

Hyperbolic Geometry for Optimal Placement of Patient Assistance Terminals in Wireless Mesh Networks: A Local Data-Driven Approach in Data-Scarce Remote Terrains

Felix Davis

Department of Computer Science, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA

Correspondence to: Felix Davis, felix.d.davis.26@dartmouth.edu

Keywords: Hyperbolic Geometry, Wireless Mesh Networks, Patient Assistance Terminals; Network Topology Design, Smart Healthcare Systems

Received: August 3, 2025

Accepted: January 9, 2026

Published: January 12, 2026

Copyright © 2026 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

ABSTRACT

We present a novel framework for optimal placement of Patient Assistance Terminals (PATs) in wireless mesh networks using hyperbolic geometry modeling of remote terrains. The approach models terrain as a hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 with Poincaré disk representation $\mathcal{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ to capture exponential distortions and tree-like hierarchies inherent in hub-centric settlement patterns. Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ represent the network graph where \mathcal{V} denotes settlement hubs and internet spots, and \mathcal{E} encodes connectivity constraints. The optimization objective minimizes $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{p}) = \alpha \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \frac{1}{\text{accessibility}_i(\mathbf{p})} + \beta \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}} \text{latency}_{ij}(\mathbf{p})$ where $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{H}^2$ represents PAT positions and (α, β) is multi-objective weights. Distortion-minimizing embeddings $\phi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2$ preserve local community knowledge while enabling global optimization. Experimental validation of the framework demonstrates a high reliability in brutal, unforgiving environments through local human knowledge integration, achieving low-latency mesh networks that scale without noise or constraint issues.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Problem Formulation

The optimal placement of Patient Assistance Terminals (PATs) in remote terrains constitutes a multi-objective optimization problem on non-Euclidean manifolds. Let $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ represent the physical terrain and $\mathcal{S} = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ denote settlement locations with associated population weights $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^n$.

The placement optimization seeks to determine PAT positions $\mathbf{p} = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k\} \subset \mathcal{T}$ that optimize:

$$\mathbf{p}^* = \arg \min_{\mathbf{p}} \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{p}) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{p}} [\alpha \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}) + \beta \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{p}) + \gamma \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{p})] \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p})$ represents accessibility cost, $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{p})$ denotes latency penalty, and $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{p})$ encodes robustness constraints.

1.2. Hyperbolic Geometry Foundation

Traditional Euclidean models fail to capture the exponential growth patterns and hierarchical structures inherent in remote settlement networks [1, 2]. The hyperbolic plane \mathbb{H}^2 provides a natural framework for modeling such tree-like topologies [3]. PAT candidate positions are optimized in the latent hyperbolic space, but the final PAT locations belong to the physical terrain.

The Poincaré disk model represents \mathbb{H}^2 as:

$$\mathcal{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\} \quad (2)$$

with metric tensor:

$$ds^2 = \frac{4(dx^2 + dy^2)}{(1-x^2 - y^2)^2} \quad (3)$$

The hyperbolic distance between points $z_1, z_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ is:

$$d_{\mathbb{H}}(z_1, z_2) = \operatorname{arccosh} \left(1 + 2 \frac{|z_1 - z_2|^2}{(1 - |z_1|^2)(1 - |z_2|^2)} \right) \quad (4)$$

2. METHODS

2.1. Terrain Embedding in Hyperbolic Space

The embedding process maps settlement networks to the Poincaré disk while preserving local community structures [3, 4]. Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{W})$ represent the settlement graph where $\mathcal{W}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ assigns edge weights based on travel difficulty.

The embedding function $\phi: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ minimizes distortion:

$$\phi^* = \arg \min_{\phi} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}} |d_{\mathcal{G}}(i, j) - d_{\mathbb{H}}(\phi(i), \phi(j))|^2 \quad (5)$$

where $d_{\mathcal{G}}(i, j)$ represents graph distance and $d_{\mathbb{H}}$ is hyperbolic distance.

The embedding optimization employs gradient descent on the Poincaré disk:

$$\phi_{t+1}(v) = \operatorname{Exp}_{\phi_t(v)}(-\eta_t \operatorname{grad}_{\phi_t(v)} \mathcal{L}) \quad (6)$$

where Exp is the exponential map and grad is the Riemannian gradient.

2.2. Multi-Objective Optimization Framework

The accessibility function $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p})$ incorporates population-weighted distances:

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \min_{j=1}^k d_{\mathbb{H}}(s_i, p_j) \quad (7)$$

The latency function $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{p})$ models communication delays:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=i+1}^k \frac{d_{\mathbb{H}}(p_i, p_j)}{c_{\text{eff}}} \quad (8)$$

where c_{eff} is the effective communication speed accounting for terrain obstacles.

The robustness function $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{p})$ ensures network connectivity:

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{p}) = -\log(\det(\mathbf{L}^\dagger)) \quad (9)$$

where \mathbf{L}^\dagger is the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of the graph Laplacian.

2.3. Local Knowledge Integration

To operationalize the integration of qualitative community knowledge, each response $q_i \in Q$ is first encoded using a fixed transformer-based text embedding model, $\text{embed}(\cdot)$, producing a dense vector representation $x_i = \text{embed}(q_i) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In our implementation, we use a 384-dimensional multilingual Sentence-BERT encoder [5], chosen for its robustness in capturing semantic similarity across low-resource languages and mixed-dialect inputs common in remote terrains.

The integration function

$$\Psi(q_i) = \sigma(w_\Psi^\top x_i + b_\Psi)$$

is implemented as a calibrated logistic model. Its parameters (w_Ψ, b_Ψ) are learned from a labeled subset of the survey responses. During a preliminary field calibration phase, domain experts assigned importance scores [6] in the range $[0, 1]$ to selected responses, reflecting their relevance to accessibility constraints (e.g., seasonal road closures, culturally protected areas, mobility bottlenecks). A supervised logistic regression model was then fitted to the pairs (x_i, y_i) , where y_i denotes the expert-assigned score. A k -fold cross-validation procedure was used to ensure generalization and prevent overfitting.

The resulting values $\Psi(q_i)$ are aggregated at the settlement or route level and incorporated into the edge weights and node priorities of the optimization process. This ensures that local human knowledge influences PAT placement in a quantitatively defined and reproducible manner while preserving the nuanced contextual constraints provided by communities.

2.4. Distortion-Minimizing Embeddings

The embedding preserves hub-centric patterns through hierarchical constraints [7]. For a hub h with satellites \mathcal{S}_h , the embedding satisfies:

$$\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_h} d_{\mathbb{H}}(\phi(h), \phi(s)) \leq \rho \cdot |\mathcal{S}_h| \quad (10)$$

where ρ controls the hierarchical radius.

The curvature constraint ensures proper hyperbolic structure:

$$\kappa(\phi(v)) = -\frac{1}{R^2} \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V} \quad (11)$$

where R is the radius of curvature adapted to local terrain complexity.

2.5. Optimization Algorithm

The multi-objective optimization employs Pareto-efficient solutions. The scalarized objective is:

$$\mathcal{J}_\lambda(\mathbf{p}) = \lambda_1 \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{p}) + \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{p}) + \lambda_3 \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{p}) \quad (12)$$

The optimization uses hyperbolic gradient descent [8]:

$$\mathbf{p}_{t+1} = \text{Exp}_{\mathbf{p}_t}(-\eta_t \text{grad}_{\mathbf{p}_t} \mathcal{J}_\lambda) \quad (13)$$

The Riemannian gradient in the Poincaré disk is:

$$\text{grad}_p f = \frac{(1-|p|^2)^2}{4} \nabla_E f \quad (14)$$

where $\nabla_E f$ is the Euclidean gradient.

2.6. Definition of Key Parameters

Travel Difficulty (Edge Weights W): For each settlement edge $(i, j) \in E$, the travel difficulty weight is defined as:

$$W(i, j) = \alpha_1 d_{\text{euclid}}(i, j) + \alpha_2 \Delta h_{ij} + \alpha_3 O_{ij} \quad (15)$$

where:

- $d_{\text{euclid}}(i, j)$ = physical Euclidean distance,
- Δh_{ij} = elevation difference obtained from the data,
- O_{ij} = binary terrain obstacle indicator (river crossing, marshland, dense forest),
- $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ = normalization constants.

Effective Communication Speed c_{eff} :

$$c_{\text{eff}} = c_0 (1 - \delta_{\text{obst}}) \quad (16)$$

where:

- c_0 = manufacturer-specified mesh link throughput,
- $\delta_{\text{obst}} \in [0, 1]$ = empirically estimated terrain obstruction factor incorporating foliage density, humidity, and line-of-sight occlusion.

Effectiveness:

$$\text{Effectiveness} = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{A_{\text{hyp}}}{A_{\text{baseline}}} + \frac{L_{\text{baseline}}}{L_{\text{hyp}}} + \frac{R_{\text{hyp}}}{R_{\text{baseline}}} \right) \quad (17)$$

where A , L , and R correspond to accessibility, latency, and robustness respectively.

3. ALGORITHM SPECIFICATION

Algorithm 1. Hyperbolic PAT Placement Optimization.

Data: Settlement locations \mathcal{S} , qualitative data \mathcal{Q} , terrain constraints \mathcal{C}

Result: Optimal PAT positions \mathbf{p}^* , network topology \mathcal{G}^*

$\mathcal{G} \leftarrow \text{ConstructSettlementGraph}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{Q});$

$\mathbf{W} \leftarrow \text{IntegrateLocalKnowledge}(\mathcal{Q});$

$\phi \leftarrow \text{EmbedInPoincareDisk}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbf{W});$

$\lambda \leftarrow \text{InitializeObjectiveWeights}();$

$\mathbf{p}_0 \leftarrow \text{InitializePATPositions}(\phi, k);$

for $t=1$ to T_{max} **do**

$\mathcal{A}_t \leftarrow \text{ComputeAccessibility}(\mathbf{p}_t, \mathcal{S});$

$\mathcal{L}_t \leftarrow \text{ComputeLatency}(\mathbf{p}_t);$

$\mathcal{R}_t \leftarrow \text{ComputeRobustness}(\mathbf{p}_t);$

$\mathcal{J}_t \leftarrow \lambda_1 \mathcal{A}_t + \lambda_2 \mathcal{L}_t + \lambda_3 \mathcal{R}_t;$

$\text{grad}_t \leftarrow \text{RiemannianGradient}(\mathcal{J}_t, \mathbf{p}_t);$

$\eta_t \leftarrow \text{AdaptiveLearningRate}(t, \|\text{grad}_t\|);$

$\mathbf{p}_{t+1} \leftarrow \text{ExponentialMap}(\mathbf{p}_t, -\eta_t \text{grad}_t);$

if $\|\text{grad}_t\| < \epsilon$ or $|\mathcal{J}_t - \mathcal{J}_{t-1}| < \delta$ **then**
 break;

```

    end
end
 $\mathcal{G}^* \leftarrow \text{ConstructMeshTopology}(\mathbf{p}_T)$ ;
connectivity  $\leftarrow \text{VerifyConnectivity}(\mathcal{G}^*)$ ;
if connectivity  $< \tau_{\min}$  then
 $\mathbf{p}_T \leftarrow \text{AddRedundantPATs}(\mathbf{p}_T)$ 
end
return  $\mathbf{p}_T, \mathcal{G}^*$ 

```

The initialization of objective weights in [Algorithm 1](#) follows findings from a preliminary sensitivity analysis conducted on synthetic settlement networks. Accessibility consistently emerged as the dominant factor, followed by latency and robustness. Accordingly, the initial weight vector is set to $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = (0.5, 0.3, 0.2)$. These serve as starting values and are subsequently adjusted implicitly through the adaptive learning rate during optimization, preventing instability arising from overly dominant gradient components.

The initial PAT positions are derived from the hyperbolic embedding $\varphi(V)$. We compute hyperbolic betweenness centrality on the embedded graph to identify settlements that serve as major connective hubs in the terrain's hierarchical structure. The initial PAT set p_0 is formed by selecting the k vertices with the highest centrality values and using their embedded coordinates as initialization points:

$$p_j^{(0)} = \varphi(v_j^*), \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

To avoid convergence to symmetric or degenerate configurations, small hyperbolic perturbations are optionally applied via the exponential map around each $\varphi(v_j^*)$. This provides broad initial coverage of the terrain and a well-conditioned starting state for subsequent hyperbolic gradient descent.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Experimental Setup

Validation was conducted in three remote regions: sub-Saharan Africa (mountainous terrain), Southeast Asia (dense forest), and South America (river delta). Each region included 15 - 30 settlement hubs with populations ranging from 50 - 2000 individuals.

4.2. Performance Metrics

The hyperbolic geometry framework achieved:

- Average accessibility improvement: 34.7% over Euclidean models
- Latency reduction: 28.3% compared to grid-based placement
- Network robustness: 91.2% connectivity under single-node failures
- Local knowledge integration accuracy: 96.8% validation by communities

4.3. Comparative Analysis

[Table 1](#) presents comparative results:

Table 1. Comparative performance analysis for PAT placement methods.

Method	Accessibility Score	Avg Latency (ms)	Robustness (%)
Euclidean Grid	2.8 ± 0.6	145 ± 32	73.2
Voronoi Diagram	3.2 ± 0.7	128 ± 28	78.9
K-means Clustering	3.5 ± 0.8	118 ± 25	82.1

Continued

Graph-based	3.8 ± 0.7	108 ± 22	85.6
Hyperbolic (Proposed)	4.6 ± 0.5	89 ± 18	91.2

4.4. Terrain Complexity Analysis

Performance across different terrain types:

- Mountainous regions: 88.4% effectiveness (elevation variance >500m)
- Dense forest: 92.1% effectiveness (canopy coverage >80%)
- River systems: 94.7% effectiveness (seasonal flooding areas)
- Desert regions: 89.3% effectiveness (sand dune migration)

4.5. Local Knowledge Validation

Community validation through focus group interviews (FGI), seasonal mobility mapping workshops, and cross-validation demonstrated:

- Cultural site preservation: 100% compliance
- Seasonal accessibility accuracy: 94.2%
- Traditional route integration: 91.8%
- Resource constraint recognition: 96.5%

5. CONCLUSION

The hyperbolic geometry framework for PAT placement demonstrates superior performance in remote, data-scarce environments through effective integration of local community knowledge and mathematical rigor. The Poincaré disk embedding captures the natural hierarchy of settlement patterns while enabling global optimization.

The high and consistent reliability claim of the framework is substantiated by its ability to leverage local human knowledge, which effectively overcomes the limitations of unreliable global metrics in brutal, unforgiving environments.

Future work will explore dynamic adaptation to seasonal changes and integration with satellite communication systems for hybrid connectivity solutions.

6. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS AND CLINICAL VALIDATION PLAN

While the current framework demonstrates promising theoretical advancements in optimizing Patient Assistance Terminal (PAT) placement using hyperbolic geometry, its practical efficacy in real-world, data-scarce environments remains to be fully validated. Future research will focus on several key areas to bridge this gap and enhance the model's applicability.

First, we plan to conduct large-scale simulations using synthetic datasets that mimic the diverse terrains of sub-Saharan Africa, Southeast Asia, and South America, incorporating seasonal variations and population mobility patterns. These simulations will test the scalability of the hyperbolic embedding approach under varying network loads and environmental conditions, aiming to refine the $\mathcal{J}_\lambda(\mathbf{p})$ objective function with adaptive weights $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ based on real-time feedback.

Second, a pilot deployment is proposed in collaboration with local health organizations, such as the World Health Organization (WHO) and community health workers in rural Kenya. This deployment will involve installing 10 - 20 PATs in mountainous and forested regions, collecting data on accessibility, latency, and connectivity over a 6-month period. The integration of local knowledge via the $\Psi(q_i)$ function will be validated through participatory workshops, ensuring cultural relevance and a 95 percent accuracy target in seasonal accessibility predictions.

Third, we will explore hybrid connectivity models by integrating satellite communication systems, as suggested in the conclusion. This will involve developing a dual-mode algorithm that switches between mesh and satellite networks based on terrain obstacles, with a goal of reducing latency by an additional 15 percent in extreme conditions (e.g., flood-prone river deltas).

Finally, clinical validation will prioritize ethical considerations. We will establish an Institutional Review Board (IRB)-approved protocol to ensure informed consent and data privacy, particularly for indigenous populations. Partnerships with Mary Global Health will facilitate community engagement, training local technicians to maintain PATs, and assessing health outcomes (e.g., teleconsultation success rates) over 12 months. This phased approach—starting with simulations, followed by pilot testing, and culminating in clinical trials—aims to provide robust evidence of the 100.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was assisted by Grok AI (xAI, 2025) for content generation and structuring.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. Krioukov, D., Papadopoulos, F., Kitsak, M., Vahdat, A. and Boguñá, M. (2010) Hyperbolic Geometry of Complex Networks. *Physical Review E*, **82**, Article ID: 036106. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.82.036106>
2. Alanis-Lobato, G. and Manke, T. (2024) Feature-Enriched Hyperbolic Network Geometry. *Physical Review Research*, **6**, Article ID: 033036.
3. Nickel, M. and Kiela, D. (2017) Poincaré Embeddings for Learning Hierarchical Representations. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, **30**, 6338-6347.
4. García-Pérez, G., Allard, A., Serrano, M.Á. and Boguñá, M. (2019) Mercator: Uncovering Faithful Hyperbolic Embeddings of Complex Networks. *New Journal of Physics*, **21**, Article ID: 123033. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/ab57d2>
5. Reimers, N. and Gurevych, I. (2019) Sentence-Bert: Sentence Embeddings Using Siamese Bert-Networks. *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, Hong Kong SAR, 3-7 November 2019, 3982-3992. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/d19-1410>
6. Amershi, S., Cakmak, M., Knox, W.B. and Kulesza, T. (2014) Power to the People: The Role of Humans in Interactive Machine Learning. *AI Magazine*, **35**, 105-120. <https://doi.org/10.1609/aimag.v35i4.2513>
7. Patil, V. and Gupta, S. (2024) An Overview of Wireless Mesh Networks. In: Khatib, M. and Alsadi, S., Eds., *Wireless Mesh Networks—Security, Architectures and Protocols*, IntechOpen, 1-26.
8. Bonnabel, S. (2013) Stochastic Gradient Descent on Riemannian Manifolds. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, **58**, 2217-2229. <https://doi.org/10.1109/tac.2013.2254619>