

Traveling Wave Solutions of a SIR Epidemic Model with Spatio-Temporal Delay

Zhihe Hou

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong Normal University, Jinan, China

Email: houzh2022@163.com

How to cite this paper: Hou, Z.H. (2024) Traveling Wave Solutions of a SIR Epidemic Model with Spatio-Temporal Delay. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, 12, 3422-3438.
<https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2024.1210203>

Received: September 11, 2024

Accepted: October 19, 2024

Published: October 22, 2024

Copyright © 2024 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

Abstract

In this paper, we studied the traveling wave solutions of a SIR epidemic model with spatial-temporal delay. We proved that this result is determined by the basic reproduction number R_0 and the minimum wave speed c^* of the corresponding ordinary differential equations. The methods used in this paper are primarily the Schauder fixed point theorem and comparison principle. We have proved that when $R_0 > 1$ and $c > c^*$, the model has a non-negative and non-trivial traveling wave solution. However, for $R_0 < 1$ and $c \geq 0$ or $R_0 > 1$ and $0 < c < c^*$, the model does not have a traveling wave solution.

Keywords

Susceptible-Infected-Recovered Epidemic Model, Traveling Wave Solutions, Spatio-Temporal Delay, Schauder Fixed Point Theorem

1. Introduction

In the field of infectious diseases, the study of traveling wave solutions holds significant practical importance. The existence of traveling wave solutions implies that the infectious disease is spreading through space at a constant speed. By analyzing traveling waves in reaction-diffusion models, we can anticipate the conditions that lead to rapid disease outbreaks, enabling us to take timely preventive measures [1]-[3].

In this paper, we consider the traveling waves of the following SIR model with constant external supplies and spatio-temporal delay.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial S(x,t)}{\partial t} = d_1 \Delta S(x,t) + B - \mu_1 S(x,t) - \frac{\beta S(x,t) K * I(x,t)}{S(x,t) + K * I(x,t)}, \\ \frac{\partial I(x,t)}{\partial t} = d_2 \Delta I(x,t) + \frac{\beta S(x,t) K * I(x,t)}{S(x,t) + K * I(x,t)} - (\mu_2 + \gamma) I(x,t), \\ \frac{\partial R(x,t)}{\partial t} = d_3 \Delta R(x,t) + \gamma I(x,t) - \mu_3 R(x,t), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where S , I and R denote the sizes of susceptible, infected and removed individuals respectively, d_i ($i=1,2,3$) refers to the spatial diffusion coefficient for each class, B is regarded as the rate of the inflow of newborns into the susceptible population, μ_j ($j=1,2,3$) represents the death rates of each class, β and γ denote the rates of disease transmission and the recovery rate of the infective individuals, and

$$\begin{aligned} (K * I)(x,t) &= \int_{-\infty}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x-y, t-s) I(y,s) dy ds \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(y,s) I(x-y, t-s) dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the kernel function $K(y,s)$ describes the interaction between the infective and susceptible individuals at location x and time t which occurred at location y and at earlier time $t-s$, see [4]-[6]. Next, we list some assumptions on $K(y,s)$.

(K1) K is non-negative and integrable, and satisfies

$$K(y,s) = K(-y,s), \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(y,s) dy ds = 1, (y,s) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, \infty).$$

(K2) For each $c > 0$, there exists $\lambda_c \leq \infty$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x,t) e^{-\lambda(x+ct)} dx dt < +\infty \text{ for any } \lambda \in (0, \lambda_c), \text{ and} \\ \int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x,t) e^{-\lambda(x+ct)} dx dt \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \lambda_c^-. \end{aligned}$$

(K3) For each $c > 0$, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $\int_0^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} J(y,x)^{\rho} dy ds < +\infty$.

In [7], Zhou *et al.* considered the diffusive SIR model with the standard incidence rate

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial S(x,t)}{\partial t} = d_1 \frac{\partial^2 S(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + B - \mu S(x,t) - \frac{\beta S(x,t) I(x,t-\tau)}{S(x,t) + I(x,t-\tau)}, \\ \frac{\partial I(x,t)}{\partial t} = d_2 \frac{\partial^2 I(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\beta S(x,t) I(x,t-\tau)}{S(x,t) + I(x,t-\tau)} - (\mu + \gamma) I(x,t), \\ \frac{\partial R(x,t)}{\partial t} = d_3 \frac{\partial^2 R(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \gamma I(x,t) - \mu R(x,t). \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

They find that the existence of traveling wave solutions of Equation (1.2) is determined by the basic reproduction number of the corresponding spatial-homogeneous delay differential system and the minimal wave speed. They also investigated the existence and non-existence of traveling waves satisfying the asymptotic boundary conditions.

Time delays between infection and symptom onset, symptom onset and infectivity, and infection and death are significant [8]-[12]. However, it is unscientific

that Equation (1.2) was dealt with by simply adding a diffusion term to the delayed differential equation models. In fact, time delay and diffusion are not independent as individuals have not been at the same location in space at previous times. Thus, the consideration of non-local interactions between infected and susceptible individuals has been integrated into epidemic models. In [4], Li *et al.* are concerned with the traveling wave solutions of a diffusive SIR system with spatio-temporal delay. Zhen *et al.* considered the following non-local dispersal SIR model with spatio-temporal delay in [11].

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial S(x,t)}{\partial t} = d_1 [J * S(x,t) - S(x,t)] - \frac{\beta S(x,t) K * I(x,t)}{S(x,t) + K * I(x,t) + R(x,t)}, \\ \frac{\partial I(x,t)}{\partial t} = d_2 [J * I(x,t) - I(x,t)] + \frac{\beta S(x,t) K * I(x,t)}{S(x,t) + K * I(x,t) + R(x,t)}, \\ \frac{\partial R(x,t)}{\partial t} = d_3 [J * R(x,t) - R(x,t)] + \gamma I(x,t) - \mu R(x,t). \end{cases}$$

The authors obtained the existence and non-existence of the non-trivial and non-negative traveling wave solutions for the model, and the threshold dynamics of the model are determined by the basic reproduction number R_0 of the corresponding reaction system and minimal wave speed c^* .

Some research models largely ignore the influence of population structure, and these models exhibit rapid outbreak patterns, which can only be used to simulate rapidly developing diseases [13] [14]. However, many disease outbreaks are long-lasting, and the population size will change in reality [15]-[19]. Therefore, we consider models with population dynamics. Drawing inspiration from the preceding research, this paper aims to establish the existence and non-existence of traveling wave solutions for Equation (1.1). By constructing upper and lower solutions and Schauder's fixed point theorem, we obtain the existence of non-trivial solutions of (1.1). The non-existence of traveling waves for $R_0 > 1$ and any $0 < c < c^*$ or $R_0 < 1$ is proven through the comparison principle and the theory of asymptotic spreading.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we construct a pair of upper and lower solutions for system (1.1) and are concerned with the existence of the traveling wave solutions. In Section 3, we are devoted to the study of the non-existence of traveling wave solutions for system (1.1).

2. Existence of the Traveling Wave Solutions

We focus our analysis on the first two equations of (1.1), as the third equation is relatively independent.

Implement a scaling transformation

$$\tilde{S}(x,t) = \frac{\mu_1}{B} S(x,t), \quad \tilde{I}(x,t) = \frac{\mu_1}{B} I(x,t),$$

and dropping the tilde for convenience, the first two equations of (1.1) can be

reduced to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial S(x,t)}{\partial t} = d_1 \Delta S(x,t) + \mu_1 - \mu_1 S(x,t) - \frac{\beta S(x,t) K * I(x,t)}{S(x,t) + K * I(x,t)}, \\ \frac{\partial I(x,t)}{\partial t} = d_2 \Delta I(x,t) + \frac{\beta S(x,t) K * I(x,t)}{S(x,t) + K * I(x,t)} - (\mu_2 + \gamma) I(x,t). \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

We look for the non-trivial traveling wave solutions $(S(x+ct), I(x+ct))$ of Equation (2.1) satisfying the following boundary conditions at infinity

$$S(-\infty) = 1, \quad I(-\infty) = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Let $\xi = x + ct$. Then the system describing travelling wave solutions is given as below

$$\begin{cases} cS'(\xi) = d_1 S''(\xi) + \mu_1 - \mu_1 S(\xi) - \frac{\beta S(\xi)(K * I)(\xi)}{S(\xi) + (K * I)(\xi)}, \\ cI'(\xi) = d_2 I''(\xi) + \frac{\beta S(\xi)(K * I)(\xi)}{S(\xi) + (K * I)(\xi)} - (\mu_2 + \gamma) I(\xi), \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

with

$$(K * I)(\xi) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(y, s) I(\xi - y - cs) dy ds.$$

Linearizing the second equation of (2.3) at the initial disease-free point $(1, 0)$, we get

$$cI'(\xi) = d_2 I''(\xi) + \beta \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(y, s) I(\xi - y - cs) dy ds - (\mu_2 + \gamma) I(\xi).$$

Let $I(\xi) = e^{\lambda \xi}$, then we establish a characteristic equation

$$\Delta(\lambda, c) = d_2 \lambda^2 - c\lambda + \beta \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(y, s) e^{-\lambda(y+cs)} dy ds - (\mu_2 + \gamma). \quad (2.4)$$

It is easy to show the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Assume $R_0 := \frac{\beta}{\mu_2 + \gamma} > 1$. Then there exists a positive pair

$$(\lambda^*, c^*) \text{ such that } \Delta(\lambda^*, c^*) = 0 \text{ and } \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \Delta(\lambda, c) \right|_{(\lambda^*, c^*)} = 0.$$

(1) If $0 < c < c^*$, then $\Delta(\lambda, c) > 0$ for all $[0, +\infty)$.

(2) If $c > c^*$, then the equation $\Delta(\lambda, c) = 0$ has two positive roots $\lambda_1(c)$ and $\lambda_2(c)$ with $0 < \lambda_1(c) < \lambda^* < \lambda_2(c)$ such that

$$\Delta(\lambda, c) \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{for all } \lambda \in [0, \lambda_1(c)) \cup (\lambda_2(c), \infty), \\ < 0 & \text{for all } \lambda \in (\lambda_1(c), \lambda_2(c)). \end{cases}$$

In the following, we always assume that $R_0 > 1$. In addition, we fix $c > c^*$ and denote $\lambda_i(c)$ by $\lambda_i, i = 1, 2$.

2.1. Construction of the Upper and Lower Solutions

Definition 2.1. The continuous functions (\bar{S}, \bar{I}) and $(\underline{S}, \underline{I})$ are called a pair of upper and lower solutions of (2.2), if $\bar{S}, \underline{S}, \bar{I}, \underline{I}$ exist and satisfy the

following inequalities

$$d_1 \bar{S}''(\xi) - c \bar{S}'(\xi) + \mu_1 (1 - \bar{S}(\xi)) - \frac{\beta \bar{S}(\xi)(K * \underline{I})(\xi)}{\bar{S}(\xi) + (K * \underline{I})(\xi)} \leq 0, \tag{2.5}$$

$$d_1 \underline{S}''(\xi) - c \underline{S}'(\xi) + \mu_1 (1 - \underline{S}(\xi)) - \frac{\beta \underline{S}(\xi)(K * \bar{I})(\xi)}{\underline{S}(\xi) + (K * \bar{I})(\xi)} \geq 0, \tag{2.6}$$

$$d_2 \bar{I}''(\xi) - c \bar{I}'(\xi) - (\mu_2 + \gamma) \bar{I}(\xi) + \frac{\beta \bar{S}(\xi)(K * \bar{I})(\xi)}{\bar{S}(\xi) + (K * \bar{I})(\xi)} \leq 0, \tag{2.7}$$

$$d_2 \underline{I}''(\xi) - c \underline{I}'(\xi) - (\mu_2 + \gamma) \underline{I}(\xi) + \frac{\beta \underline{S}(\xi)(K * \underline{I})(\xi)}{\underline{S}(\xi) + (K * \underline{I})(\xi)} \geq 0, \tag{2.8}$$

hold except for finitely many points of $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

For a fixed $c > c^*$, we can find suitable $\lambda_1 < \lambda^* < \lambda_2$ such that $\Delta(\lambda_1, c) = \Delta(\lambda_2, c) = 0$. Moreover, it is possible to choose $\eta \in (0, \lambda^*)$ in such a way that it satisfies $\Delta(\eta, c) < 0$ and $\lambda_1 < \eta < \min\{\lambda_2, \lambda^*, \lambda_2 - \lambda_1\}$. Now, we define four functions as follows

$$\begin{cases} \bar{S}(\xi) = 1, \\ \bar{I}(\xi) = \min\{e^{\lambda_1 \xi}, M\}, \\ \underline{S}(\xi) = \max\{1 - \sigma_0 e^{\alpha \xi}, 0\}, \\ \underline{I}(\xi) = \max\{e^{\lambda_1 \xi} (1 - \sigma_1 e^{\eta \xi}), 0\}, \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

where $M = \frac{\beta - \mu_2 - \gamma}{\mu_2 + \gamma}$.

Lemma 2.2. *The constants α , σ_0 , σ_1 are chosen in the sequence such that the following assumptions (1)-(3) are held.*

(1) $\alpha > 0$ is small enough such that $0 < \alpha < \lambda_1$ and $-d_1 \alpha^2 + c \alpha + \mu_1 > 0$,

(2) $\sigma_0 > \max\left\{1, \frac{\beta \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(y, s) e^{-\lambda_1(y+cs)} dy ds}{-d_1 \alpha^2 + c \alpha + \mu_1}\right\}$,

(3) $\sigma_1 > \max\left\{1, \frac{\beta e^{(\lambda_1 - \eta)\xi} \left[\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(y, s) e^{-\lambda_1(y+cs)} dy ds\right]^2}{-\Delta(\lambda_1 + \eta, c)}\right\}$.

Denote $\xi_j := \frac{-\ln \sigma_1}{\eta}$, $\xi_j := \frac{\ln M}{\lambda_1}$ and $\xi_0 := \frac{-\ln \sigma_0}{\alpha}$.

Lemma 2.3. *The functions $(\bar{S}(\xi), \bar{I}(\xi), \underline{S}(\xi), \underline{I}(\xi))$ defined by (2.9) is a pair of upper and lower solutions of system (2.3).*

Proof. Firstly, the function $\bar{S}(\xi)$ is of class $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and inequality (2.5) holds on \mathbb{R} , since

$$d_1 \bar{S}''(\xi) - c \bar{S}'(\xi) + \mu_1 (1 - \bar{S}(\xi)) - \frac{\beta \bar{S}(\xi)(K * \underline{I})(\xi)}{\bar{S}(\xi) + (K * \underline{I})(\xi)} = -\frac{\beta \bar{S}(\xi)(K * \underline{I})(\xi)}{\bar{S}(\xi) + (K * \underline{I})(\xi)} \leq 0.$$

Then, we show that $\bar{I}(\xi) = \min\{e^{\lambda_1 \xi}, M\}$ satisfies Equation (2.7). By the

definition of $\bar{I}(\xi)$, we have

$$(K * I)(\xi) \leq \min \left\{ e^{\lambda_1 \xi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(y, s) e^{-\lambda(y+cs)} dy ds, M \right\}.$$

When $\xi > \xi_j$, we have $\bar{I}(\xi) = M$ and

$$d_2 \bar{I}''(\xi) - c \bar{I}'(\xi) - (\mu_2 + \gamma) \bar{I}(\xi) + \frac{\beta \bar{S}(\xi)(K * \bar{I})(\xi)}{\bar{S}(\xi) + (K * \bar{I})(\xi)} = 0.$$

When $\xi < \xi_j$, $\bar{I}(\xi) = e^{\lambda_1 \xi}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & d_2 \bar{I}''(\xi) - c \bar{I}'(\xi) - (\mu_2 + \gamma) \bar{I}(\xi) + \frac{\beta \bar{S}(\xi)(K * \bar{I})(\xi)}{\bar{S}(\xi) + (K * \bar{I})(\xi)} \\ & \leq d_2 \bar{I}''(\xi) - c \bar{I}'(\xi) - (\mu_2 + \gamma) \bar{I}(\xi) + \beta (K * \bar{I})(\xi) \\ & = \Delta(\lambda_1, c) e^{\lambda_1 \xi} \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function $\underline{S}(\xi)$ is continuous in \mathbb{R} and of class $C^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{\xi_0\})$, next, we show that Equation (2.6) holds for $\xi \neq \xi_0$.

When $\xi > \xi_0$, we have $\underline{S}(\xi) = 0$, and it is easy to show that

$$d_1 \underline{S}''(\xi) - c \underline{S}'(\xi) + \mu_1 (1 - \underline{S}(\xi)) - \frac{\beta \underline{S}(\xi)(K * \bar{I})(\xi)}{\underline{S}(\xi) + (K * \bar{I})(\xi)} = \mu_1 > 0.$$

When $\xi < \xi_0$, we have $\underline{S}(\xi) = 1 - \sigma_0 e^{\alpha \xi}$, $\bar{I}(\xi) = e^{\lambda_1 \xi}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & d_1 \underline{S}''(\xi) - c \underline{S}'(\xi) + \mu_1 (1 - \underline{S}(\xi)) - \frac{\beta \underline{S}(\xi)(K * \bar{I})(\xi)}{\underline{S}(\xi) + (K * \bar{I})(\xi)} \\ & \geq d_1 \underline{S}''(\xi) - c \underline{S}'(\xi) + \mu_1 (1 - \underline{S}(\xi)) - \beta (K * \bar{I})(\xi) \\ & = e^{\alpha \xi} \left[\sigma_0 (-d_1 \alpha^2 + c \alpha + \mu_1) - \beta e^{(\lambda_1 - \alpha) \xi} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(y, s) e^{-\lambda_1(y+cs)} dy ds \right] \\ & \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we show that Equation (2.8) holds. When $\xi > \xi_j$, we have $\underline{I}(\xi) = 0$, which implies that Equation (2.8) holds. When $\xi < \xi_j$, $\underline{I}(\xi) = e^{\lambda_1 \xi} (1 - \sigma_1 e^{\eta \xi})$ and $\underline{S}(\xi) = 1 - \sigma_0 e^{\alpha \xi}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & d_2 \underline{I}''(\xi) - c \underline{I}'(\xi) - (\mu_2 + \gamma) \underline{I}(\xi) + \frac{\beta \underline{S}(\xi)(K * \underline{I})(\xi)}{\underline{S}(\xi) + (K * \underline{I})(\xi)} \\ & = d_2 \underline{I}''(\xi) - c \underline{I}'(\xi) - (\mu_2 + \gamma) \underline{I}(\xi) + \beta (K * \underline{I})(\xi) \\ & \quad + \frac{\beta \underline{S}(\xi)(K * \underline{I})(\xi)}{\underline{S}(\xi) + (K * \underline{I})(\xi)} - \beta (K * \underline{I})(\xi) \\ & = -\sigma_1 e^{(\lambda_1 + \eta) \xi} \Delta(\lambda_1 + \eta, c) - \beta \frac{[(K * \underline{I})(\xi)]^2}{\underline{S}(\xi) + (K * \underline{I})(\xi)} \\ & \geq -\sigma_1 e^{(\lambda_1 + \eta) \xi} - \beta e^{2\lambda_1 \xi} \left[\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(y, s) e^{-\lambda_1(y+cs)} dy ds \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

The choice of σ_1 leads to the validity of Equation (2.8), we complete the proof.

2.2. The Verification of the Schauder Fixed Point Theorem

Choosing two constants α_1, α_2 such that

$$G(S, I)(x) = \mu_1 - \mu_1 S(x) - \frac{\beta S(x)(K * I)(x)}{S(x) + (K * I)(x)} + \alpha_1 S(x)$$

is nondecreasing in $S(x) \in [0, 1]$ and nonincreasing in $I(x) \in [0, M]$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$Q(S, I)(x) = -(\mu_2 + \gamma)I(x) + \frac{\beta S(x)(K * I)(x)}{S(x) + (K * I)(x)} + \alpha_2 I(x)$$

is nondecreasing in S, I for $(S, I) \in [0, 1] \times [0, M]$.

Obviously, Equation (2.3) is equal to

$$d_1 S''(x) - cS'(x) - \alpha_1 S(x) + G(S, I)(x) = 0,$$

$$d_2 I''(x) - cI'(x) - \alpha_2 I(x) + Q(S, I)(x) = 0.$$

Define

$$\Gamma = \left\{ (S, I) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2) \mid \underline{S}(x) \leq S(x) \leq \bar{S}(x), \underline{I}(x) \leq I(x) \leq \bar{I}(x) \right\},$$

and

$$\Lambda_{11} = \frac{c - \sqrt{c^2 + 4d_1\alpha_1}}{2d_1}, \quad \Lambda_{12} = \frac{c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4d_1\alpha_1}}{2d_1}, \quad \rho_1 = d_1(\Lambda_{12} - \Lambda_{11}),$$

$$\Lambda_{21} = \frac{c - \sqrt{c^2 + 4d_2\alpha_2}}{2d_2}, \quad \Lambda_{22} = \frac{c + \sqrt{c^2 + 4d_2\alpha_2}}{2d_2}, \quad \rho_2 = d_2(\Lambda_{22} - \Lambda_{21}).$$

Furthermore, define an operator $F : \Gamma \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$ by

$$F(S, I)(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} F_1(S, I)(\xi) \\ F_2(S, I)(\xi) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(S, I)(\xi) &= \frac{1}{\rho_1} \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} G(S, I)(x) dx + \frac{1}{\rho_1} \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} G(S, I)(x) dx, \\ F_2(S, I)(\xi) &= \frac{1}{\rho_2} \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{21}(\xi-x)} Q(S, I)(x) dx + \frac{1}{\rho_2} \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{22}(\xi-x)} Q(S, I)(x) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Lemma 2.4. *The set Γ is nonempty, closed and convex in $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$.*

It is easy to prove, so we omit the details here.

Lemma 2.5. *The operator F maps Γ into Γ .*

Proof. For $(S, I) \in \Gamma$, we only need to prove that

$$\underline{S}(\xi) \leq F_1(S, I)(\xi) \leq \bar{S}(\xi), \quad \underline{I}(\xi) \leq F_2(S, I)(\xi) \leq \bar{I}(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thanks to the choice of the constants α_1 and α_2 , it suffices to prove that for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{S}(\xi) &\leq F_1(\underline{S}, \bar{I})(\xi) \leq F_1(S, I)(\xi) \leq F_1(\bar{S}, \underline{I})(\xi) \leq \bar{S}(\xi), \\ \underline{I}(\xi) &\leq F_2(\underline{S}, \underline{I})(\xi) \leq F_2(S, I)(\xi) \leq F_2(\bar{S}, \bar{I})(\xi) \leq \bar{I}(\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Firstly, we consider $F_1(S, I)(\xi)$. For any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(S, I)(\xi) &\leq F_1(\bar{S}, \underline{I})(\xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} \right) G(\bar{S}, \underline{I})(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha_1}{\rho_1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} \right) dx \\ &= \bar{S}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we use the fact that $\frac{\beta \bar{S}(\xi)(K * \underline{I})(\xi)}{\bar{S}(\xi) + (K * \underline{I})(\xi)} \geq d_1 \bar{S}''(\xi) - c \bar{S}'(\xi) + \mu_1(1 - \bar{S}(\xi))$.

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(S, I)(\xi) &\geq F_1(\underline{S}, \bar{I})(\xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} \right) G(\underline{S}, \bar{I})(x) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} \right) [-d_1 S''(x) + cS'(x) + \alpha_1 S(x)] dx. \end{aligned}$$

When $\xi \geq \xi_0$, $\underline{S}(\xi) = 0$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &F_1(S, I)(\xi) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} \right) [-d_1 \underline{S}''(x) + c\underline{S}'(x) + \alpha_1 \underline{S}(x)] dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi_0} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi_0}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} \right) [-d_1 \underline{S}''(x) + c\underline{S}'(x) + \alpha_1 \underline{S}(x)] dx \\ &= \underline{S}(\xi) + \frac{d_1 e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-\xi_0)}}{\rho_1} [\underline{S}'(\xi_0 + 0) - \underline{S}'(\xi_0 - 0)] \\ &\quad + \frac{d_1 \Lambda_{11} - c}{\rho_1} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-\xi_0)} [\underline{S}(\xi_0 + 0) - \underline{S}(\xi_0 - 0)] \\ &\geq \underline{S}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

For $\xi < \xi_0$, $\underline{S}(\xi) = 1 - \sigma_0 e^{\alpha \xi}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &F_1(S, I)(\xi) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} \right) [-d_1 \underline{S}''(x) + c\underline{S}'(x) + \alpha_1 \underline{S}(x)] dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{\xi_0} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi_0}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} \right) [-d_1 \underline{S}''(x) + c\underline{S}'(x) + \alpha_1 \underline{S}(x)] dx \\ &= \underline{S}(\xi) + \frac{d_1 e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-\xi_0)}}{\rho_1} [\underline{S}'(\xi_0 + 0) - \underline{S}'(\xi_0 - 0)] \\ &\quad + \frac{d_1 \Lambda_{12} - c}{\rho_1} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-\xi_0)} [\underline{S}(\xi_0 + 0) - \underline{S}(\xi_0 - 0)] \\ &\geq \underline{S}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider $F_2(S, I)(\xi)$. For any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, we can show

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(S, I)(\xi) &\geq F_2(\underline{S}, \underline{I})(\xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho_2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{21}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{22}(\xi-x)} \right) Q(\underline{S}, \underline{I})(x) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\rho_2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{21}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{22}(\xi-x)} \right) [-d_2 \underline{I}''(x) + c \underline{I}'(x) + \alpha_2 \underline{I}(x)] dx \\ &\geq \underline{I}(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(S, I)(\xi) &\leq F_2(\bar{S}, \bar{I})(\xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho_2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{21}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{22}(\xi-x)} \right) Q(\bar{S}, \bar{I})(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\rho_2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{21}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{22}(\xi-x)} \right) [-d_2 \bar{I}''(x) + c \bar{I}'(x) + \alpha_2 \bar{I}(x)] dx \\ &= \bar{I}(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Further applying the continuity, Equation (2.11) is held. We complete the proof.

Define

$$B_\tau(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2) := \left\{ \Phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2) \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2) \mid \sup_{\xi \in \square} |\phi_1(\xi)| e^{-\tau|\xi|} < +\infty, \sup_{\xi \in \square} |\phi_2(\xi)| e^{-\tau|\xi|} < +\infty \right\},$$

with norm

$$|\Phi|_\tau = \max \left\{ \sup_{\xi \in \square} |\phi_1(\xi)| e^{-\tau|\xi|}, \sup_{\xi \in \square} |\phi_2(\xi)| e^{-\tau|\xi|} \right\},$$

where $\tau > 0$ is a constant such that $\tau < \min \{-\Lambda_{11}, -\Lambda_{21}\}$.

Lemma 2.6. *The operator $F : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is continuous with respect to the norm $|\cdot|_\tau$ in $B_\tau(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$.*

Proof. For any $\Phi_1 = (S_1(\cdot), I_1(\cdot)) \in \Gamma$ and $\Phi_2 = (S_2(\cdot), I_2(\cdot)) \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|F_1[(S_1(\cdot), I_1(\cdot))](\xi) - F_1[(S_2(\cdot), I_2(\cdot))](\xi)| e^{-\tau|\xi|} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\tau|\xi|}}{\rho_1} \left| \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} \right) [G(S_1, I_1)(x) - G(S_2, I_2)(x)] dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{e^{-\tau|\xi|}}{\rho_1} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} \right| \\ &\quad \left[\alpha_1 |S_1(x) - S_2(x)| + \mu_1 |S_1(x) - S_2(x)| + \beta |(K * I_1)(x) - (K * I_2)(x)| \right] dx \\ &= \frac{e^{-\tau|\xi|}}{\rho_1} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} \right| \left[\alpha_1 |S_1(x) - S_2(x)| e^{-\tau|x|} + \mu_1 |S_1(x) - S_2(x)| e^{-\tau|x|} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta |S_1(x) - S_2(x)| e^{-\tau|x|} + \beta |(K * I_1)(\xi) - (K * I_2)(\xi)| e^{-\tau|x|} \right] dx \\ &\leq \frac{(\alpha_1 + \mu_1 + \beta) \left[(|\Phi_1 - \Phi_2|_\tau + |\Phi_1 - \Phi_2|_\tau \int_0^\infty \int_R e^{\tau|y+cs|} K(y, s) dy ds) e^{-\tau|\xi|} \right]}{\rho_1} \\ &\quad \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} e^{\tau|x|} dx + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} e^{\tau|x|} dx \right|. \end{aligned}$$

When $\xi \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| F_1 \left[(S_1(\cdot), I_1(\cdot)) \right] (\xi) - F_1 \left[(S_2(\cdot), I_2(\cdot)) \right] (\xi) \right| e^{-\tau|\xi|} \\
 & \leq \frac{(\alpha_1 + \mu_1 + \beta) \left[\left(|\Phi_1 - \Phi_2|_{\tau} + |\Phi_1 - \Phi_2|_{\tau} \int_0^{\infty} \int_R e^{\tau|y+cs|} K(y, s) dy ds \right) e^{-\tau|\xi|} \right]}{\rho_1} \\
 & \quad \left| \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} e^{\tau|x|} dx + \int_0^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} e^{\tau|x|} dx + \int_{\xi}^{\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} e^{\tau|x|} dx \right| \\
 & = \frac{(\alpha_1 + \mu_1 + \beta) \left[\left(|\Phi_1 - \Phi_2|_{\tau} + |\Phi_1 - \Phi_2|_{\tau} \int_0^{\infty} \int_R e^{\tau|y+cs|} K(y, s) dy ds \right) \right]}{\rho_1} \\
 & \quad \left(\frac{1}{\tau - \Lambda_{11}} + e^{-\tau\xi + \Lambda_{11}\xi} \frac{2\tau}{\Lambda_{11}^2 - \tau^2} - \frac{1}{\tau - \Lambda_{12}} \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{\alpha_1 + \mu_1 + \beta}{\rho_1} \left[\frac{\Lambda_{11} - \Lambda_{12}}{(\tau - \Lambda_{11})(\tau - \Lambda_{12})} + \frac{2\tau}{\Lambda_{11}^2 - \tau^2} \right] \\
 & \quad \left[\left(|\Phi_1 - \Phi_2|_{\tau} + |\Phi_1 - \Phi_2|_{\tau} \int_0^{\infty} \int_R e^{\tau|y+cs|} K(y, s) dy ds \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $\xi < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| F_1 \left[(S_1(\cdot), I_1(\cdot)) \right] (\xi) - F_1 \left[(S_2(\cdot), I_2(\cdot)) \right] (\xi) \right| e^{-\tau|\xi|} \\
 & \leq \frac{\alpha_1 + \mu_1 + \beta}{\rho_1} \left[\frac{\Lambda_{11} - \Lambda_{12}}{(\tau + \Lambda_{11})(\tau + \Lambda_{12})} + \frac{2\tau}{\Lambda_{12}^2 - \tau^2} \right] \\
 & \quad \left[\left(|\Phi_1 - \Phi_2|_{\tau} + |\Phi_1 - \Phi_2|_{\tau} \int_0^{\infty} \int_R e^{\tau|y+cs|} K(y, s) dy ds \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, it follows that the mapping $F_1 : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is continuous with respect to the norm $|\cdot|_{\tau}$ in $B_{\tau}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$. Similarly, we can prove that $F_2 : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is continuous with respect to the norm $|\cdot|_{\tau}$ in $B_{\tau}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$.

Lemma 2.7. *The operator $F : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is compact with respect to the norm $|\cdot|_{\tau}$ in $B_{\tau}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$.*

Proof. For any $(S, I) \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |G(S, I)(\xi)| & \leq \mu_1 + \mu_1 \bar{S}(\xi) + \alpha_1 \bar{S}(\xi) + \frac{\beta S(\xi)(K * I)(\xi)}{S(\xi) + (K * I)(\xi)} \\
 & \leq 2\mu_1 + \alpha_1 + \beta \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \{S(\xi), (K * I)(\xi)\} \\
 & < 2\mu_1 + \alpha_1 + \frac{\beta^2}{\mu_2 + \gamma}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{d}{d\xi} F_1(S, I)(\xi) \right| & \leq \frac{|\Lambda_{11}|}{\rho_1} \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} G(\bar{S}, \underline{I}) dx + \frac{|\Lambda_{12}|}{\rho_1} \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} G(\bar{S}, \underline{I}) dx \\
 & < \frac{|\Lambda_{11}|}{\rho_1} \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} \left(2\mu_1 + \alpha_1 + \frac{\beta^2}{\mu_2 + \gamma} \right) dx \\
 & \quad + \frac{|\Lambda_{12}|}{\rho_1} \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} \left(2\mu_1 + \alpha_1 + \frac{\beta^2}{\mu_2 + \gamma} \right) dx \\
 & = \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left(2\mu_1 + \alpha_1 + \frac{\beta^2}{\mu_2 + \gamma} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain that $\frac{d}{d\xi} F_2(S, I)(\xi)$ is also bounded.

For each integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define an operator F^n by

$$F^n[S, I](\xi) = \begin{cases} F[S, I](\xi), & \xi \in [-n, n], \\ F[S, I](-n), & \xi \in (-\infty, -n], \\ F[S, I](-n), & \xi \in [n, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

By the Ascoli-Arzelà lemma, it is obvious that $F^n : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is compact with respect to the supremum norm in $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$. Hence, $F^n : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is compact with respect to the norm $|\cdot|_r$ in $B_r(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$. Furthermore, since $\{F^n\}_0^\infty$ is a compact series, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & |F^n[S, I](\xi) - F[S, I](\xi)|_r \\ &= \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |F^n[S, I](\xi) - F[S, I](\xi)| e^{-r|\xi|} \\ &= \sup_{\xi \in (-\infty, -n] \cup [n, +\infty)} |F^n[S, I](\xi) - F[S, I](\xi)| e^{-r|\xi|} \\ &\leq \sup_{\xi \in (-\infty, -n] \cup [n, +\infty)} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\beta}{\mu_2 + \gamma} - 1 \right\} e^{-r|\xi|} \\ &< \frac{\beta}{\mu_2 + \gamma} e^{-rn} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

By proposition 2.1 in [20], we know that $\{F^n\}_0^\infty$ converges to F in Γ with respect to the norm $|\cdot|_r$. Then, we obtain that F is compact with respect to the norm $|\cdot|_r$ in $B_r(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$.

2.3. The Proof of the Existence Theorem

Theorem 2.1. Assume $R_0 > 1$. For any $c > c^*$, system (2.1) admits a traveling wave solution $(S(x + ct), I(x + ct))$ such that

- (1) $0 < S(\xi) < 1, 0 < I(\xi) < M$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (2) $S(-\infty) = 1, I(-\infty) = 0, S'(-\infty) = 0, I'(-\infty) = 0$. Furthermore,

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow -\infty} e^{-\lambda_1 \xi} I(\xi) = 1.$$

Proof. When $c > c^*$, Schauder’s fixed point theorem implies that there exists a pair of $(S, I) \in \Gamma$, which is a fixed point of the operator F . Consequently, the solution $(S(x + ct), I(x + ct))$ is a traveling wave solution of Equation (2.1) and for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$0 \leq S(\xi) \leq 1, 0 \leq I(\xi) \leq M.$$

Next, we show that strict inequalities hold. Indeed, note that $(S, I) \in \Gamma$ is a fixed point of the operator F , then $S(\xi) = F_1[S, I](\xi), I(\xi) = F_2[S, I](\xi)$. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} S(\xi) &= F_1[S, I](\xi) \\ &\geq F_1[\underline{S}, \bar{I}](\xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} \right) G(\underline{S}, \bar{I})(x) dx \\ &\geq \frac{\alpha_1 - \mu_1 - \beta}{\rho_1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} dx + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} dx \right) \underline{S}(x) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(\xi) &= F_2[S, I](\xi) \\
 &\geq F_2[\underline{S}, \underline{I}](\xi) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{21}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{22}(\xi-x)} \right) Q(\underline{S}, \underline{I})(x) dx \\
 &\geq \frac{\alpha_2 - \mu_2 - \gamma}{\rho_1} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} + \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} \right) \underline{I}(x) dx > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Because that $\underline{S}(x)$ and $\underline{I}(x)$ are continuous and not identically zero, we have that $S(\xi)$ and $I(\xi)$ are both positive for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$.

Similarly, we can prove that other inequalities are also strict ones. Note that

$$1 - \sigma_0 e^{\alpha \xi} \leq S(\xi) < 1, \quad e^{\lambda_1 \xi} - \sigma_1 e^{\eta \xi} \leq I(\xi) \leq e^{\lambda_1 \xi}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$

it is easy to see that $S(-\infty) = 1, I(-\infty) = 0, \lim_{\xi \rightarrow -\infty} e^{-\lambda_1 \xi} I(\xi) = 1$ by the squeeze theorem.

Note that $(S, I) \in \Gamma$ is a fixed point of the operator of F . By the first equation of (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S'(\xi) &= \frac{\Lambda_{11}}{\rho_1} \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} e^{\Lambda_{11}(\xi-x)} G(S, I)(x) dx + \frac{\Lambda_{12}}{\rho_1} \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{12}(\xi-x)} G(S, I)(x) dx \\
 &= \frac{\Lambda_{11}}{\rho_1} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{\Lambda_{11}t} G[S, I](\xi - t) dt + \frac{\Lambda_{12}}{\rho_1} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\Lambda_{12}t} G[S, I](\xi - t) dt.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Note that for any $t > 0$,

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow -\infty} G[S, I](\xi - t) = \alpha_1.$$

Hence, from the Equation (2.12), we get

$$\lim_{\xi \rightarrow -\infty} S'(\xi) = \frac{\alpha_1}{\rho_1} \left[e^{\Lambda_{11}t} \Big|_0^{+\infty} + e^{\Lambda_{12}t} \Big|_{-\infty}^0 \right] = 0.$$

Similarly, we have $I'(-\infty) = 0$. Hence we have shown that

$$S(-\infty) = 1, I(-\infty) = 0, S'(-\infty) = 0, I'(-\infty) = 0.$$

3. Non-Existence of Traveling Wave Solutions

In this section, we will establish the non-existence of traveling wave solutions for system (2.1), for $R_0 < 1$ and $c \geq 0$, or $R_0 > 1$ and $c \in (0, c^*)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $R_0 > 1$ and $c \in (0, c^*)$, there exists no traveling wave solutions $(S(x + ct), I(x + ct))$ of (2.1) satisfying Equation (2.2).*

Proof. Assume that there exists non-trivial travelling wave solution $(S(x + ct), I(x + ct))$ of system (2.1) satisfying (2.2) for some $c_1 \in (0, c^*)$. Let

$\varepsilon > 0$ and $c \in \left(0, \frac{c + c^*}{2} \right)$ such that equation

$$\lambda^2 - c\lambda + \beta(1 - 2\varepsilon) \int_0^\infty \int_R K(y, s) e^{-\lambda(y+cs)} dy ds - (\mu_2 + \gamma) = 0$$

has no real solution.

By Equation (2.2), we can choose $M_\varepsilon > 0$ large enough such that

$$1 - \varepsilon \leq S(\xi) < 1, \text{ for any } \xi < -M_\varepsilon.$$

Furthermore,

$$c_1 I'(\xi) \geq d_2 I''(\xi) + \frac{\beta(1-\varepsilon) \int_0^\infty \int_R K(y,s) I(\xi - y - c_1 s) dy ds}{1 + \int_0^\infty \int_R K(y,s) I(\xi - y - c_1 s) dy ds} - (\mu_2 + \gamma) I(\xi). \tag{3.1}$$

According to Theorem 2.1 and Equation (2.2), there exists a sufficiently large constant $h > 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\beta(1-\varepsilon) \int_0^\infty \int_R K(y,s) I(\xi - y - c_1 s) dy ds}{(1 + I(\xi - c_1 s))^{h+1}} \\ & \leq \frac{\beta S(\xi) \int_0^\infty \int_R K(y,s) I(\xi - y - c_1 s) dy ds}{1 + I(\xi - c_1 s)}, \xi \geq -M_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, it is equivalent to the following inequality

$$\frac{1 - \varepsilon}{(1 + I(\xi - cs))^h} \leq S(\xi), \xi \geq -M_s.$$

Then, for $\xi \geq -M_s$,

$$c_1 I'(\xi) \geq d_2 I''(\xi) + \frac{\beta(1-\varepsilon) \int_0^\infty \int_R K(y,s) I(\xi - y - c_1 s) dy ds}{(1 + I(\xi - c_1 s))^{h+1}} - (\mu_2 + \gamma) I(\xi). \tag{3.2}$$

Define

$$\Phi(u(x,t)) = \inf \frac{\beta(1-\varepsilon)v(x,t)}{(1+v(x,t))^{h+1}}. \tag{3.3}$$

Combining Equations (3.1)-(3.3), we can obtain that $u(x,t) = I(x + c_1 t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} \geq d_2 \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \int_0^\infty \int_R K(y,s) \Phi(x - y, t - s) dy ds - (\mu_2 + \gamma) u(x,t), x \in R, t > 0, \\ u(x,s) = I(x + c_1 s) > 0, s \in (-\infty, 0], x \in R. \end{cases}$$

By the comparison principle [21], $u(x,t)$ is an upper solution of the following initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v(x,t)}{\partial t} \geq d_2 \frac{\partial^2 v(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \int_0^\infty \int_R K(y,s) \Phi(x - y, t - s) dy ds - (\mu_2 + \gamma) v(x,t), x \in R, t > 0, \\ v(x,s) = I(x + c_1 s) > 0, s \in (-\infty, 0], x \in R. \end{cases}$$

Applying the theory of asymptotic spreading [22] [23], we obtain that

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} v(x,t) > 0, |x| < \frac{(c_1 + c^*)t}{2}.$$

Then,

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(x, t) \geq \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} v(x, t) > 0, \quad |x| < \frac{(c_1 + c^*)t}{2}.$$

Let $-x = \frac{(c_1 + c^*)t}{2}$, then $x + c_1 t = \frac{(c_1 - c^*)t}{2}$. Note that $x + c_1 t \rightarrow -\infty$ if $t \rightarrow +\infty$, so we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(x, t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} I(x + c_1 t) = 0.$$

This is contradicted with $I(\xi) > 0$. We complete the proof.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that $R_0 < 1$ and $c > 0$, there exists no non-trivial travelling wave solutions $(S(x + ct), I(x + ct))$ of Equation (2.1) satisfying (2.2).

Proof. Suppose that there exists non-trivial travelling wave solution $(S(x + ct), I(x + ct))$ of system (2.1) satisfying Equation (2.2) for some $c > 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} cI'(\xi) &= d_2 I''(\xi) - \mu_2 I(\xi) + \frac{\beta S(\xi)(K * I)(\xi)}{S(\xi) + (K * I)(\xi)} - \gamma I(\xi) \\ &\leq d_2 I''(\xi) + \beta(K * I)(\xi) - (\mu_2 + \gamma)I(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\omega(x, t) = I(x + ct) > 0$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \omega(x, t)}{\partial t} \leq d_2 \Delta \omega(x, t) + \beta \int_0^\infty \int_R K(y, s) \Phi(x - y, t - s) dy ds - (\mu_2 + \gamma) \omega(x, t), & x \in R, t > 0, \\ \omega(x, s) = I(x + cs) > 0, & s \in (-\infty, 0], x \in R. \end{cases}$$

Let $\omega_0 = \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} I(\xi)$, $\xi = x + cs$ and it is easy to see that $\omega_0 > 0$. Next, we consider the following initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \omega(x, t)}{\partial t} = d_2 \Delta \omega(x, t) + \beta \int_0^\infty \int_R K(y, s) \Phi(x - y, t - s) dy ds - (\mu_2 + \gamma) \omega(x, t), & x \in R, t > 0, \\ \omega(x, s) = \omega_0, & s \in (-\infty, 0], x \in R. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$\bar{\omega}(t) := \min \{2\omega_0, 2\omega_0 e^{-\rho t}\}, t > 0.$$

If $\rho > 0$, then

$$\beta \int_0^\infty \int_R K(y, s) e^{\rho s} dy ds < \mu_2 + \gamma.$$

If $\rho < 0$, then

$$\beta < \mu_2 + \gamma.$$

In view of the comparison principle, we obtain that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \omega(x, t) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\omega}(t) = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$I(x, t) \leq \omega(x, t), \quad t > 0. \tag{3.4}$$

By Equation (3.4) and the invariant form of $I(\xi)$, we obtain $I(\xi) \equiv 0$. This leads to a contradiction. We complete the proof.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we investigate the existence and non-existence of traveling wave solutions of a SIR model with external supplies and non-local delays. This result is determined by the basic reproduction number R_0 [24]-[26] and the minimum wave speed c^* [27] [28] of the corresponding ordinary differential equations. We prove the existence of traveling wave solutions for system (2.1) using the upper and lower solutions method combined with Schauder's fixed-point theorem. We prove the non-existence of traveling wave solutions by using the comparison principle and the asymptotic propagation theory.

By the theory of limits, we proved the asymptotic behavior of the traveling wave solution $(S(\xi), I(\xi))$ when $\xi \rightarrow -\infty$. However, due to the difficulty in constructing Lyapunov functions [29], we have not been able to prove the asymptotic behavior of the traveling wave solution $(S(\xi), I(\xi))$ at $\xi \rightarrow +\infty$ and the stability of traveling wave solutions [30]. We leave it for future research.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] Elaiw, A.M. (2010) Global Properties of a Class of HIV Models. *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, **11**, 2253-2263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2009.07.001>
- [2] Wang, W. and Ma, W. (2018) Travelling Wave Solutions for a Nonlocal Dispersal HIV Infection Dynamical Model. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **457**, 868-889. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2017.08.024>
- [3] Wang, W. and Ma, W. (2018) Travelling Wave Solutions for a Nonlocal Dispersal HIV Infection Dynamical Model. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **457**, 868-889. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2017.08.024>
- [4] Li, W., Lin, G., Ma, C. and Yang, F. (2014) Traveling Wave Solutions of a Nonlocal Delayed SIR Model without Outbreak Threshold. *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems—B*, **19**, 467-484. <https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdsb.2014.19.467>
- [5] Wang, Z. and Wu, J. (2009) Travelling Waves of a Diffusive Kermack–Mckendrick Epidemic Model with Non-Local Delayed Transmission. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, **466**, 237-261. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2009.0377>
- [6] Wu, W., Zhang, L. and Teng, Z. (2020) Wave Propagation in a Nonlocal Dispersal SIR Epidemic Model with Nonlinear Incidence and Nonlocal Distributed Delays. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **61**, Article ID: 016512. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5142274>
- [7] Zhou, K., Han, M. and Wang, Q. (2016) Traveling Wave Solutions for a Delayed Diffusive SIR Epidemic Model with Nonlinear Incidence Rate and External Supplies. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, **40**, 2772-2783. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.4197>
- [8] Zhang, K., Zhang, Z. and Yuwen, T. (2022) Phase Portraits and Traveling Wave Solutions of a Fractional Generalized Reaction Duffing Equation. *Advances in Pure Mathematics*, **12**, 465-477. <https://doi.org/10.4236/apm.2022.127035>

- [9] Otieno, F.A., Tamba, C.L., Okenye, J.O. and Orawo, L.A. (2023) Dynamic Spatio-Temporal Modeling in Disease Mapping. *Open Journal of Statistics*, **13**, 893-916. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojs.2023.136045>
- [10] Ebraheem, H.K., Alkhateeb, N., Badran, H. and Sultan, E. (2021) Delayed Dynamics of SIR Model for Covid-19. *Open Journal of Modelling and Simulation*, **9**, 146-158. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojmsi.2021.92010>
- [11] Zhen, Z., Wei, J., Zhou, J. and Tian, L. (2018) Wave Propagation in a Nonlocal Diffusion Epidemic Model with Nonlocal Delayed Effects. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **339**, 15-37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2018.07.007>
- [12] Li, Y., Li, W. and Yang, F. (2014) Traveling Waves for a Nonlocal Dispersal SIR Model with Delay and External Supplies. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **247**, 723-740. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2014.09.072>
- [13] Hosono, Y. and Ilyas, B. (1995) Traveling Waves for a Simple Diffusive Epidemic Model. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, **5**, 935-966. <https://doi.org/10.1142/s0218202595000504>
- [14] Wu, C., Yang, Y. and Wu, Z. (2021) Existence and Uniqueness of Forced Waves in a Delayed Reaction-Diffusion Equation in a Shifting Environment. *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, **57**, Article ID: 103198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2020.103198>
- [15] Choi, W., Guo, J. and Wu, C. (2024) Forced Waves of a Delayed Diffusive Endemic Model with Shifting Transmission Rates. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **540**, Article ID: 128647. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2024.128647>
- [16] Chen, Y., Guo, J. and Hamel, F. (2017) Traveling Waves for a Lattice Dynamical System Arising in a Diffusive Endemic Model. *Nonlinearity*, **30**, 2334-2359. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6544/aa6b0a>
- [17] Li, Y., Li, W. and Lin, G. (2015) Traveling Waves of a Delayed Diffusive SIR Epidemic Model. *Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis*, **14**, 1001-1022. <https://doi.org/10.3934/cpaa.2015.14.1001>
- [18] Li, W., Lin, G. and Ruan, S. (2006) Existence of Travelling Wave Solutions in Delayed Reaction-Diffusion Systems with Applications to Diffusion-Competition Systems. *Nonlinearity*, **19**, 1253-1273. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/19/6/003>
- [19] Zhu, C.C., Li, W.T. and Yang, F.Y. (2017) Traveling Waves of a Reaction-Diffusion Sirc Epidemic Model with Relapse. *Journal of Applied Analysis & Computation*, **7**, 147-171. <https://doi.org/10.11948/2017011>
- [20] Zeidler, E. (1986) *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications 1, Fixed-Point Theorems*. Springer-Verlag.
- [21] Smith, H.L. and Zhao, X. (2000) Global Asymptotic Stability of Traveling Waves in Delayed Reaction-Diffusion Equations. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, **31**, 514-534. <https://doi.org/10.1137/s0036141098346785>
- [22] Liang, X. and Zhao, X. (2006) Asymptotic Speeds of Spread and Traveling Waves for Monotone Semiflows with Applications. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **60**, 1-40. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.20154>
- [23] Allen, L.J.S., Bolker, B.M., Lou, Y. and Nevai, A.L. (2008) Asymptotic Profiles of the Steady States for an SIS Epidemic Reaction-Diffusion Model. *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems—A*, **21**, 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2008.21.1>
- [24] Van den Driessche, P. and Watmough, J. (2002) Reproduction Numbers and Sub-Threshold Endemic Equilibria for Compartmental Models of Disease Transmission. *Mathematical Biosciences*, **180**, 29-48.

- [25] Yang, J., Liang, S. and Zhang, Y. (2011) Travelling Waves of a Delayed SIR Epidemic Model with Nonlinear Incidence Rate and Spatial Diffusion. *PLOS ONE*, **6**, e21128. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021128>
- [26] Kermack, W.O. and McKendrick, A.G. (1927) A Contribution to the Mathematical Theory of Epidemics. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A*, **115**, 700-721.
- [27] Zhao, M., Yuan, R., Ma, Z. and Zhao, X. (2022) Spreading Speeds for the Predator-Prey System with Nonlocal Dispersal. *Journal of Differential Equations*, **316**, 552-598. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2022.01.038>
- [28] Xu, Z. (2017) Wave Propagation in an Infectious Disease Model. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **449**, 853-871. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.11.051>
- [29] Ding, D., Qin, W. and Ding, X. (2015) Lyapunov Functions and Global Stability for a Discretized Multigroup SIR Epidemic Model. *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems—B*, **20**, 1971-1981. <https://doi.org/10.3934/dcdsb.2015.20.1971>
- [30] Kuniya, T. and Wang, J. (2018) Global Dynamics of an SIR Epidemic Model with Nonlocal Diffusion. *Nonlinear Analysis. Real World Applications*, **43**, 262-282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2018.03.001>