping our understanding of free will, determinism, and the nature of consciousness [98] [99]. It challenges our intuitive notions of causality and may require a fundamental reevaluation of our understanding of reality and our place within it.

### 7.3. Future Directions

While this framework provides a compelling picture of emergent time, several important areas require further investigation:

1) **Experimental Validation:** Developing more sophisticated quantum simulations and analog experiments to directly probe the relationship between complexity growth, entanglement dynamics, and emergent time [63] [75]. This may involve creating highly entangled states in controllable quantum systems and observing their evolution over time.

2) **Cosmological Applications:** Refining our modified Friedmann equations and developing observational tests to detect signatures of quantum information dynamics in cosmic evolution [57] [80]. This could involve searching for specific patterns in the cosmic microwave background or in the large-scale structure of the universe that reflect underlying quantum information dynamics.

3) **Quantum Gravity:** Further integrating our emergent time framework with approaches to quantum gravity, such as loop quantum gravity and string theory [53] [102]. This integration may lead to new insights into the nature of spacetime at the Planck scale and could potentially resolve outstanding issues in quantum gravity.

4) **Foundations of Quantum Mechanics:** Exploring the implications of emergent time for various interpretations of quantum mechanics and the measurement problem [92] [94]. This may lead to new interpretations of quantum mechanics that naturally incorporate the concept of emergent time.

5) **Information Paradoxes:** Developing a more complete resolution of the black hole information paradox and related issues in high-energy physics using the emergent time framework [42] [103]. This could involve a detailed analysis of how information is preserved and processed in extreme gravitational scenarios.

In conclusion, the emergence of time from quantum informational processes suggests a paradigm shift in our understanding of the universe. By unifying concepts from quantum mechanics, general relativity, and information theory, this framework offers a promising path towards a more fundamental theory of nature. As we continue to develop and test these ideas, we move closer to unraveling the deep mysteries of time, space, and the quantum nature of reality.

### Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

### References

- [1] Newton, I. (1687) *Philosophiae Naturalis Principia Mathematica*. Royal Society.
- [2] Einstein, A. (1915) Die Feldgleichungen der Gravitation. *Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, 844-847.
- [3] Busch, P., Grabowski, M. and Lahti, P.J. (1994) Time Observables in Quantum Theory. *Physics Letters A*, **191**, 357-361. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601\(94\)90785-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(94)90785-4)
- [4] Isham, C.J. (1993) Canonical Quantum Gravity and the Problem of Time. In: Ibort, L.A. and Rodríguez, M.A., Eds., *Integrable Systems, Quantum Groups, and Quantum*

- Field Theories*, Springer, 157-287. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-1980-1\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-1980-1_6)
- [5] Brown, A.R. and Susskind, L. (2018) Second Law of Quantum Complexity. *Physical Review D*, **97**, Article ID: 086015. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevd.97.086015>
- [6] Maldacena, J. (1999) The Large-N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Super-Gravity. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **38**, 1113-1133. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1026654312961>
- [7] Almheiri, A., Dong, X. and Harlow, D. (2015) Bulk Locality and Quantum Error Correction in AdS/CFT. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, **2015**, Article No. 163. [https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep04\(2015\)163](https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep04(2015)163)
- [8] Lloyd, S. (2006) A Theory of Quantum Gravity Based on Quantum Computation.
- [9] von Neumann, J. (1932) *Mathematische Grundlagen der Quantenmechanik*. Springer.
- [10] Schrödinger, E. (1935) Die gegenwärtige Situation in der Quantenmechanik. *Die Naturwissenschaften*, **23**, 807-812. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01491891>
- [11] Einstein, A., Podolsky, B. and Rosen, N. (1935) Can Quantum-Mechanical Description of Physical Reality Be Considered Complete? *Physical Review*, **47**, 777-780. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrev.47.777>
- [12] Nielsen, M.A. and Chuang, I.L. (2010) *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press.
- [13] Deutsch, D. (1985) Quantum Theory, the Church-Turing Principle and the Universal Quantum Computer. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, **400**, 97-117.
- [14] Horodecki, R., Horodecki, P., Horodecki, M. and Horodecki, K. (2009) Quantum Entanglement. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, **81**, 865-942. <https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.81.865>
- [15] Bennett, C.H., DiVincenzo, D.P., Smolin, J.A. and Wootters, W.K. (1996) Mixed-state Entanglement and Quantum Error Correction. *Physical Review A*, **54**, 3824-3851. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.54.3824>
- [16] Amico, L., Fazio, R., Osterloh, A. and Vedral, V. (2008) Entanglement in Many-Body Systems. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, **80**, 517-576. <https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.80.517>
- [17] Maldacena, J. and Susskind, L. (2013) Cool Horizons for Entangled Black Holes. *Fortschritte der Physik*, **61**, 781-811. <https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.201300020>
- [18] Van Raamsdonk, M. (2010) Building up Spacetime with Quantum Entanglement. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, **42**, 2323-2329. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-010-1034-0>
- [19] Cao, C., Carroll, S.M. and Michalakis, S. (2017) Space from Hilbert Space: Recovering Geometry from Bulk Entanglement. *Physical Review D*, **95**, Article ID: 024031. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevd.95.024031>
- [20] Watrous, J. (2009) Quantum Computational Complexity. In: Meyers, R.A., Ed., *Encyclopedia of Complexity and Systems Science*, Springer, 7174-7201. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-30440-3\\_428](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-30440-3_428)
- [21] Aaronson, S. (2016) The Complexity of Quantum States and Transformations: From Quantum Money to Black Holes.
- [22] Nielsen, M.A. (2006) A Geometric Approach to Quantum Circuit Lower Bounds. *Quantum Information and Computation*, **6**, 213-262. <https://doi.org/10.26421/qic6.3-2>
- [23] Susskind, L. (2016) Computational Complexity and Black Hole Horizons. *Fortschritte*

- der Physik*, **64**, 24-43. <https://doi.org/10.1002/prop.201500092>
- [24] Witten, E. (1998) Anti De Sitter Space and Holography. *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, **2**, 253-291. <https://doi.org/10.4310/atmp.1998.v2.n2.a2>
- [25] Ryu, S. and Takayanagi, T. (2006) Holographic Derivation of Entanglement Entropy from the Anti-de Sitter Space/Conformal Field Theory Correspondence. *Physical Review Letters*, **96**, Article ID: 181602. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.96.181602>
- [26] Rangamani, M. and Takayanagi, T. (2017) Holographic Entanglement Entropy. Springer.
- [27] Faulkner, T., Lewkowycz, A. and Maldacena, J. (2013) Quantum Corrections to Holographic Entanglement Entropy. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, **2013**, Article No. 74. [https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep11\(2013\)074](https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep11(2013)074)
- [28] Engelhardt, N. and Wall, A.C. (2015) Quantum Extremal Surfaces: Holographic Entanglement Entropy Beyond the Classical Regime. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, **2015**, Article No. 73. [https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep01\(2015\)073](https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep01(2015)073)
- [29] Dong, X. (2014) Holographic Entanglement Entropy for General Higher Derivative Gravity. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, **2014**, Article No. 44. [https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep01\(2014\)044](https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep01(2014)044)
- [30] Shor, P.W. (1995) Scheme for Reducing Decoherence in Quantum Computer Memory. *Physical Review A*, **52**, R2493-R2496. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.52.r2493>
- [31] Gottesman, D. (1997) Stabilizer Codes and Quantum Error Correction.
- [32] Pastawski, F., Yoshida, B., Harlow, D. and Preskill, J. (2015) Holographic Quantum Error-Correcting Codes: Toy Models for the Bulk/Boundary Correspondence. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, **2015**, Article No. 149. [https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep06\(2015\)149](https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep06(2015)149)
- [33] Harlow, D. (2017) The Ryu-Takayanagi Formula from Quantum Error Correction. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, **354**, 865-912. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-017-2904-z>
- [34] Jafferis, D.L., Lewkowycz, A., Maldacena, J. and Suh, S.J. (2016) Relative Entropy Equals Bulk Relative Entropy. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, **2016**, Article No. 4. [https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep06\(2016\)004](https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep06(2016)004)
- [35] Verlinde, E. (2011) On the Origin of Gravity and the Laws of Newton. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, **2011**, Article No. 29. [https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep04\(2011\)029](https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep04(2011)029)
- [36] Stanford, D. and Susskind, L. (2014) Complexity and Shock Wave Geometries. *Physical Review D*, **90**, Article ID: 126007. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevd.90.126007>
- [37] Swingle, B. (2012) Entanglement Renormalization and Holography. *Physical Review D*, **86**, Article ID: 065007. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevd.86.065007>
- [38] Nozaki, M., Ryu, S. and Takayanagi, T. (2012) Holographic Geometry of Entanglement Renormalization in Quantum Field Theories. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, **2012**, Article No. 193. [https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep10\(2012\)193](https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep10(2012)193)
- [39] Preskill, J. (2018) Quantum Computing in the NISQ Era and Beyond. *Quantum*, **2**, 79. <https://doi.org/10.22331/q-2018-08-06-79>
- [40] Maccione, L. (2009) Quantum Solution to the Arrow-of-Time Dilemma. *Physical Review Letters*, **103**, Article ID: 080401. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.103.080401>
- [41] Rovelli, C. (2018) The Order of Time. Riverhead Books.
- [42] Hayden, P. and Preskill, J. (2007) Black Holes as Mirrors: Quantum Information in Random Subsystems. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, **2007**, Article No. 120. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2007/09/120>

- [43] Susskind, L. (2018) Why Do Things Fall?
- [44] Sekino, Y. and Susskind, L. (2008) Fast Scramblers. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, **2008**, Article No. 65. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2008/10/065>
- [45] Maldacena, J., Shenker, S.H. and Stanford, D. (2016) A Bound on Chaos. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, **2016**, Article No. 106. [https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep08\(2016\)106](https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep08(2016)106)
- [46] Hawking, S.W. (1975) Particle Creation by Black Holes. *Communications In Mathematical Physics*, **43**, 199-220. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02345020>
- [47] Brown, A.R., Roberts, D.A., Susskind, L., Swingle, B. and Zhao, Y. (2016) Complexity, Action, and Black Holes. *Physical Review D*, **93**, Article ID: 086006. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevd.93.086006>
- [48] Almheiri, A., Marolf, D., Polchinski, J. and Sully, J. (2013) Black Holes: Complementarity or Firewalls? *Journal of High Energy Physics*, **2013**, Article No. 62. [https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep02\(2013\)062](https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep02(2013)062)
- [49] Papadodimas, K. and Raju, S. (2013) An Infalling Observer in Ads/CFT. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, **2013**, Article No. 212. [https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep10\(2013\)212](https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep10(2013)212)
- [50] Popescu, S., Short, A.J. and Winter, A. (2006) Entanglement and the Foundations of Statistical Mechanics. *Nature Physics*, **2**, 754-758. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys444>
- [51] Jacobson, T. (2015) Entanglement Equilibrium and the Einstein Equation. *Physical Review Letters*, **115**, Article ID: 031601.
- [52] Carroll, S.M. (2010) From Eternity to Here: The Quest for the Ultimate Theory of Time. Penguin.
- [53] Rovelli, C. (2004) Quantum Gravity. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511755804>
- [54] Oriti, D. (2014) Disappearance and Emergence of Space and Time in Quantum Gravity. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part B: Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics*, **46**, 186-199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsb.2013.10.006>
- [55] Harlow, D. and Ooguri, H. (2019) Constraints on Symmetries from Holography. *Physical Review Letters*, **122**, Article ID: 191601. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.122.191601>
- [56] Nye, L. (2024) Quantum Extensions to the Einstein Field Equations. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.12251.58408>
- [57] Mukhanov, V. (2005) Physical Foundations of Cosmology. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511790553>
- [58] Padmanabhan, T. (2007) Dark Energy and Gravity. *General Relativity and Gravitation*, **40**, 529-564. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10714-007-0555-7>
- [59] Verlinde, E.P. (2017) Emergent Gravity and the Dark Universe. *SciPost Physics*, **2**, Article No. 16. <https://doi.org/10.21468/scipostphys.2.3.016>
- [60] Terhal, B.M. (2015) Quantum Error Correction for Quantum Memories. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, **87**, 307-346. <https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.87.307>
- [61] Harrow, A.W. and Montanaro, A. (2017) Quantum Computational Supremacy. *Nature*, **549**, 203-209. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23458>
- [62] Montanaro, A. (2016) Quantum Algorithms: An Overview. *NPJ Quantum Information*, **2**, Article No. 15023. <https://doi.org/10.1038/npjqi.2015.23>
- [63] Jia, S., Tilloy, A. and Zurek, W.H. (2019) Spacetime from Decoherence. *Physical Review D*, **100**, Article ID: 086008.
- [64] Martinez, E.A., Muschik, C.A., Schindler, P., Nigg, D., Erhard, A., Heyl, M., *et al.* (2016) Real-Time Dynamics of Lattice Gauge Theories with a Few-Qubit Quantum

- Computer. *Nature*, **534**, 516-519. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18318>
- [65] Giovannetti, V., Lloyd, S. and Maccone, L. (2011) Advances in Quantum Metrology. *Nature Photonics*, **5**, 222-229. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nphoton.2011.35>
- [66] Degen, C.L., Reinhard, F. and Cappellaro, P. (2017) Quantum Sensing. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, **89**, Article ID: 035002. <https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.89.035002>
- [67] Arute, F., Arya, K., Babbush, R., Bacon, D., Bardin, J.C., Barends, R., *et al.* (2019) Quantum Supremacy Using a Programmable Superconducting Processor. *Nature*, **574**, 505-510. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1666-5>
- [68] Kjaergaard, M., Schwartz, M.E., Braumüller, J., Krantz, P., Wang, J.I., Gustavsson, S., *et al.* (2020) Superconducting Qubits: Current State of Play. *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics*, **11**, 369-395. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031119-050605>
- [69] Emerson, J., Alicki, R. and Życzkowski, K. (2005) Scalable Noise Estimation with Random Unitary Operators. *Journal of Optics B: Quantum and Semiclassical Optics*, **7**, S347-S352. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1464-4266/7/10/021>
- [70] Gross, D., Liu, Y., Flammia, S.T., Becker, S. and Eisert, J. (2010) Quantum State Tomography via Compressed Sensing. *Physical Review Letters*, **105**, Article ID: 150401. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevlett.105.150401>
- [71] Bruzewicz, C.D., Chiaverini, J., McConnell, R. and Sage, J.M. (2019) Trapped-Ion Quantum Computing: Progress and Challenges. *Applied Physics Reviews*, **6**, Article ID: 021314. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5088164>
- [72] Monroe, C., Campbell, W.C., Duan, L., Gong, Z., Gorshkov, A.V., Hess, P.W., *et al.* (2021) Programmable Quantum Simulations of Spin Systems with Trapped Ions. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, **93**, Article ID: 025001. <https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.93.025001>
- [73] Swingle, B., Bentsen, G., Schleier-Smith, M. and Hayden, P. (2016) Measuring the Scrambling of Quantum Information. *Physical Review A*, **94**, Article ID: 040302. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreva.94.040302>
- [74] Gärttner, M., Hauke, P. and Rey, A.M. (2017) Measuring Out-of-Time-Order Correlations and Multiple Quantum Spectra in a Trapped-Ion Quantum Magnet. *Physical Review Letters*, **120**, Article ID: 040402.
- [75] Bernien, H., Schwartz, S., Keesling, A., Levine, H., Omran, A., Pichler, H., *et al.* (2017) Probing Many-Body Dynamics on a 51-Atom Quantum Simulator. *Nature*, **551**, 579-584. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24622>
- [76] Lanyon, B.P., Maier, C., Holzäpfel, M., Baumgratz, T., Hempel, C., Jurcevic, P., *et al.* (2017) Efficient Tomography of a Quantum Many-Body System. *Nature Physics*, **13**, 1158-1162. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys4244>
- [77] Nahum, A., Ruhman, J., Vijay, S. and Haah, J. (2017) Quantum Entanglement Growth under Random Unitary Dynamics. *Physical Review X*, **7**, Article ID: 031016. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevx.7.031016>
- [78] Zhou, T. and Luitz, D.J. (2017) Operator Entanglement Entropy of the Time Evolution Operator in Chaotic Systems. *Physical Review B*, **95**, Article ID: 094206. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevb.95.094206>
- [79] Maldacena, J. (2003) Non-Gaussian Features of Primordial Fluctuations in Single Field Inflationary Models. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, **2003**, Article No. 13. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2003/05/013>
- [80] Martin, J. and Vennin, V. (2018) Non Gaussianities from Quantum Decoherence

- during Inflation. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, **2018**, Article No. 37. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2018/06/037>
- [81] Arkani-Hamed, N. and Maldacena, J. (2015). Cosmological Collider Physics.
- [82] Choudhury, S., Panda, S. and Singh, R. (2017) Bell Violation in the Sky. *The European Physical Journal C*, **77**, Article No. 60. <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-016-4553-3>
- [83] Abazajian, K.N., Adshead, P., Ahmed, Z., Allen, S.W., Alonso, D., Arnold, K.S. and Zonca, A. (2016) CMB-S4 Science Book.
- [84] LSST Science Collaboration (2009) LSST Science Book, Version 2.0.
- [85] Ade, P.A., Aghanim, N., Arnaud, M., Ashdown, M., Aumont, J., Baccigalupi, C. and Zonca, A. (2016). Planck 2015 Results-XIII. Cosmological Parameters. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, **594**, A13.
- [86] Neyrinck, M.C., Szapudi, I. and Szalay, A.S. (2009) Information Content in the Halo-Model Dark-Matter Power Spectrum. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, **698**, L90.
- [87] Scolnic, D.M., Jones, D.O., Rest, A., Pan, Y.C., Chornock, R., Foley, R.J., *et al.* (2018) The Complete Light-Curve Sample of Spectroscopically Confirmed SNe Ia from Pan-STARRS1 and Cosmological Constraints from the Combined Pantheon Sample. *The Astrophysical Journal*, **859**, Article No. 101. <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aab9bb>
- [88] Alam, S., Ata, M., Bailey, S., Beutler, F., Bizyaev, D., Blazek, J.A., *et al.* (2017) The Clustering of Galaxies in the Completed SDSS-III Baryon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey: Cosmological Analysis of the DR12 Galaxy Sample. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, **470**, 2617-2652. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx721>
- [89] Huterer, D. and Shafer, D.L. (2017) Dark Energy Two Decades after: Observables, Probes, Consistency Tests. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, **81**, Article ID: 016901. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6633/aa997e>
- [90] Calabrese, P. and Cardy, J. (2005) Evolution of Entanglement Entropy in One-Dimensional Systems. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, **2005**, P04010. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2005/04/p04010>
- [91] Ooguri, H. (2017) How Fast Must Quantum Information Scramble? *Science*, **356**, 822-823.
- [92] Fuchs, C.A. and Schack, R. (2013) Quantum-Bayesian Coherence. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, **85**, 1693-1715. <https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.85.1693>
- [93] Rovelli, C. (1996) Relational Quantum Mechanics. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **35**, 1637-1678. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02302261>
- [94] Zurek, W.H. (2003) Decoherence, Einselection, and the Quantum Origins of the Classical. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, **75**, 715-775. <https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.75.715>
- [95] Bousso, R. (2002) The Holographic Principle. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, **74**, 825-874. <https://doi.org/10.1103/revmodphys.74.825>
- [96] Wheeler, J.A. (1990) Information, Physics, Quantum: The Search for Links. *Complexity, Entropy, and the Physics of Information*, **8**, 3-28.
- [97] Vedral, V. (2010) Decoding Reality: The Universe as Quantum Information. Oxford University Press.
- [98] Chalmers, D.J. (1996) The Conscious Mind: In Search of a Fundamental Theory. Oxford University Press.
- [99] Tononi, G., Boly, M., Massimini, M. and Koch, C. (2016) Integrated Information

- Theory: From Consciousness to Its Physical Substrate. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, **17**, 450-461. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.44>
- [100] Hartle, J.B. and Hawking, S.W. (1983) Wave Function of the Universe. *Physical Review D*, **28**, 2960-2975. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevd.28.2960>
- [101] Lebowitz, J.L. (1993) Boltzmann's Entropy and Time's Arrow. *Physics Today*, **46**, 32-38. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.881363>
- [102] Polchinski, J. (1998). String Theory: Volume 1, an Introduction to the Bosonic String. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511618123>
- [103] Harlow, D. and Hayden, P. (2013) Quantum Computation vs. Firewalls. *Journal of High Energy Physics*, **2013**, Article No. 85. [https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep06\(2013\)085](https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep06(2013)085)

## Appendix

**Appendices A-D**, please see the following link:

[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380668546\\_The\\_Emergence\\_of\\_Time\\_from\\_Quantum\\_Information\\_Dynamics](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380668546_The_Emergence_of_Time_from_Quantum_Information_Dynamics)