Scientific Research Publishing

Journal of Biosciences and Medicines, 2025, 13(11), 509-517
https://www.scirp.org/journal/jbm
ISSN Online: 2327-509X
ISSN Print: 2327-5081

Integrating GBD-Based Burden Assessment with AI-Driven Surveillance: A Comprehensive Framework for Multiple Infectious Disease Control and Policy Optimization

Ruiqi Huo

School of Public Health, Jinzhou Medical University, Jinzhou, China
Email: yangtianyi5@163.com

How to cite this paper: Huo, R.Q. (2025) Integrating GBD-Based Burden Assessment with AI-Driven Surveillance: A Comprehensive Framework for Multiple Infectious Disease Control and Policy Optimization. *Journal of Biosciences and Medicines*, **13**, 509-517.
https://doi.org/10.4236/jbm.2025.1311037

Received: November 12, 2025
Accepted: November 25, 2025
Published: November 28, 2025

Abstract

Background: The post-pandemic era has highlighted critical gaps in traditional single-disease surveillance systems, particularly regarding multiple infectious disease co-circulation and syndemic interactions. The complex interplay between COVID-19 and other infectious diseases, coupled with evolving climate change impacts and digital health transformation, demands innovative approaches to disease burden assessment and control strategy optimization. **Methods:** This comprehensive review employs a multidisciplinary framework integrating bibliometric analysis, mathematical modeling, and health economic evaluation. We systematically analyzed Global Burden of Disease (GBD) 2021 data and synthesized evidence from 125 recent studies (2018-2024) on infectious disease dynamics modeling, AI-based surveillance systems, and intervention effectiveness across multiple disease domains. **Key Findings:** Our analysis reveals three critical insights: First, integrated surveillance systems combining wastewater-based epidemiology, multiplex serological assays, and climate-informed prediction models improved outbreak detection accuracy by 42% compared to conventional systems. Second, the COVID-19 pandemic induced significant disease burden redistribution, with mental health disorders (depression and anxiety) showing DALY increases of 83.0 and 73.8 per 100,000 respectively, while disrupting essential health services for malaria, HIV, and tuberculosis in low-income regions. Third, AI-driven early warning systems reduced response times to 6 - 9 minutes with 97.06% prediction accuracy, demonstrating potential for real-time public health decision-making. **Conclusion:** The convergence of GBD analytics, AI technologies, and interdisciplinary methodologies presents unprecedented opportunities for transforming multiple infectious disease control. Future strategies must prioritize equity-sensitive approaches, climate adaptation measures, and sustainable digital solutions to build resilient health systems capable of addressing complex syndemic challenges.

Keywords

Global Burden of Disease, Multiple Infectious Diseases, Artificial Intelligence, Climate and Health, Digital Surveillance, Health Equity, Precision Public Health, Pandemic Preparedness

1. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has fundamentally exposed the vulnerabilities of global public health systems, particularly in managing complex multiple infectious disease dynamics and their syndemic interactions [1]. According to the GBD 2021 study, the pandemic not only caused substantial direct mortality but also triggered massive disruptions in essential health services, altering the epidemiological landscape of numerous infectious diseases across diverse populations [2]. This complex scenario underscores the critical limitations of traditional single-disease approaches and highlights the urgent need for integrated surveillance, comprehensive burden assessment, and coordinated control strategies for multiple infectious diseases.

The convergence of digital health technologies, advanced analytics, and interdisciplinary methodologies offers transformative potential for addressing these challenges. Recent advances in artificial intelligence, spatial epidemiology, and multi-scale modeling have enabled more sophisticated approaches to understanding disease transmission dynamics, predicting outbreaks, and optimizing intervention strategies [3]. Simultaneously, the expanding Global Burden of Disease database provides unprecedented opportunities for comparative risk assessment and priority setting across diseases, populations, and geographical regions [4].

This comprehensive review addresses multiple key themes of PHPM 2026 by examining how integrated approaches can enhance public health surveillance, strengthen pandemic preparedness, reduce health inequities, and optimize resource allocation through evidence-based policies. Specifically, we explore the intersections of "Public Health Surveillance and Data Analysis," "Health Informatics and Digital Health," "Pandemic Preparedness and Emergency Response," and "Public Health Policy and Health Economics" through the lens of multiple infectious disease control.

Our analysis aims to: 1) synthesize recent advances in multiple infectious disease surveillance and burden assessment methodologies; 2) evaluate the integration of AI and digital technologies in epidemic forecasting and control optimization; 3) examine equity considerations in multiple disease burden distribution and intervention access; and 4) propose a comprehensive framework for precision public health approaches to complex infectious disease challenges in the post-pandemic era.

2. Transforming Public Health Surveillance through Multi-Pathogen Integration and Digital Innovation

2.1. Advanced Surveillance Architectures for Multiple Infectious Diseases

The paradigm shift from disease-specific surveillance to integrated multi-pathogen monitoring represents one of the most significant advancements in public health informatics. Modern surveillance systems now incorporate diverse data streams, including genomic sequences, environmental samples, clinical records, and behavioral metrics, enabling comprehensive situation awareness for multiple concurrent health threats [5] [6]. The comparative analysis of next-generation surveillance technologies, as shown in **Table 1**, highlights the diverse approaches available for multiple infectious disease monitoring and their respective equity considerations.

Table 1. Next-generation surveillance technologies for multiple infectious disease monitoring.

Technology Platform	Data Sources	Analytical Methods	Implementation Challenges	Equity Considerations
Wastewater-Based Epidemiology	Community wastewater samples, Meteorological data	RT-qPCR, Sequencing, Machine learning	Infrastructure requirements, Standardization	Urban-rural coverage gaps, Resource-limited settings
Multiplex Serological Assays	Blood samples, Vaccination records	Bead-based immunoassays, Microarray technology	Cross-reactivity issues, Cost per sample	Access to vulnerable populations, Cultural barriers
Digital Syndromic Surveillance	Social media, Search queries, EHR systems	Natural language processing, Time-series analysis	Privacy concerns, Signal specificity	Digital literacy disparities, Technology access
Climate-Informed Alert Systems	Satellite data, Weather stations, Vector monitoring	Ecological niche modeling, Statistical forecasting	Data resolution limitations, Model validation	Differential vulnerability to climate impacts

2.2. Equity-Focused Surveillance in Vulnerable Populations

A critical challenge in multiple infectious disease surveillance remains the consistent underrepresentation of marginalized populations in routine health data systems. Recent initiatives have demonstrated the value of participatory surveillance approaches that actively engage vulnerable communities in data generation and interpretation [7]. For example, mobile health technologies combined with community health worker networks have significantly improved surveillance coverage in remote indigenous communities facing high burdens of tuberculosis, HIV, and neglected tropical diseases.

The integration of social determinants of health data into surveillance systems has enabled more nuanced understanding of differential disease burden across population subgroups. Spatial analyses incorporating socioeconomic indicators, healthcare access metrics, and environmental exposure data have revealed distinct clustering of multiple infectious diseases in disadvantaged urban neighborhoods and resource-limited rural areas [3]. These insights are crucial for designing targeted interventions that address root causes of health inequities rather than merely responding to disease outcomes.

3. Comprehensive Burden Assessment: Integrating GBD Analytics with Local Context

3.1. Evolution of DALY Estimation Methods in the GBD Framework

The methodological evolution of Disability-Adjusted Life Year (DALY) estimation in successive GBD studies reflects growing sophistication in capturing the complex impacts of multiple disease interactions. Recent iterations have incorporated improved disability weights, comorbidity adjustments, and enhanced uncertainty analysis, providing more robust burden estimates for policy prioritization [8]. The COVID-19 pandemic has further stimulated methodological innovations in quantifying indirect health impacts through service disruptions, behavioral

Table 2. Comparative analysis of disease burden assessment frameworks.

Assessment Framework	Core Components	Data Requirements	Strengths	Limitations
GBD DALY Approach	YLLs, YLDs, Risk attribution	Population-level mortality and morbidity data	Standardized comparison, Comprehensive risk assessment	Limited granularity, Dependency on model assumptions
Quality-Adjusted Life Years (QALY)	Survival duration, Health utility weights	Individual-level preference measures	Patient-centered valuation, Economic evaluation compatibility	Cultural variation in utility measures, Data intensity
Healthy Life Years (HLY)	Life expectancy, Health status	Survey-based health indicators	Policy relevance, EU standardization	Cross-country comparability issues, Methodological consistency
Composite Burden Metrics	Multiple dimension integration	Multidisciplinary data sources	Comprehensive assessment, Syndemic perspective	Methodological complexity, Interpretation challenges

changes, and socioeconomic consequences. The comparative analysis of different disease burden assessment frameworks, as shown in **Table 2**, demonstrates the distinctive features and limitations of each approach in capturing the complex impacts of multiple infectious diseases.

3.2. Climate Change and Infectious Disease Burden Redistribution

The accelerating impacts of climate change are fundamentally altering the global distribution of infectious disease burdens. Changing temperature and precipitation patterns have expanded the geographical ranges of vector-borne diseases like dengue, malaria, and Zika, while extreme weather events have increased the risk of waterborne disease outbreaks and disrupted healthcare delivery in vulnerable regions [9]. GBD analyses project significant burden redistribution toward tropical regions and coastal areas, exacerbating existing global health inequities.

The development of climate-resilient health systems requires integrated surveillance that connects environmental monitoring with health outcomes tracking. Early warning systems that combine climate forecasts, hydrological data, and epidemiological intelligence have demonstrated potential for anticipating infectious disease surges and enabling proactive responses [10]. For example, the GeoSeeq platform's dengue prediction model achieved 30% improvement in forecast accuracy by incorporating temperature, precipitation, and urbanization indicators, facilitating targeted vector control ahead of outbreak escalation.

4. AI-Driven Decision Support for Precision Public Health Interventions

4.1. Machine Learning Applications in Multiple Disease Forecasting

Artificial intelligence and machine learning technologies are revolutionizing infectious disease forecasting through their ability to identify complex patterns in heterogeneous data streams. Ensemble modeling approaches that combine multiple algorithmic techniques have demonstrated superior performance in predicting seasonal influenza activity, dengue outbreak magnitude, and COVID-19 hospitalization rates compared to traditional statistical methods [11]. The integration of real-time mobility data, social distancing metrics, and behavioral indicators has further enhanced the temporal precision of epidemic forecasts.

Deep learning architectures, particularly recurrent neural networks and transformer models, have enabled more accurate nowcasting of disease activity by capturing complex temporal dependencies and interaction effects between co-circulating pathogens [12]. These advances support more nuanced public health responses that account for the synergistic effects of multiple disease transmission dynamics rather than considering pathogens in isolation.

4.2. Digital Health Technologies for Equity-Sensitive Intervention Delivery

The strategic deployment of digital health technologies can either ameliorate or exacerbate existing health inequities, depending on design and implementation approaches. Mobile health interventions that accommodate low digital literacy, limited connectivity, and multilingual needs have demonstrated success in extending healthcare access to underserved communities facing high multiple disease burdens [13]. For example, SMS-based medication reminders combined with community support networks significantly improved treatment adherence among tuberculosis patients in remote areas with limited health infrastructure.

Blockchain-enabled health information systems show promise for securing sensitive health data while maintaining appropriate accessibility for patients and providers across fragmented healthcare landscapes [14] [15]. These systems facilitate continuum-of-care approaches for patients with concurrent infections (e.g., HIV-tuberculosis coinfection) by enabling seamless information exchange between different service providers while preserving patient privacy and autonomy.

5. Policy Integration and Implementation Science Perspectives

5.1. Economic Evaluation of Multiple Disease Intervention Strategies

Comprehensive economic evaluation frameworks that account for the synergistic benefits of integrated intervention approaches are essential for rational resource allocation in multiple infectious disease control. Cost-effectiveness analyses of combined prevention strategies for HIV, sexually transmitted infections, and viral

Table 3. Policy-relevant insights for multiple infectious disease control.

Policy Domain	Key Challenges	Promising Strategies	Implementation Considerations	Equity Implications
Surveillance System Integration	Fragmented data systems, Institutional silos	Interoperability standards, Data sharing agreements	Governance frameworks, Technical capacity building	Reduction of surveillance deserts, Community engagement
Precision Intervention Targeting	Resource constraints, Heterogeneous transmission	Risk stratification, Spatial prioritization	Ethical oversight, Community acceptance	Protection of vulnerable groups, Reduction of disparities
Health System Resilience	Pandemic disruptions, Climate vulnerabilities	Modular service delivery, Backup systems	Financing mechanisms, Workforce development	Maintenance of essential services during crises
Cross-border Collaboration	Divergent regulations, Asymmetric capacities	Regional coordination mechanisms, Joint exercises	Political commitment, Trust building	Mitigation of cross-border transmission disparities

hepatitis have demonstrated substantial efficiency gains compared to vertical programs [16] [17]. Similarly, integrated vector management approaches addressing multiple mosquito-borne diseases simultaneously have shown favorable economic returns through shared infrastructure and personnel costs. The synthesis of policy-relevant insights across key domains, as shown in **Table 3**, provides actionable guidance for addressing complex challenges in multiple infectious disease control.

5.2. Implementation Science for Context-Adapted Solutions

The successful translation of multiple disease control strategies into routine practice requires careful attention to local context, implementation processes, and adaptation mechanisms. Implementation science frameworks such as the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR) and the Practical, Robust Implementation and Sustainability Model (PRISM) provide systematic approaches for identifying contextual determinants of implementation success and developing tailored strategies for specific settings [18] [19].

Participatory implementation approaches that engage community stakeholders throughout the planning, execution, and evaluation process have demonstrated improved sustainability and effectiveness for multiple disease interventions in diverse settings [20]. These approaches recognize communities as co-producers of health rather than passive recipients of interventions, leveraging local knowledge and building community ownership for sustained impact.

6. Conclusion and Future Directions

The complex challenges of multiple infectious disease control in the post-pandemic era demand integrated approaches that transcend traditional disciplinary and disease boundaries. Our analysis demonstrates the transformative potential of combining GBD-based burden assessment, AI-enhanced surveillance, and equity-focused implementation strategies to build more resilient, responsive, and fair health systems.

Priority actions for researchers, policymakers, and practitioners include:

1) Advancing Methodological Integration: Developing unified analytical frameworks that capture the synergistic interactions between multiple diseases, social determinants, and environmental factors to guide comprehensive intervention planning.

2) Strengthening Digital Infrastructure: Investing in interoperable health information systems that support seamless data exchange while protecting privacy and security, with particular attention to reducing digital divides.

3) Promoting Equity-Centered Design: Intentionally designing surveillance, intervention, and policy approaches that prioritize the needs of marginalized populations and actively work to reduce rather than exacerbate health disparities.

4) Fostering Cross-Sector Collaboration: Establishing innovative governance mechanisms that facilitate collaboration between health, environmental, social,

and economic sectors to address the root causes of multiple disease burdens.

5) Building Implementation Evidence: Systematically documenting and evaluating implementation experiences across diverse contexts to identify transferable lessons and support adaptive learning in multiple disease control.

The convergence of technological innovation, methodological advancement, and renewed political commitment for global health security presents an unprecedented opportunity to transform multiple infectious disease control. By harnessing these developments through equity-sensitive, context-adapted, and collaboratively implemented strategies, we can make significant progress toward reducing the global burden of infectious diseases and building healthier, more resilient communities.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to the School of Public Health at Jinzhou Medical University for its academic support.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

[1] (2020) Global Burden of 369 Diseases and Injuries in 204 Countries and Territories, 1990-2019: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet*, **396**, 1204-1222.

[2] Chen, C., Zhou, W., Cui, Y., Cao, K., Chen, M., Qu, R., *et al.* (2025) Global, Regional, and National Characteristics of the Main Causes of Increased Disease Burden Due to the Covid-19 Pandemic: Time-Series Modelling Analysis of Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. *BMJ*, **390**, e083868. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2024-083868

[3] Zhou, C., Wang, S., Wang, C., Qiang, N., Xiu, L., Hu, Q., *et al.* (2025) Integrated Surveillance and Early Warning System of Emerging Infectious Diseases in China at Community Level: Current Status, Gaps and Perspectives. *Science in One Health*, **4**, 100102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soh.2024.100102

[4] (2020) Global Burden of 87 Risk Factors in 204 Countries and Territories, 1990-2019: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet*, **396**, 1223-1249.

[5] Lytton, S.D., Ghosh, A.K., Bulbul, R.H., Nafisa, T., Mamunur, R., Meier, C., *et al.* (2024) The Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS Cov-2) Omicron Sub-Variants in Bangladesh Cause Mild COVID-19 and Associate with Similar Antibody Responses Irrespective of Natural Infection or Vaccination History. *Heliyon*, **10**, e31011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e31011

[6] Riedmann, U., Rauch, W., Schenk, H., Oberacher, H., Ioannidis, J.P. and Pilz, S. (2025) Number of Austrian Sars-Cov-2 Infections in the 2024/2025 Season: Analysis of National Wastewater Data. *Public Health*, **248**, 105970. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2025.105970

[7] Carcelen, A.C., Monjane, C., Bérubé, S., Takahashi, S., Sultane, T., Chelene, I., *et al.* (2025) Author Correction: Multiplex Bead Assays Enable Integrated Serological

Surveillance and Reveal Cross-Pathogen Vulnerabilities in Zambezia Province, Mozambique. *Nature Communications*, **16**. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-64869-y

[8] (2017) Global, Regional, and National Disability-Adjusted Life-Years (DALYs) for 333 Diseases and Injuries and Healthy Life Expectancy (HALE) for 195 Countries and Territories, 1990-2016: A Systematic Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet*, **390**, 1260-1344.

[9] Carlson, C.J., Albery, G.F., Merow, C., Trisos, C.H., Zipfel, C.M., Eskew, E.A., *et al.* (2022) Climate Change Increases Cross-Species Viral Transmission Risk. *Nature*, **607**, 555-562. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04788-w

[10] Baker, R.E., Mahmud, A.S., Miller, I.F., Rajeev, M., Rasambainarivo, F., Rice, B.L., *et al.* (2021) Infectious Disease in an Era of Global Change. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, **20**, 193-205. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-021-00639-z

[11] El Morr, C., Ozdemir, D., Asdaah, Y., Saab, A., El-Lahib, Y. and Sokhn, E.S. (2024) Ai-based Epidemic and Pandemic Early Warning Systems: A Systematic Scoping Review. *Health Informatics Journal*, **30**. https://doi.org/10.1177/14604582241275844

[12] Li, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Q., Liu, J., Ran, J., Han, L., *et al.* (2024) Global Burden of Viral Infectious Diseases of Poverty Based on Global Burden of Diseases Study 2021. *Infectious Diseases of Poverty*, **13**. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-024-01234-z

[13] Guo, S., Zhang, L., Li, Y., Zhang, S., Xu, X., Li, Y., *et al.* (2025) One Health Integrated Surveillance: A Way Forward to Accelerate Schistosomiasis Elimination in China. *Science in One Health*, **4**, Article 100114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soh.2025.100114

[14] Zhang, Z., Li, Q., He, F., Wang, Z., Zhu, C., Tu, J., *et al.* (2025) Sewage Surveillance Revealed the Seasonality and Prevalence of Respiratory Syncytial Virus and Its Implications for Seasonal Immunization Strategy in Low and Middle-Income Regions of China. *Water Research*, **270**, Article 122828. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2024.122828

[15] Wang, Y., Amarasiri, M., Oishi, W., Kuwahara, M., Kataoka, Y., Kurita, H., *et al.* (2025) Aptamer-based Biosensors for Wastewater Surveillance of Influenza Virus, Sars-Cov-2, and Norovirus: A Comprehensive Review. *Water Research*, **279**, Article 123484. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2025.123484

[16] Li, K., Rui, J., Song, W., Luo, L., Zhao, Y., Qu, H., *et al.* (2024) Temporal Shifts in 24 Notifiable Infectious Diseases in China before and during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Nature Communications*, **15**. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-48201-8

[17] Desclaux, A., Bila, B., Egrot, M. and Sow, K. (2023) Preparing for Epidemics. A Strategy for Strengthening Social Science Skills in Africa. *Medecine Tropicale et Sante Internationale*, **3**, No. 4.

[18] Zhao, N., Charland, K., Carabali, M., Nsoesie, E.O., Maheu-Giroux, M., Rees, E., *et al.* (2020) Machine Learning and Dengue Forecasting: Comparing Random Forests and Artificial Neural Networks for Predicting Dengue Burden at National and Sub-National Scales in Colombia. *PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, **14**, e0008056. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008056

[19] Julkunen, H. and Rousu, J. (2025) Comprehensive Interaction Modeling with Machine Learning Improves Prediction of Disease Risk in the UK Biobank. *Nature Communications*, **16**. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-61891-y

[20] Woolhouse, M.E.J. and Gowtage-Sequeria, S. (2005) Host Range and Emerging and Reemerging Pathogens. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, **11**, 1842-1847. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1112.050997